

I am a firm believer in MODE ONE Behavior

The Possibility of Sex

How Naive and Lustful Men are Manipulated by Women Regularly

MODE ONE



ALAN ROGER CURRIE

About the Book

Copyright © 2012 Alan Roger Currie

ISBN: **978-0-9850314-3-5**

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author.

Printed in the United States of America.

Mode One Multimedia, Inc.
2012

<http://www.modeone.net>
info@modeone.net

Other eBooks and paperbacks by Author Alan Roger Currie:


Mode One: Let the Women Know What You're REALLY Thinking

Mode One – HARDCORE (eBook only)

Upfront and Straightforward: Let the Manipulative Game Players Know What You're REALLY Thinking

Mode One – Semantics and Scenarios: Inside the Mind of the Manipulative Game Player (eBook only)

Ooooooh . . . Say it Again: Mastering the Fine Art of Verbal Seduction and Aural Sex



Alan Roger Currie

Mode One Multimedia, Inc.
info@modeone.net
<http://www.modeone.net/products/>

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Much love and appreciation to all of my family members, relatives, close friends and loyal supporters of all my books and talk radio podcast programs. Special acknowledgement always goes to those in my close inner circle of friends, which includes among others, my older brother, Stephen C. Currie

Special shout outs to Alex Lasarev, Alix Rico (pseud) a.k.a. Your Royal Flyness, and Chicago Photographer Carlos Alvarez. Also, much props and respect to all authors, filmmakers, and screenwriters who tend to write books and/or films that offer an objective perspective on the strengths and weaknesses of the members of both genders. I also have a lot of respect for Ms. Esther Vilar, author of *The Manipulated Man*. It took a lot of courage for her to write the book she did, when she did. Much love and respect to the late Robert Beck a.k.a. "Iceberg Slim" ... your books have always inspired me and filled my head with knowledge and wisdom. Same goes for the late John Leslie. Leslie's fictional character of "Jack" enlightened me about approaching women, interacting with women, and always being your true authentic self, more than anyone will ever know.

I appreciate all of the love, support, encouragement, and Email messages of gratitude I receive from men (and women too!) who reside in a number of different countries all over the world. I thank all of the older men and wiser men who have shared their knowledge and wisdom with me over the years, and I hope I have helped many men who are younger than me and/or less experienced with women than me improve their interpersonal communication skills with members of the opposite sex.

To Alix Edouard Grand-Pierre ... thank you for lighting a fire under my butt! You said, "Go ahead and write that book Alan!" Well, here it is. I hope you and my other male friends and supporters enjoy it.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

PREFACE: AN EXPERIENCE IN A LOS ANGELES CHURCH WOKE ME UP

PART ONE:

TIMEWASTERS: I DON'T WANT TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN I DON'T WANT YOU TO TRY TO GET IN MY PANTS!

CHAPTER ONE . WOMEN WHO WANT YOU TO BECOME THEIR PERSONAL "EGO & SELF-ESTEEM BOOSTER"

CHAPTER TWO - WOMEN WHO WANT YOU TO BECOME THEIR "PERSONAL ENTERTAINER" AND/OR
"PLATONIC BOYFRIEND"

CHAPTER THREE . WOMEN WHO WANT YOU TO BECOME THEIR "GOSSIP BUDDY" AND PROVIDE THEM WITH
AN "EMPATHETIC LISTENING EAR"

CHAPTER FOUR - WOMEN WHO WANT YOU TO BECOME THEIR "PLATONIC SUGAR DADDY" AND HELP THEM
OUT WHENEVER THEY NEED A FAVOR

PART TWO:

NO GOOD WOMEN: THESE WOMEN MAY AGREE TO HAVE SEX WITH YOU, BUT IN THE LONG-RUN, THEY SHOULD STILL BE AVOIDED

CHAPTER FIVE - I JUST WANT YOUR MONEY: THE VERY SEASONED, SAVVY GOLD DIGGER

CHAPTER SIX - MAN THIEVES: WOMEN WHO TRY TO "STEAL A GOOD MAN AWAY" FROM HIS WIFE OR LONG-TERM GIRLFRIEND

CHAPTER SEVEN - DRAMA QUEENS: WOMEN WHO LOVE TO NAG MEN AND ARGUE WITH MEN JUST FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUING

CHAPTER EIGHT - THE MISANDRIST: I DON'T NEED A MAN . . . BUT IF YOU BOW DOWN AND WORSHIP ME, I WILL HAVE SEX WITH YOU (OCCASIONALLY)

CHAPTER NINE - LIARS & CHEATERS: WOMEN WHO ARE PATHOLOGICALLY DISHONEST AND DISLOYAL, OR AT MINIMUM, EXTREMELY FICKLE

WRAP UP & FINAL THOUGHTS

MOVIES I RECOMMEND WATCHING THAT ARE RELATED TO THE CONTENTS OF THIS BOOK ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Introduction

I am now going to permanently stop saying that each book I write is my last book. Some of my followers are going to start looking at me as if I am a boldface liar.

When I published ***Mode One: Let the Women Know What You're REALLY Thinking***, I told many friends and followers that this would be the only book I planned on writing and publishing. About a year later, I wrote an eBook entitled ***Mode One – HARDCORE***, and I said "for sure" that I was done.

Then, in December of 2008, I got invited to teach an adult education course on the campus of Indiana University Northwest in Gary, Indiana entitled *Dating for 21st Century Singles*. I wanted a new and different book to use as my primary textbook for that course, so I wrote and published my second paperback, ***Upfront and Straightforward: Let the Manipulative Game Players Know What You're REALLY Thinking***.

Later, in Spring 2010, I wrote another eBook only entitled ***Semantics and Scenarios: Inside the Mind of the Manipulative Game Player***. I did not sell too many copies of that eBook, but those who have read it provided me with positive feedback and reviews. Finally, in December 2011, I wrote and published my lengthiest and most risque book ever entitled, ***Ooooooh . . . Say it Again: Mastering the Fine Art of Verbal Seduction and Aural Sex***. Some of my readers, followers and supporters have commented that they believe *Say it Again* is the best and most informative book I have written to date (between January 2012 and September 2012, *Say it Again* actually outsold *Mode One*). After *Say it Again* was written and published, I definitely said, "This is it. I am not writing any more books!!"

Well, **here I am again**. If each and every man who read all my previous eBooks and paperbacks had truly absorbed my principles and philosophies, no additional books would be needed. The reality is, each time I have written and published a book, a percentage of my followers will say, "Hey Alan! Great book! I really enjoyed **[insert title of their favorite book here]**!! What a great read. If you don't mind me saying so though, I would really love it if you wrote just ONE MORE BOOK further explaining **[insert concept from one of my previous books that the reader felt like I did not explain in enough detail here]**. Please think about it. Thank you!!"

If you read *Upfront and Straightforward*, you will remember that I divided all women who men want to have long-term sex or short-term sex with into four categories:

Reciprocators: These are women who once you let them know what your specific sexual desires, interests, and intentions are, these women will reciprocate your desires and interests fairly quickly and straightforwardly;

Rejecters: These are women who once you let them know what your specific sexual desires, interests, and intentions are, these women will let you know fairly quickly and straightforwardly that they are NOT interested in having sex with you

Pretenders: These are women who once you let them know what your specific sexual desires, interests, and intentions are, these women will initially, temporarily, or indefinitely "pretend" as though they are NOT interested in having sex with you (similar to a "Rejecter"), but deep down, they actually are interested in having sex with you. At some point in the near or distant future, they will confess their true sexual desires and interests to you (particularly if you have exhibited *Mode One Behavior* toward them). Analyzing and further explaining the mindset of women who are "Pretenders" was the primary basis for my last book, *Ooooooh . . . Say it Again*

And finally, the last category of the four is that of **Timewasters**. These are women who once you let them know what your specific sexual desires, interests, and intentions are, these women will set out to initially, temporarily, or indefinitely give you the ***misleading impression*** that they are just as interested in having sex with you, as you are in having sex with them, but

in reality, they are just looking to 'use' you, manipulate you, and generally waste your time for self-serving motivations.

In the last month or two, I have had at least a handful of guys write me and say, "*Alan ... if you wouldn't mind ... could you write a book that goes into more detail about women who are Timewaster types? I would really like to know how to quickly and effectively identify these types, expose these types, and generally avoid these types so that I do not waste much time or money pursuing them in an unproductive manner. Thank you so much!*"

The very, very simple solution to identifying women who are Timewasters is to consistently exhibit **Mode One Behavior** toward each and every woman you meet. When you exhibit Mode One Behavior with Pretenders, they eventually acknowledge that they are really Reciprocators; Similarly, when you exhibit Mode One Behavior with Timewasters, they will soon become angry, frustrated, and truthful, and admit that they are really "Rejecters." When a woman who is a 'Timewaster' type encounters a man with a Mode One conversation style, her true colors will always come out. **Always**. Trust me on this fellas.

This book started out as a freelance article I wrote for The Examiner.com entitled, ***What women should men avoid? Very few authors offer advice on this subject*** (published on The Examiner.com on September 25, 2012). Surprisingly, not only did the men who read the article like it, but I received very few if any criticisms, insults, or disagreements from my female readers (which is rare for me!). The women who read the article agreed with most, if not all of what I said. Next thing I know, with the urging of a few friends and supporters, I expanded the themes of the article and turned it into this book.

Even women have to acknowledge: probably 90% or more of the books published in the "dating and relationships" genre and "love and sex" genre tend to include or highlight topics such as "what men all women should avoid," "what men to look out for," "how to motivate your man to a better boyfriend or husband," and other similar-themed chapters and book titles. If you go on Amazon.com right now, you would be hard pressed to find a book that centers on the weaknesses in women's behavior, and what types of women that men should look out for, or totally avoid interacting with.

Truth? I do not point my finger at the women who lie to men, mislead men, manipulate men, and disrespect men as much as I do the naive, inexperienced, gullible, impatiently horny, or superficial men who allow these women to repeatedly get away with such behavior. The primary men who get taken advantage of by manipulative women are usually those who are very desperate and overanxious to have sex with each and every attractive woman they meet, those who put an exceptionally high degree of emphasis on a woman's physical appearance, and those who are dreadfully afraid of losing the attention or companionship of a woman who is providing them with satisfying sex ... or in some cases, a woman who is only teasing them with nothing more than ***the possibility of sex***. Hence, the title of this book.

When you possess a combination of the factors listed above, this is when you become an ideal candidate to be misled and manipulated by women on a monthly, weekly, or even a daily basis.

I want to say this to my female readers: There are a lot of **good women** in society. Many of them. Not all women have an underlying desire to lie to men, mislead men, manipulate men or take advantage of men using their looks, sex appeal, and feminine charms. I meet women regularly who are good-hearted women who are just looking to be loved and appreciated by a **really good man**. I hate seeing good women getting lied to, misled, or emotionally manipulated by men who have very self-serving desires and intentions.

By the same token, I also hate seeing good men constantly being taken advantage of by women who are savvy, seasoned manipulators. The behavior of dishonest and manipulative women angers me just as much as the behavior of dishonest and manipulative men.

You might ask, "What exactly is a *manipulative* woman?" If you are a woman who exhibits the behavior of the aforementioned "Reciprocator" or "Rejecter," then this would represent that you are generally a non-manipulative woman. If you want to have sex with a man and you straightforwardly tell him that, that is representative of non-manipulative behavior. If you do not want to have sex with a man and you straightforwardly tell him that, then this is also an example of non-manipulative behavior.

If a woman exhibits the behavior of a "Pretender" and/or a "Timewaster," this is when I categorize a woman as being manipulative. If you never straightforwardly let men know your sexual desires and interests, or lack thereof, you are being misleading and manipulative. If you employ a lot of "vague" and "ambiguous" language when conversing with men, you are a manipulator. If you constantly lie to men in order to get what you want from them, you are both a liar and a manipulator.

If you are truly a "good" woman with a high degree of moral character and integrity, then nothing in this book will offend you, because the criticisms within this book will not apply to you. But if you are woman who has a history of lying to men, misleading men, manipulating men, or generally treating men in a foul, undesirable, disrespectful manner, then you are going to feel like **I wrote this book just for you.**

The women in society with highly manipulative intentions toward men know who they are. They know exactly who they are. And in this book, **I am about to call you out.** Big time. Continue reading my friend.

Preface:

An Experience in a Los Angeles Church Woke Me Up

If you are a single heterosexual man reading this, do you believe that **all men** are hornier for sex than **all women**?

I would bet money that at least two-thirds or more of the men would say, “Yes! *Everyone knows that men want sex from women more than women want sex from men! That is common knowledge!!*” I have actually heard men offer comments like this over the years.

What if I told you that you have been bamboozled, brainwashed, hoodwinked, and lied to? (Yes, I watched Spike Lee’s *Malcolm X* a number of times)

Are there **some men** who are hornier for sex from women than there are women who are horny for sex from men? Sure. Of course. Would never argue that for a second. But if any woman (or man) were to suggest that ALL men are “naturally” hornier for sex than women, I would say “hogwash.”

Many members of the media and the entertainment industry perpetuate this myth, as well as various factions of society, but it is simply **not true**.

I remember back in 2007, a female friend of mine named McKenya had a *Mode One* book signing event for me at her home, which I really appreciated. There was only one other man who attended, which was the husband of one of McKenya’s good friends. All the rest of the attendees were women. Some single, some in a relationship, some married.

At one point during the book signing event, the women had persuaded me to share some of my notorious “Mode One Same Day Seduction Stories.” Instances where I had met a woman, and within roughly an hour or two after I made these women’s acquaintance for the first time, I was either engaged in intercourse with them, or at minimum, I was receiving oral sex from them.

I thought many of the women would be “prudish” types, but most of the women enjoyed listening to my stories of instantaneous seduction (*actually, most women I meet at workshops or book signing events want to hear my stories*).

The most interesting point of the evening that I made to the women was when I told them, “With most of my same day seductions, it was not my specific intention to have sex with those women **that day**. Usually, I was looking to have sex with them days later, weeks later, or even months later. In most cases, it was actually **the women** who became so horny and so impatient to have sex that it ended up being **their idea** for us to have sex - not mine.”

Many of the women looked at me surprised, confused, or skeptical. There was one woman though who just really, really stared at me. Minutes later, she smirked at me. Then finally, she stood up from her chair.

She said emphatically, “*This brother is smooth. This brother is also sneaky. Ooooooh weeeee. This brother is something else.*” Everyone in attendance just started looking at her with an expression of, “What are you talking about? What do you mean?”

The woman continued. She said, “**This brother knows women. And all you girls in here know this man knows what he is talking about.**” One of McKenya’s girlfriends said to the woman standing, “*I am so lost. Please explain.*”

The woman standing said, “*Confidence, patience, and sexual self-control in a man brings the horny out in a woman. Y’all (the women in the room) know that. This brother knows that and uses it to his advantage. He uses this knowledge to bring out the horny in women. And y’all women know what I’m talking about. If I am attracted to a man, and that man **acts like he can wait longer than I can** to have sex, then I am going to get real, real horny. I think all women*

do. *There is something very sexually appealing about a man who can wait more patiently than a woman to have sex.*"

Sure enough, some of the women started lightheartedly saying "Shhhhh!!! *Men are not supposed to know that about us!! Girl, shut your mouth!!!*" We all started laughing.

When I was a sophomore, junior, and senior in high school, I rarely initiated sex with women. I would say, on average, at least three out of every four women I had sex with in high school initiated sexual activity with me.

The night I lost my virginity, I went from one extreme to the other. During "Round One" of the sex, I had an orgasm in less than three or four minutes. I felt embarrassed. Then, when it came to "Round Two," I must have maintained an erection for about forty-five minutes or longer, but I failed to ejaculate a second time.

Consequently, I was never really that anxious to aggressively pursue girls for sex during the remainder of my time in high school. I had developed a "performance anxiety-related fear" of experiencing either **a)** premature ejaculation or **b)** having an erection last for thirty minutes or longer without experiencing an ejaculatory orgasm. As a result of those performance anxiety-related fears, it was very easy for me to be cool, calm, and collected around the girls who chose to flirt with me. I would let women *offer me sex* as opposed to me "chasing" it. Many popular "sex symbol" type male celebrities do that to this day. Movie star George Clooney once said in an interview that he rarely pursues women. The vast majority of the time, he allows women to offer romantic and sexual companionship to him. Clooney also said in a *Playboy* interview that he is dreadfully afraid of rejection.

Actually, **at least half of my male friends** in high school told me that during most of their sexual experiences with the girls, it was the girls who were the aggressive ones ... not them. Even my late mother used to constantly warn me about what she referred to as "fast ass girls." That was my mother's description for teenage girls who were already sexually active, and did not hesitate to aggressively pursue boys for sex.

Now I will admit, I was more assertive toward the pursuit of sex from women when I was in college at Indiana University in Bloomington, Indiana. It was in late 1984, early 1985 when I first started exhibiting what I now refer to as "**Mode One Behavior**" (*i.e., the habit of expressing my romantic and/or sexual desires, interests and intentions to women in a highly self-assured, upfront, unapologetic, and straightforwardly honest manner within the first few minutes of my initial conversation with a woman*).

Fast forward to Summer of 1994. I was now living in Los Angeles to pursue a career in the Entertainment Industry, and in late July of that year, I became a member of a non-denominational church that was very popular in L.A. – and also very controversial for its "cult-like" ways. I could write another, totally different book just about my experiences in that church, but for the purposes of this book, I will try to keep my thoughts brief and to-the-point. At the time I was involved, that church had the largest membership not only of any other church in Los Angeles, but any other church in California.

I remained a member of this church until about late December of 1996. Being a member of the church was very interesting, primarily because aside from learning more about Christianity and the Bible, I gained a lot more knowledge, wisdom and insight related to dating and relationships and male-female interaction dynamics.

Now, you would think that if you had hundreds of single heterosexual men in a church trying their best to practice premarital abstinence and celibacy, many of them would quickly leave the church just based on sexual frustration alone. Men refer to an extended period of sexual frustration as "blue balls."

Similarly, you would assume that the vast majority of the single women in the church would have a relatively easy time remaining chaste and sexually self-controlled, since that is how

society often portrays women to be ... **right**? Wait a minute ... RIGHT?!?

Well, surprisingly for some ... and not-so-surprisingly for others reading this book, what unfolded turned out to be practically **just the opposite**.

Here is what I realized about the vast majority of my fellow men: If you put a group of single heterosexual men in a social environment where they know for a fact that all of the women who they are conversing with and interacting with **are committed to waiting until marriage to have sex**, the men will become very laid back, reserved, and sexually self-controlled. The men will not behave in an "impatiently horny" or overly-aggressive manner with women.

If you add in the additional factor that all of the women had to wear clothing that was relatively "conservative" (which meant no outfits could be worn by the women that were consensually deemed as "provocative" or "too revealing"), then it made it that much easier for the men to remain cool, calm, collected, and in control of their hormones around women.

Believe it or not, when I was a member of that church, I actually got criticized for *not approaching women enough*. Some of my followers would find that unbelievable. Contrary to my sometimes "distorted" reputation of being hypersexual, I rarely try to "rush" a woman into bed. I am quicker to **initiate a conversation about sex** than I am quick to actually engage in sex. Particularly when I know a woman is practicing celibacy, I am not assertive or aggressive **at all** sexually. When I was a member of that church for 28 or 29 months, I never once pursued any of the female members of that church for sexual activity or phone sex. **Not once**. I had one incident where I *almost* had sex with a female member, and in that instance, it was she who initiated our physical interaction ... not me.

One time while I was a member of the church, some of the leaders called a meeting of all the single men in the church, and it was about "instances of sexually inappropriate behavior, sexual aggression, and even date-rape." My roommates and I thought we were about to be admonished harshly for behavior exhibited by some of the other men in the church.

Guess what happened during that meeting among the single men? The Singles leaders ended up telling us that just about all of the acts of "sexually inappropriate behavior" and "sexual aggressiveness" had been committed by **the single women in the church!!** Less than a handful of the incidents involved the men being the aggressors, and the few that were guilty were young college students (most men have little sexual self-control in high school and college). There were even one or two incidents where some female members exhibited behavior that came very close to being categorized as "date-rape" with the men. Can you believe that?? Up to that point, I had never heard of a woman attempting to 'date-rape' a man! Wow. That blew my mind.

The leaders went on to say, "*You men have to be (sexually) strong. Not only for yourselves, but for the women. **Women are weak. Women actually give in to sexual temptation much quicker and easier than men do. Men ... be spiritually strong.***"

Now society says, "All men think about is sex, sex, sex!" That is all hype and B.S. Men in today's society think about sex because **most of the women in society want us to**. You read what I wrote correctly. On September 1, 2012, I was the featured speaker at what is known as a "Relationship Chat" that was held in Chicago. One of the other speakers, who was a woman, said to those in attendance, "The average man thinks about sex once every ten seconds." I disagreed with her. I told the crowd that this was a highly invalid myth that is perpetuated by women and society in general. Matter of fact, sex therapist Dr. Gail Elizabeth Wyatt said in an interview I read that the belief that men thought about sex once every 7-10 seconds was indeed a myth."

I know for a fact that I do not think about sex once every 7-10 seconds. If anything, I think about eating chicken wings and drinking *Peach Snapple* once every ten seconds. Okay, I am joking (I do love both of those food items though). Being a book author and a talk radio host, I

would argue that the number one thing on my mind constantly is how to better market my books and how to attract high caliber guests to be interviewed on my talk radio podcast program, *Upfront & Straightforward with Alan Roger Currie* or my other more risqué program entitled, *The Erotic Conversationalist*. The second thing I think about the most is upcoming interviews and events related to my career, and just handling my business (e.g., paying bills, keeping my house organized, trying to get my workout in, etc.) Most men who are successful and active do not have time to think about having sex an average of once every 7-10 seconds.

Do you know more women who I knew left that church because of both egotistical frustration and sexual frustration than men? I remember there was this one very beautiful Latina who was in the church that left the church about six or seven months before I did. We ended up running into each other a few weeks after she left the church, and I asked her what were her specific reasons for leaving.

She was honest. She said, *“Alan, I couldn’t take it. More specifically, my ego couldn’t take it.”* We both chuckled a bit. She continued, *“Alan, not to sound conceited or anything, but I am used to men hitting on me left and right every single day. I may not be the most gorgeous woman in L.A., but I’m damn sure not the ugliest. When I was in the church, I had hardly any men pursue me or ask me out on dates. I was in that church for over two years, and I got asked out on a date maybe ten to fifteen of times. Before I joined the church, I got asked out on dates ten to fifteen times per month. I couldn’t take it. I love to be flattered, and I love to be pursued sexually. Even if I am not interested in having sex with a guy, I still want him to pursue me. **I still want a man to at least try to get in my pants, even if I know that I am going to eventually reject him.** None of these guys in the church were even trying to get in my pants. And that left me feeling very undesirable and unattractive.”*

Did you see what she said? She said, **“Even if I am not interested in having sex with a guy, I still want that man to pursue me sexually. I still want him to try to get in my pants, even if I know that I am going to reject him.”** This is very important for all you men reading this book right now to keep in mind. Over the years, I have come to realize that this is how all (manipulative) women feel. Even when they are not interested in a man, they still want that man to think that **the possibility of sex** in the near or distant future is on the table of opportunity.

If there is one thing that many women love more than sex itself, it is the *flattering attention* they receive from men who want to exchange orgasms with them. Women love having men constantly trying to get in their pants. Some women will pretend as though they are annoyed by such behavior, but for most women, that is all an “act.” Heterosexual and bisexual women would go crazy if men completely and indefinitely stopped pursuing them sexually. Most women would not be able to adjust to that egotistically.

I met significantly more men in that church who were willing to wait until marriage to have sex than I did women. The reality is this: If you took away men’s desire for sex - and even more specifically, men’s desire for **casual sex** – there would be a lot of women in society who would receive **very little, if any attention from men.**

The only women in the church who I found who had an easy time committing themselves to premarital celibacy were those women who were so attractive, so personable, and had so much going for them, that they would receive a lot of attention from men whether they were offering sex or not.

What I learned and fully realized during my months in that church is that women are not naturally “less horny” than men. Most women are **socially conditioned and brainwashed** to believe that they are supposed to carry themselves as a “respectable lady,” which means maintaining a higher degree of restraint and self-control over their sexual urges and hormones

than men. This takes me back to what the woman who stood up at my friend McKenya's party confessed: It is easy for women to act cool, calm, and collected around men who are aggressive and impatiently horny. That is no challenge for them. But if you place those same women in an environment where the men are exhibiting just as much if not more patience and sexual self-control than they are, many of those women's more assertive, aggressive, and impatiently horny side will reveal itself.

Matter of fact, I will reveal a lighthearted "psychological trick" I used to employ with a few women in order to agitate them and get them horny when I lived in Los Angeles. This 'trick' would work just about every time, assuming the woman was a "Reciprocator" type or "Pretender" type. What I would do is say, "I want to fu** you really, really, really bad ... I mean, really bad." Then, I would pause. Many times, they would offer a response after that comment. Then, I would say, "I have a lot on my schedule for the next four, five, six months ... but about six months from now? Oh my God ... when I hook up with you in six or seven months, I am going to wear you out..." For women, that would both **confuse them** and **frustrate them**. At first, these women would think I was so anxious to get in their pants that I wanted to jump in the sack *that very moment*. Then, for me to virtually contradict that by suggesting that I could wait as long as six months would throw them for a loop. What would that 'trick' do in the long-run? Again, assuming that the woman was genuinely interested in having sex with me (i.e., a "Reciprocator" or "Pretender"), this 'trick' made many women **become super-duper horny**. This is why the woman at my book signing party said, "This brother knows his stuff."

A lot of men confuse this little 'trick' of mine with dating coaches and seduction gurus who espouse "indifference" and "feigned lack of desire." I disagree with the latter two. I heard one guy say, "*The key to getting women to hit on you is to act like you're not interested in them at all.*" I don't agree with that. No woman could get my attention by giving me the impression that she is totally not attracted to me, and totally not interested in sharing my company. Other gurus will say, "*You have to give a woman the impression that you are 'indifferent' toward having sex with them.*" Hmmm. I say, either you are genuinely indifferent, or you are not. It is hard for me to "act" like I am indifferent toward the idea of having sex with a woman when I know I want to. What I believe in, is that you let women know that you are very, very interested in having sex with them ... but you do not allow your desires and interests to have connotations of "impatience," "overanxiousness," or "desperation."

Men, when you always act like you are more anxious and more horny to have sex with a woman than she is to have sex with you, you give away all of your power. Worse, you set yourself up to be misled and manipulated by women. Women who love to toy with men, play games with men, and take advantage of men bank on men behaving in an "impatiently horny" manner.

A lot of my male readers have made the mistake of thinking that exhibiting *Mode One Behavior* is synonymous with trying to get a woman in bed as quickly as possible. **That is so not true**. Just because I express my sexual desires, interests, and intentions to women in an upfront, straightforwardly honest manner does not necessarily mean that I want to have sex with that woman **that day**. For example, usually when I let a woman know I want to have sex with her, I will say, "I think we should exchange orgasms at some point in the next three-to-five weeks." I rarely have approached a woman, and said, "Hey! I want to fu** you RIGHT NOW!! Are you available???" Uhm ... no. Not my style.

Based on my experiences and observations, I would assert that at minimum, women are just as horny for sex as men are, and in many cases, I think women are actually hornier for sex than men are. Did you know that the term "nymphomaniac" specifically and exclusively applies to women? There is no such thing as a "male nymphomaniac."

I actually had a fellow author by the name of James Amoureux tell me in Las Vegas in March 2012 that prior to what was known as "The Victorian Age," which started in the early part of the 19th Century, women were frequently and generally considered to be the "hornier sex" in society (*Amoureux is by far one of the most intelligent and knowledgeable scholars I have ever conversed with about the history of sex, kinky sex, BDSM, and erotic role-play. This man knows his stuff!*)

I will offer at least two reasons why it **seems like** all men are hornier than all women:

1. Men tend to behave in a much more lustful and lascivious manner when they are in the company of women who they know are indulging in premarital sex, and more specifically, short-term, non-monogamous "casual" sex. Men become much more aggressive, and much more impatient and horny if they are under the assumption that the women who they are surrounded by are very kinky, very promiscuous, and "easy" to get in bed;
2. Men tend to get very easily aroused when they are in the company of women who are wearing sexually provocative and revealing outfits (*e.g., bikinis, sexy lingerie, tight, short dresses and skirts, etc.*). I learned in my Human Sexuality 101 course in college that men actually get *more turned on* by women who are wearing "scantily clad" outfits than they do by women who are 100% nude. I believe that. I can name at least two or three times I've been around totally nude strippers, and I did not experience an erection even one time.

Again, based on my experiences in that Los Angeles church, as well as many of my other experiences with women before and after my membership in that church, I would make the argument that many men are more than capable of controlling themselves sexually when they are in the company of women who are **a)** conservatively dressed and **b)** totally committed to remaining celibate until marriage. I have a very close friend and fraternity brother who said the same thing happened in his church. He said among the singles in the church, the women were putting forth more effort to try to "tempt" the men into having sex than vice versa.

If you are a man reading this, have you ever been around women when they are in the company of male strippers? Have you seen videos of women around male strippers?? **Women go crazy around male strippers.** Seriously. Most men are actually pretty calm, relaxed and in control of themselves when they are around female strippers. Women? Rarely.

I remember I used to visit this nightclub in Chicago called "Chic Rick's." Toward the back of the nightclub, they would have these photographs of a number of attractive, sexy women yelling and screaming at some men who were part of a male strippers revue that would come to Chic Rick's to perform for the ladies approximately once every 2-3 months or so. One time, I noticed that there was a notice that that said they were no longer having the male revue.

I got curious as to what happened, so I asked one of the workers. I said, "Not that I was ever interested in attending other than to possibly hit on some of the honeys ... but out of curiosity, why did they stop having the revue? From the pictures, it always looked like the room was packed with beautiful women." The guy chuckled, and shook his head. He had that look like, "Man, if you only knew."

Finally, he said, "*Dawg, I'm gonna keep it real. These horny ass bitches was losin' their damn mind!!*" We both laughed. He continued, "*Have you ever seen horny ass bitches around male strippers? Dawg ... they don't know how to act. That's why we had to shut it down, if only temporarily. These horny ass bitches were jumpin' on the stage, damn near trying to rape these dudes (the male strippers). It was ridiculous. I've never seen women act like that.*" I know exactly why women act like that (around male strippers). This goes back to my book, *Ooooooh . . . Say it Again*. In that book, I emphasize that women come out of the womb with just as many sexual urges as men do. What happens though, is as we move from children to pre-teens, pre-teens to teenagers, and teenagers to adults, women are **socially conditioned** to control their urges in order to present themselves as a "good girl." But when you put women in an

environment like a Bachelorette party, when they know they are not going to be judged (why would they? Who is going to act pretentious and prudish at a Bachelorette party?!? If you were truly prudish, you would not be there in the first place) ... they are going to reveal **their real behavior**.

I had a buddy in Los Angeles who was a male stripper for a while. He used to show me videos of the women who attended the “Bachelorette parties” he performed at. These women would act C-R-A-Z-Y. On average, I would say that women are far more sexually aggressive with male strippers than most men are with female strippers. No contest.

Here's the deal: If a woman is really attracted to you, and really wants to have sex with you, then 90-99% chance, she is going to make it very easy for you to have sex with her. **Trust me on this.** Women do not play games with men who they are hot and horny for. They will spread their legs with a quickness.

The main group of men that women who are “Timewasters” will tend to engage in a lot of manipulative “head games” with are those men who fall into one or more of the following categories:

- Men who they have very little, if any sexual interest in, but these men are willing to flatter them consistently and excessively;
- Men who they have very little, if any sexual interest in, but these men are willing to provide them with entertaining conversation and dependable (platonic) social companionship;
- Men who they have very little, if any sexual interest in, but these men are willing to spend money on them or loan them money, “wine and dine” them, or provide them with materialistic gifts or employment opportunities;
- Men who they have very little, if any sexual interest in, but these men are willing to listen to the women “vent” about their problems and frustrations with other men of interest, and willing to provide an “empathetic listening ear” for the women;

Women who are “Pretenders” and “Timewasters” are similar, but yet different in their approach to men.

A “Pretender” is a woman who is very, very reluctant to **reciprocate** a man's sexual desires, interests and intentions in an upfront, straightforwardly honest manner. Why? Two reasons: **1)** Pretenders want to first see if you can pass their “tests,” and they want to see what you are going to offer them of value in exchange for the women's sexual companionship, and **2)** If your interest is specifically casual sex, they are afraid of being perceived as a “ho” and/or a “slut.” Therefore, they play games before eventually acknowledging that they do indeed want to have sex with a man.

A “Timewaster” is a woman who is very, very reluctant to **reject** a man's sexual desires, interests, and intentions in an upfront, straightforwardly honest manner. Why? For all of the reasons that I listed above. Timewasters are women who want to offer men who are impatiently horny **the possibility of sex** as a “carrot on the stick” and a tool of manipulation that will motivate men to feed the women's own egos with flattery, entertain them privately and socially, provide financial and non-financial favors, or to provide them with an empathetic listening ear when some selfish, egotistical womanizer type guy has sex with them and dumps them.

Most Timewasters either have a lot of respect for a guy like me, or they literally despise a man like me. I have received both responses from women. The most frustrating thing you can do with a woman who is either a “Pretender” and/or a “Timewaster” is to **put the women in a position where they have to quickly and straightforwardly reciprocate your desires and interests -or- quickly and straightforwardly reject your desires and interests.**

In the next four chapters, I am going to go into specific detail how many women who are

Timewasters mislead, manipulate, and take advantage of unsuspecting men who are naive, gullible, and impatiently horny.

The worst position you can be in as a man is to be a guy who **very much wants to have sex with a woman** ... but you are also **dreadfully afraid to let that woman know that** in a confident, upfront, and straightforwardly honest manner. I had a female guest on my talk radio show who wrote a book about being a gold digger, and she all but admitted that during my interview with her.

This woman basically said that she has never been able to successfully take advantage of a man who exhibits *Mode One Behavior*, but if you are a man who is naive, horny, financially generous, and scared to express your desire for sex in a forthright manner, she is going to play you for all you got.

Men who use a lot of indirect, cautious, vague and ambiguous language with women who are Timewasters are playing right into their hands. **This is what women who are Timewasters want you to do.**

The bottom line is simply this: In today's world, very few women are going to commit themselves to premarital abstinence and celibacy. Especially women with highly manipulative intentions. Why? Because it is not egotistically and/or financially advantageous to do so. Think back to the comments of the lovely Latina who left the church in L.A. before I did.

If most men know for a fact that a woman is committed to avoiding sex before marriage, or committed to avoiding sex outside the context of a long-term monogamous "boyfriend-girlfriend" type relationship, many men are not going to give women any flattering attention, or make any effort to share their company on a date.

To all my "good women" reading, listen up: If you tell a man you are firmly committed to remaining celibate prior to marriage, and that man genuinely still wants to share your company and take you out, this is when you know that man is really, sincerely interested in you. If you are attracted to this man, hold on to him. He's a keeper.

On the other hand, if you as a woman are savvy enough to give most of the men you come in contact with the misleading impression that there is at least a 1% - 5% chance that if these men go out of their way to please you, flatter you, wine and dine you, and generally accommodate you, that their "lucky day of enjoyable sex" could become a reality in the very near future, many men will gobble up the bait you're offering with a quickness.

In a nutshell? It is not actually offering sex to a man that gives many attractive, desirable women manipulative power. It is simply putting the idea in a man's mind that he has in his hands **the possibility of sex** (and particularly, casual sex) at some point in the near or distant future.

This is literally how thousands of women take advantage of naive, inexperienced, or unsuspecting men regularly. Now, I am about to go into more detail describing just how women accomplish their underlying objectives.

PART ONE

Timewasters:

“I Don’t Want to Have Sex with You, But That Doesn’t Mean That I Don’t Want You to Try to Get in My Pants!!”

Refer back quickly to the comment from the lovely Latina who left the Los Angeles church a few months before I did. She said, ***“Even if I am not interested in having sex with a guy, I still want that man to pursue me sexually. I still want him to try to get in my pants, even if I know that I am going to eventually reject him..”*** You can think of this comment of hers as the #1 mindset and personal philosophy and "credo" of women who are Timewasters.

Let me make this clear: If a woman lets you know ahead of time that all she is interested in is a totally non-sexual, platonic friendship with you, and then you accept the terms of that type of relationship with her wholeheartedly, you cannot then turn around and label that woman a 'Timewaster' or a manipulative woman. That is representative of a woman telling you straight-up, "you're never going to get in my pants, so don't even try." That is not a Timewaster, but rather, that is a "Rejecter" who is offering you the "consolation prize" of indefinite platonic friendship.

Timewasters are women who exhibit some degree of “flirtatious” behavior toward you, or even sexually provocative behavior toward you, but they never agree to indulge in intercourse with you and they never agree to perform oral sex on you. They use ***the possibility of sex*** as a “carrot on a stick” to reel you in. That is their "hook." That is their "bait."

I recall one time in the early 1990s, I had this female friend who I went to college with who was always wearing very revealing, sexually provocative clothing around me. At first, it did not bother me. After a few weeks, it did.

So one day, I said to her, “When are we going to just go ahead and ‘do the do’ . . .” She said, “Excuse me?” I said, “You’re excused. When are you and I going to have sex?” She just started giggling and saying things like, “Alan, you’re so crazy ... you are so bad ... etc., etc., etc.” She behaved as though she thought I was joking, but I was dead serious.

I asked this woman repeatedly to say either, “Alan, I am definitely going to have sex with you ...,” or “Alan, I am definitely not going to have sex with you ...” She literally **refused** to verbally express either response. So, I stopped speaking to her and hanging out with her for the next three or four years.

That interaction was a classic example of a Timewaster. There are four specific types of Timewasters that I will describe in detail in Part One of this book:

Timewaster Type #1

Women who will flirt with you, and give you the misleading impression that they will **possibly** have sex with you, in order to motivate you to consistently provide them with *flattering attention*

Timewaster Type #2

Women who will flirt with you, and give you the misleading impression that they will **possibly** have sex with you, in order to motivate you to *entertain them and hang out with them socially when they are bored or lonely*

Timewaster Type #3

Women who will flirt with you, and give you the misleading impression that they will **possibly** have sex with you, in order to motivate you to *provide them with financial and non-financial favors*

Timewaster Type #4

Women who will flirt with you, and give you the misleading impression that they will **possibly** have sex with you, in order to motivate you to *provide them with an "empathetic listening ear" when they are dejected, frustrated, and need to "vent"*

Hopefully, if you are a man who has "fallen victim" to one or more of these types of Timewasters, the remainder of this book will help enlighten you to many of their manipulative tactics, and help you avoid falling prey to their manipulative ways in the future. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter One

Women who want you to become their personal “Ego & Self-Esteem Booster”

If you are familiar with the “pick up artist industry” or what is also referred to as “the attraction & seduction community,” then you are probably aware that there are generally two primary philosophies that most dating coaches and seduction gurus teach and espouse: one concept is known as “The Direct Approach” and the other is known as “The Indirect Approach.”

The Direct Approach: When you approach a woman and initiate a conversation with her, and by no later than roughly the five-minute mark of the conversation, you let that woman know exactly why you approached her, and why you ultimately want to share her company in the near or distant future. *Mode One Behavior* would be representative of a direct approach;

The Indirect Approach: When you approach a woman and initiate a conversation with her, and you spend at least the first five-to-ten minutes, if not longer, engaging the woman in conversation that is generally cautious, polite, lighthearted, flattering and/or entertaining. Your main objective is to get the woman to “like” you and cause her to form a favorable opinion about you, before you introduce any hint of a romantic or sexual interest in her. What I refer to as *Mode Two Behavior* and *Mode Three Behavior* would be representative of an indirect approach;

A lot of men who lean more toward the indirect approach will constantly try to convince me that women will perceive a direct approach as “too forward,” “too crass,” “too provocative,” or “too logical” and in the long-run, women will have a negative and adverse reaction to such an approach, and end up rejecting me in a harsh and abrupt manner.

One question that I tend to ask the men who sing the praises of an indirect approach is, “When you are indirect, how do you quickly and effectively identify women who are ‘attention whores’ and ‘cock teasers’?” More often than not, the men will go out of their way to avoid answering that question. A few others will offer a response along the lines of, “Well, if you start touching a woman - such as caressing her hand or arm - if she is just looking for flattering attention, she will pull her hand or arm away from you. That is called subcommunicating.” Yeah, right. These men are so naïve.

Here is the deal: many times, **I want a woman to reject me in an abrupt and even harsh manner.** To some men, particularly those who are dreadfully afraid of rejection or harsh criticism, that comment of mine will seem crazy.

A woman who is a “Pretender” is nothing more than a woman who is really a “Reciprocator” who is trying her best to display the façade that she is “innocent,” “wholesome,” and “erotically conservative” or prudish. A woman who is a “Timewaster” is nothing more than a woman who is really a “Rejecter” who is trying to size a man up to see if she can take advantage of him in some sort of tangible or intangible manner.

When interacting with women who are Timewasters, I want to get these women to quickly and fully acknowledge that they are really “Rejecters” in disguise. This is one of the reasons why I purposely employ a lot of XXX-rated language in many of my *Mode One* approaches with women.

You see, XXX-rated language potentially kills two birds with one stone: On one end, if a woman is a “Pretender,” the erotically explicit language will assist you in breaking down a woman’s prudish façade; and if a woman is a “Timewaster,” the pornographic language will provoke her to reveal what she is really about, which is ultimately rejecting you and never allowing you to have sex with her. If a woman is not interested in having sex with me, I want to know that sooner rather than later. Matter of fact, if possible, I want to know that information within the first 5-10 minutes of my first conversation with a woman.

If you ask men, "What is the number one thing that you think women look to 'use' men for?" most of them will say, "money." I would tend to disagree. Not only would I not rank money, materialistic gifts and general financial favors as the #1 thing of value that most women use men for, I would not even rank it #2. I would probably rank money, materialistic gifts, free meals, free movies, free concerts, and other similar favors of value **third** on my list of "things women use men for."

I have written some freelance dating and relationship articles for *The Examiner.com*, and one comment I have included in many of my articles that has been quoted and repeated a number of times by my readers and followers is this: **"Women value receiving flattering attention and engaging in entertaining conversations with men in the same manner that the vast majority of men value receiving a great blowjob or handjob from a woman."** Some men and women laugh at the comment, but in all seriousness, that strong opinion of mine is very valid based on my own experiences and observations.

I remember some years back, I used to ride a commuter train to Chicago from Northwest Indiana, and this one woman always chose to sit next to me. One time, she came and took a seat, and I was reading a newspaper. Within minutes, she became agitated. I asked, "What's wrong with you? Everything okay?" At first she responded, "*Nothing.*" Then, she kept behaving more and more as if she was really irritated about something. So, I asked her again, "Is everything alright?" She said she was fine. Finally, she said, "*Alan, I don't like it when you sit next to me and don't say anything. I hate when you are distracted by magazines or newspapers.*"

I was trippin', because she was dead serious. Later in the conversation, she just flat-out confessed, "*I hate to be ignored by men. If there are two things I cannot stand when I am around men, it is being completely ignored, and secondly, not having a man compliment me on an outfit that I think is pretty or sexy. That drives me crazy.*"

Now, at the time, the woman on the train was single, so I could halfway empathize with her frustration. Over the years though, I have even known women with husbands or boyfriends express the same type of egotistical frustrations.

Women love attention and women love to be flattered. If you do not remember anything else about women as a man, remember that women absolutely, positively love flattering attention. I have had many women openly admit to me that if they go more than three or four hours without receiving a flattering stare or a verbal compliment from a man, they become very irritated and frustrated. Have you ever had a *male* friend tell you that? I doubt it. Men do not live for "compliments." We want money and sex.

In my own book of "subjective definitions," there is a difference between an "attention lover," an "attention seeker," and an "attention whore." I am not too critical of the first two, but I am very critical of the latter.

An attention lover could be your favorite dog or cat, your brother or sister, your son or daughter, your boyfriend or girlfriend, or your husband or wife. Best example of an attention seeker would be someone pursuing a career in the Entertainment Industry, media, politics, or professional sports.

For the purposes of this book, here is my own definition of an "**attention whore**": any woman who uses her looks, sex appeal, and "feminine charms" to provoke a man into providing her with attention and/or companionship, but that same woman has never specifically or definitely indicated that she does, or does not, want to have sex with that man.

For example, if you are a woman, and you are showing a man a photo of yourself with nothing on but a bra and a thong, but you are indefinitely being vague and ambiguous about whether or not you are going to eventually have sex with the man you are showing the photos to, then Yours Truly would categorize you as a top-notch "attention whore."

A more specific and more sexually provocative type of attention whore would be what men

generally refer to as a “cock teaser” or “dick teaser.” A cock teaser is a woman who specifically wants to provoke you to develop an erection, but she has no desire to help you relieve yourself of that erection.

I will say this emphatically: **I do not like attention whores and cock teasers.** Some of my male friends have criticized me over the years because I rarely enjoy going to nightclubs or bars, and one of the main reasons why is because of those two types of women. At the risk of generalizing the clientele at most nightclubs in major cities, the vast majority of the nightclubs I have frequented were primarily filled with women who were attention whores and cock teasers. Men do not go to nightclubs to show off a new outfit. We go to get laid, unless we're married (and even married men go to nightclubs to hopefully "score"). Women rarely go to a nightclub to get laid. They go to show off a new outfit, a new hairstyle, or the fact that they have been working out in the gym and lost twenty or thirty pounds. The secondary group is married women or women with boyfriends just hanging out with their girlfriends to have fun, dance, and take advantage of men offering free drinks. That is not my scene at all. I actually go to nightclubs more when I have a girlfriend than I do when I am single and unattached.

I will un-friend women on Facebook if they constantly try to send me photos of themselves in bikinis and lingerie on a daily or weekly basis. Some women reading this might say, “Wow .. *that's harsh Alan!*” I un-friended a woman recently because of that type of behavior. My thing is this: If a woman and I are not going to end up in a relationship, or at least end up having casual sex, I have no desire to see her in her swimwear or underwear. Other than potentially causing me to have an erection, what do I get out of looking at those types of photos on a day-to-day basis? You can post those photos on your page, but do not send those via my Facebook inbox. I do not want to see them unless that woman and I are going to hook up in the near future.

Men rarely want women to compliment them just for the sake of egotistical satisfaction. With most men, we want compliments from women that are going to lead to us dating those women or having sex with those women. Men rarely “seek out” compliments. We are appreciative when they come to us, but we do not post constant photos of ourselves in our boxer briefs just to get attention.

Let's put it like this: If someone told a single heterosexual man, “*Sir, I want to put you in a hotel suite with ten beautiful, sexy women who want to compliment you on your eyes, your hair, your smile, and your overall physique, but I have to tell you this ahead of time ... none of these women want to have sex with you in the near future. They just want to compliment your looks,*” do you really think that man will be motivated to spend the next hour or two in that room with those women? I would argue that 90% of the men who were offered that opportunity would turn it down.

On the other hand, I would almost guarantee you that if you offered that same scenario to a number of single heterosexual or bisexual women, the vast majority of them would agree to spend two hours or longer in that room with some highly flattering men. Again, women love to be flattered. I had a woman once tell me that “*flattery is to my ego what oxygen is to my lungs.*” WOW. For some women, flattering attention is like crack cocaine for their ego.

Now, if you spend a lot of time expressing compliments to women who have already made it clear that they have no interest in you romantically or sexually, I have no criticisms of those women whatsoever. All of my criticisms would be directed at you, the man. Why are you pouring on the flattery with a woman who 99.99% chance will not have sex with you? Stop that.

Why are you on some woman's **Facebook** page (*who you have probably never met in person*) an average of once per week, twice per week, three times per week or more for the primary purpose of telling her how “beautiful” she is, how “sexy” she is, and how “hot” you think she looks? Do you know how weak and fawning that makes you look?!? I mean, c'mon dude ... seriously.

In recent years, as of the writing of this book, the new urban slang term for men who are extremely fawning and flattering with women is “thirsty.” I hate being around ‘thirsty’ men

when I am attending a party or social event. Guys like that literally irritate me. I hate even being at my local grocery store and listening to some man in his early-to-mid twenties telling a woman over and over and over again how beautiful and sexy she is. Instead, just tell that woman that you want to exchange orgasms with her, and see what she says. The worst she can say is, "I am not interested in having sex with you," and then you will not waste any more of your valuable time flattering a woman who will never have sex with you.

If you are in a relationship with a woman, go ahead and flatter her. If you are currently having casual sex with a woman, or very much on the verge of doing so, go ahead and flatter that woman's ego. But if a woman has never given you a specific indication that she is interested in you romantically and/or sexually? Do not waste time excessively flattering that woman's ego. In the long run, you will regret it. Trust me.

I try my best to only give women compliments that are directly related to a desired romantic or sexual action. For example, instead of telling a woman, "You have some nice lips," I will offer a compliment more along the lines of, "You have some really nice lips. I can't wait to feel your lips when I kiss you..." If I really want to be provocative, I will say something like, "Wow. You have lips that are tailor made for blowjobs. I can't wait to see those lips wrapped around my hard dick..." (*For those readers whose eyebrows are raised right now - yes, I have actually expressed that comment to a number of women in my life*).

Again, the women who I am most critical of are not women who simply WANT attention and egotistical flattery from men, but more so, those women who are willing to "flirt" with a man, and give a man the misleading and indefinite impression that they "might just go ahead and have sex with him," just so they can motivate that man to flatter them on a regular or semi-regular basis indefinitely.

If I had to give at least one example from my own life of a woman that would fall in this category, it would be a woman I met online in 2003. I will call this woman "Alexis." Alexis was a woman who was attractive, with a high degree of sex appeal, and she knew it.

At the time, I was posting on a message board on a site called **AskMen.com**, and all of the thirsty men on the message board used to drool whenever she posted a photo of herself in a bikini, sexy lingerie, or some other provocative outfit.

If I started a discussion thread that attracted a lot of attention, Alexis would always write me a private message saying things like, "*Good thread!!*" or "*I really enjoyed your last post!! You are an excellent writer Alan! I learn so much from you!!*" Initially, I did not mind hearing from her.

Weeks later, she started sending me nude and damn-near-nude photos of herself, and asking me for my opinion. "*Do you think I look sexy in this photo Alan?*" and other questions like that. My response would be, "When you get on the phone and play with yourself for me, then I will let you know what I think about your photos..." She would always write back, "*You are so silly Alan! I love your personality!! You are so straightforward!! Maybe one day I will live out one of my sexual fantasies with you!!*" See. She was savvy. Alexis did not say, "Yes, I definitely want to have phone sex with you," but at the same time, she never said, "No Alan, I am not interested in having phone sex with you." **She played the game.** She remained vague and ambiguous. This is what all attention whores and cock teasers do.

She continued sending me photos and later, she even started sending me erotic fantasy stories, and I finally had to let her know what's up. I wrote her and said, "Look. You live in the Northwest region of the country, and I live in the Midwest, so you are not close enough for us to hook up and have sex. I already invited you to have phone sex with me, but you did nothing but give me vague, ambiguous responses. Please stop sending me photos of yourself. I have no interest in looking at them."

She later wrote me a response that was full of criticisms, insults and anger. I did not care. I was not going to continue to allow her to string me along by dangling ***the possibility of (phone) sex*** in the future as the proverbial "carrot on the stick" in order to motivate me to continue to giving her attention.

Guess who sent me a message just over two years later asking me can she call me? Yep. Alexis. Sure enough, we ended up having kinky phone sex for the next three or four months. Think about that. I had to ignore this woman for at least **two years**, if not slightly longer, before she finally gave in to my invitation to have phone sex. About a year after she and I stopped having phone sex, she told me she was engaged. Good for her.

As you can see, Alexis ended up being more of a “Pretender” than a true “Timewaster,” but nonetheless, she was an attention whore. She loved showing off her body to men for the primary purpose of motivating them to provide her with regular or semi-regular egotistical flattery.

This is why I feel most “indirect approach” methods are ineffective. The vast majority of indirect methods fail to help you identify, expose, and most importantly “weed out” women who are full-fledged attention whores and cock teasers.

Attention whores will allow you to flatter them for fifteen minutes, thirty minutes, an hour, two hours, or longer. They love it. The more cock-teasing type attention whores will even let you cop a feel on their breasts or butt ... hell, they might even allow you to tongue kiss them and make out with them for a little while ... but at the end of the day, they are not going to engage in intercourse with you or give you a blowjob.

Like all Timewasters, the number one tool of manipulation for an attention whore and cock teaser is to offer you the man ***the possibility of sex*** at some point in the near or distant future. The underlying attitude they have is, *“If you’re a ‘good boy,’ and you do what I say, and act the way I want you to act, then I might just let you have sex with me at some point in the future! You might just catch me on the right day and get lucky!!”* Yeah ... right.

You would think it would be the not-so-attractive women who would want to be flattered constantly to boost their self-esteem. Not so. Usually, it is the very attractive women with a high degree of sex appeal that need validation and egotistical flattery the most consistently.

I remember in June 2010, I interviewed a relationship expert on my talk radio show by the name of Steve Penner. During the interview, Mr. Penner said that men are probably the most romantically and sexually frustrated between the ages of roughly 21 and 35. Why? Because most men in that age are not successful in their careers, and do not have much wealth or material possessions (unless they are a professional athlete or something), they are not able to attract a lot of women who may be looking for men who are more financially stable. He said women are probably the most romantically and sexually frustrated, assuming they are still single and unmarried, between the ages of roughly 45 and 59. Why? Because that is when the appeal of most women's looks and sex appeal drops the most significantly.

Mr. Penner said the number one group of women who confessed to him that they were having the hardest time adjusting to getting older were those women who were “beauty queen” types and “sex symbol” types when they were younger. Penner said a lot of these types of women become profoundly depressed as they age. Why? Among other reasons and factors, they cannot handle the significant drop-off in flattery from men.

I read an article where this woman, who as of the date of the article was 45 years of age, said that she was very egotistically frustrated as a result of getting older. She said when she was 25, men were always hitting on her for sex. The woman said when she was younger it actually irritated her to have so many men trying to get in her pants. Now that she was 45, she said she WISHED she had dozens of men trying to get in her pants on a weekly and monthly basis.

Do not allow yourself as a man to become a woman's “personal ego & self-esteem booster.” This is not a term I made up. I have actually heard women refer to some of their male platonic friends as their “personal ego boosters” or “personal self-esteem boosters.”

Some men consider strippers to be representative of “attention whores” and “cock teasers.” I do not 100% agree with that accusation. Strippers tend to assume that most men who frequent strip clubs know ahead of time that they are not going to get laid. What these

women do is offer men seductive “eye candy” and even provocative lap dances in exchange for a few dollars. I am not going to hate on a woman for that. If you are a woman, you stay in shape, and that is your hustle - more power to you. No one puts a gun to a man’s head and forces him to frequent a strip club on a weekly or monthly basis.

If there is one group of women I do consider to be attention whores and cock teasers are women who want to pose nude in magazines such as *Playboy*™ or *Penthouse*™. If you are a woman, why would you want millions of men to see your naked body and specifically, your vagina, if you have no desire to have sex with 99.99% of these men?

The only women who I would want to see a photo of my naked, erect penis would be women who I am interested in being in a romantic relationship with or women who I am interested in engaging in casual sex with. At bare minimum, I would only send photos of that nature to a woman I met via the Internet who lives in a different state or country who I am interested in having phone sex with.

Plain and simple, men need to gain more control over their sexual urges. If you do your research on men who were top-notch street pimps in the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s, just about all of them said the number one key to developing and maintaining the status of an effective pimp was that you cannot appear to be impatiently horny for sex in the company of women. I know it is easier said than done sometimes, especially if you are young and your hormones are raging, but you have to gain control over your libido.

You take Robert Beck a.k.a. “Iceberg Slim.” Slim said that all of the whores in his stable were hornier for sex from him than vice versa. Slim said he rarely, if ever, allowed himself to appear as though he was impatient or overanxious to have sex with one of his whores. Many times, he refused to have sex with some of his whores. Some would have to pay him for sex. Street pimps were the original gigolos.

Even if it has never been your ambition to be a street pimp with a stable of whores, you need to adopt that particular aspect of a pimp’s mentality. As I said in my Preface chapter: women are just as horny, if not many times hornier for sex than men are. **No woman is going to let you know she’s horny for sex if she observes that you seem to be impatient or desperate for sex.** In that situation, the woman is going to pretend like sex does not interest her.

The more you get it ingrained in your mind that women want sex from men as much or more as men want sex from women, you slowly but surely begin to become more in control of your hormones and lustful desires.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics of an attention whore and/or cock teaser:

- Anytime a woman expresses a desire to verbally flirt with you, but she never invites you or allows you to touch her body, 99.9% chance, that woman is an attention whore or cock teaser;
- Anytime a woman initiates a sexually provocative conversation with you, or allows you to initiate a sexually provocative conversation with her, but again, she never allows you to get physical or sexual with her, 99.9% chance, that woman is an attention whore or cock teaser;
- If the only time a woman seems to be enthusiastic about conversing with you (either in-person or over-the-phone) is when you are expressing compliments to her, but if you are not flattering her ego, she seems to be indifferent toward the idea of talking to you or sharing your company, 99.9% chance, that woman is an attention whore;
- Anytime a woman sends you nude or partially nude photos of herself, but she never follows that up by allowing you to get physical or sexual with her, then that means this woman is definitely a cock teaser;
- Anytime a woman allows you to touch her body in a provocative way (*e.g., she allows you to squeeze her butt or breasts, she allows you to caress the inside of her thighs, etc.*) or allows you to ‘make out’ with her, but she never invites you to have intercourse with her,

and she never offers you a blowjob or a handjob, then 99.99% chance, she is a cock teaser.

In my next chapter, I will describe another type of “attention whore,” but what she is after is not simply flattery or attention. This type of Timewaster wants entertaining conversation and non-sexual social companionship whenever she is bored or lonely. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Two

Women who want you to become their “Personal Entertainer” and/or “Platonic Boyfriend”

In early 2012, I conversed with a woman who I will refer to as “Janice,” and we discussed the four categories of women that I outlined in my second paperback, *Upfront and Straightforward*. Initially she said, “Alan, I know I am going to disagree with at least one or two of your four categories. I already know I am.” Then, after I offered a brief description of a Reciprocator, a Rejecter, a Pretender, and a Timewaster, she started laughing, and said, “Oh my God!” I said, “What’s up?” She said, “All of your categories are on-point. I can’t even lie. I have fallen into each of those categories with different men over the past few years.” Then she giggled a bit more.

I wanted to know in what instances had she felt she had been either a “Pretender” or a “Timewaster” with a man. Janice said, “I tend to behave like a Pretender when I meet a man who I want to be my next boyfriend, but he makes it clear that he is only looking for casual sex. At first, I will reject him, and I will pretend like I am totally not interested, but if he never gives me any indication that he will ever want more from me than just casual sex, usually, at some point, I will go ahead and give in to that man and allow him to have sex with me...” I have met many women over the years who have expressed comments similar to Janice when asked about being a Pretender.

Janice continued, “I tend to behave like a Timewaster when I meet a man who I know right off the bat that I am not interested in being in a relationship with, and I know I have no interest in having casual sex with him, but at the same time, the guy is funny and has a great personality and a great sense of humor, or if it’s not his personality, I can tell he likes to spend money on women in order to impress them. That is when I will become a Timewaster. With a guy like that, I don’t want to reject him too quickly or tell him too straightforwardly that I will never have sex with him because **at minimum, I want to keep him around as a platonic friend who will entertain me when I am bored.**” Janice’s last comment describes the second type of Timewaster and attention whore you are likely to encounter.

I have had some people (and particularly women) accuse me of being against the idea of men and women maintaining a platonic friendship with one another. **That is so not true.** If you are a man who is only interested in a woman platonically, and she feels the same way about you, then I have no criticisms whatsoever of you and that woman remaining platonic friends.

Below are the two types of people who I am harshly critical of when it comes to the idea of maintaining a platonic friendship with a member of the opposite sex:

1. **Men** who initially, temporarily, or indefinitely “pretend” as though they are content with nothing more than a platonic friendship with a woman, when they know deep-down that they would rather be in a romantic relationship with that woman, or at minimum, they know they want to have casual sex with that woman. I find such behavior to be dishonest, disingenuous, misleading, and manipulative. I refer to this behavior as “**FunClubbing**”;
2. **Women** who know deep-down that a man who they are platonic friends with wants to date them or have sex with them, but instead of specifically and straightforwardly letting that man know that nothing sexual will ever happen between them, they string that guy along and use **the possibility of sex** in the near or distant future as a “carrot on the stick” in order to keep that man motivated to provide them with entertaining conversation and non-sexual social companionship.

I have already discussed those men who fall into category #1 in previous books. Now, I am going to spend the remainder of this chapter discussing the women who fall into category #2.

I was a featured speaker for a men's attraction and seduction conference entitled *The Direct Dating Summit* that was held in London, England in November 2010. At one point during the weekend conference, I shared the stage with the headline speaker, an attraction and seduction guru who resides in Canada who goes by the name "David X."

There were two women on the stage with David X and me, and one of the women, named "Sophie," said (paraphrasing), *"I don't see the point of men approaching women and being verbally direct with their sexual desires and interests. As a woman, we all know that men want sex from us. That's a given. That doesn't need to be said. We already know what men want before they even open up their mouths."* I hear women offer comments like this all the time. Actually, **they are smart and savvy for doing so.** If you have thoroughly read this book thus far, and you continue reading this book, **you will clearly understand** why (manipulative) women express comments like that.

I then looked at the men in the audience with an expression of, "I bet you money that over the next few minutes, David or I are going to break her down and prove that what she just said was invalid bullcrap." Many of the guys smirked and chuckled. Sure enough, about twenty minutes later or so, she ended up contradicting herself.

Sophie began talking about this male friend of hers. She talked about how she loved hanging out with him and how she loved talking to him. Then, David X said to her, *"Why are you stringing this guy along? Why are you using him?"* Sophie pretended as if she was confused, as if she didn't understand the question, or at minimum, did not agree with it.

She responded, *"How am I using him? He and I are just really good friends!"* David X said (paraphrasing), *"You said earlier that you know for a fact that when a man approaches you, he's looking for sex, right?"* Sophie nodded her head, "yes." David X continued, *"Then that means you know your male friend really wants sex from you. Why haven't you told him specifically that you have no plans on having sex with him?"* Sophie again began to behave as though she was confused, and even agitated by the question.

I just looked at the men in the audience, and they all just started laughing. There are a few areas related to attraction and seduction advice where David X and I tend to disagree with each other philosophically, but if there is one area where David and I are in total agreement and think just alike, it is when it comes to women maintaining platonic friendships with men when they know deep-down that those men want to get in their pants. David X simply refers to women who fit this description as "bitches." Again, I refer to them as "Timewasters," and more specifically, "attention whores" and "cock teasers."

I can think of at least a half dozen times in my life when I was guilty of "FunClubbing" with women, and consequently, I opened up the door for a woman to play head games with me and use me for personal entertainment and non-sexual social companionship.

One woman I had this experience with I will refer to as "Raven." Raven is a woman I met a few years back, and I was immediately smitten with her. She was attractive, sexy, and intelligent. One problem: Raven was not as attracted to me romantically and sexually as I was to her.

At one point, I told her straightforwardly that I wanted her to be my long-term girlfriend, but she said for various reasons, she was not interested in the same thing. I was disappointed, and my feelings were a bit hurt, but I accepted her rejection.

Now if I had been smart, I would have completely and indefinitely left her alone after she rejected me. In retrospect, I realize that is what I should have done.

Instead, about six weeks or so after she rejected me, I chose to converse with her over-the-phone after she sent me an email message inviting me to accompany her to some social function. I did not attend the event, but I spent over an hour on the phone cracking jokes and sharing entertaining stories about my life with her. I had her laughing hysterically practically the entire conversation. I have a background in comedy writing and stand-up, so if I say so myself, it has never been a challenge for me to get a woman to laugh.

Soon, she and I were conversing over-the-phone on a regular basis. I ended up moving to California and she was in Chicago, but we kept in touch. Soon after I moved to Los Angeles, she and I started having hot, kinky phone sex over-the-phone about two or three times per month. At first, I looked at that idea as a good thing.

Then, a few months later, she entered into a long-term monogamous relationship with some guy she met. Next thing I know, she cuts off practically all communication with me. 90% of the time I called her, she would not return my phone calls. She would be slow to respond to my email messages.

We stopped speaking for about four or five years, then later, after her and her boyfriend broke up ... she made efforts to reconnect with me again. She would always refer to us as being "good friends," but the reality was, she did not really behave like a true "friend" at all. If a man or woman is your good friend, they will stay in communication with you regardless of if they are married, in a romantic relationship, or if they are single. I have a number of female friends who are married or in a relationship who remain in contact with me on a regular basis.

The only time I heard from Raven was when she was single and unattached. If she was seeing someone, I would rarely if ever hear from her.

She basically only called me for one of three reasons:

- When she was bored and/or lonely, and she wanted me to entertain her and make her laugh;
- When she was angry or frustrated about something to do with her job, or something to do with men who were not treating her right, and she wanted to "vent";
- When she was horny for phone sex.

If you know how I am, you can probably assume that I did not have a much of a problem with the latter of the three motivations, but over time, I became irritated by her first two motivations for calling me.

Even though our "friendship" was long-distance, I still began to feel "used." I will start by blaming myself. What I did was essentially "settle" for this disingenuous friendship that we were maintaining. I was "FunClubbing" with her. I indefinitely pretended like I was content with having her as just as "friend," but the reality was, I wanted her to be my girlfriend ... or at minimum, I wanted to have casual sex with her whenever she and I were in the same city, in addition to the phone sex we were already engaging in.

I can't really say she "played me" as much as **I played myself**. That being said, I do have some criticisms of her behavior as well. Raven knew I had both a romantic and sexual interest in her. She knew it. Yet, rarely would she specifically say, "*Alan, you and I are never, ever going to date or have sex. I hope you understand that,*" or something to that effect.

The only time she was firm with me was if she had a boyfriend. If she was single at the time, she would never fully reciprocate my desires and interests ... but she would never completely reject them either. She would do what all manipulative Timewasters do: she would converse with me using very fickle, vague and ambiguous language and phrases.

Next to being flattered by men, I would argue that **being entertained by men** is the second highest priority for women who are attention whores, cock teasers, and Timewasters. Both men and women hate to be bored for too long, and especially women. Statistics show that women watch more television than men and more movies than men. I want to say that they also listen to more music than men, but I do not know this for a fact.

If you are a woman reading this, and you are the type that will make it specifically and straightforwardly clear to a man that all you want is a purely platonic friendship with him, and you have no intention whatsoever in engaging in any sort of sexual activity with your male "friend" at any point in time in the near or distant future, then I have no criticisms of you. You are keeping everything real and above board. Congratulations.

On the other hand, I have witnessed many women take advantage of men, and 'use' men for their charismatic and entertaining personalities, and take advantage of a man's willingness to hang out with women socially on a regular or semi-regular basis in a purely platonic manner.

Whenever I hear female friends of mine or female acquaintances of mine bragging on the number of male friends they have, and how many days per week or per month that they hang out with these male friends, I always ask them, "Do these men know that they are never going to end up having sex with you? Have you told them specifically and straightforwardly that you have no plans or intentions whatsoever in having sex with these men?"

The vast majority of the time, I either receive no answer at all, or the answer is, "*No, I have not told them that yet.*" I had a woman in Chicago in 2007 bluntly admit to me that she dangles **the possibility of sex** in the near or distant future as a motivating "carrot on the stick" with her male friends.

She said, "*Alan, I enjoyed reading your book, Mode One, and I liked the fact that it encouraged men to express themselves in an honest and straightforward manner, but ...*" I interrupted her, and said, "But what . . ." She continued, "*but your book let me know that you really don't understand the inner workings of a woman's mind.*" I said, "explain what you mean."

She continued, "*You see, most women know how to work their 'feminine charms' with men. Even with men we only want as platonic friends. I have men who loan me money when I am broke, who come to my house and fix things for free, and men who hang out with me socially when I am bored and want to get out of the house. Now, if I were to tell all of these men straightforwardly that I was never, ever going to have sex with them, do you really think they would keep doing favors for me?*"

I responded, "Of course not. **That's my whole point** in my *Mode One* book. You are misleading and manipulating these men." The woman refused to acknowledge that what she was doing was 'manipulating' men and 'using' them. She referred to it as, "*using the gifts that God gave me to my advantage.*"

It makes me laugh when I am around men who think they are fooling women and "getting over on" women when the reality is vice versa. Some men think that by 'hiding,' 'denying,' and/or 'camouflaging' their sexual desires, interests, and intentions with a woman, that this is going to allow THEM to gain and maintain the "upper hand." They could not be more wrong.

I recall in 2010, I had a man call into my talk radio show, and he expressed frustration about his interactions with a "female friend." He went on to say that he felt like he had essentially allowed himself to become a woman's "platonic boyfriend." He said he was spending an average of fifteen-to-twenty hours per week with this one particular female friend, but she would never allow him to kiss her or have sex with her.

He said he really began to feel angry and frustrated when he found out that this woman was having casual sex with another guy who was only investing about three or four hours per week with her. If you are a man reading this, **think about that for a moment**. You are spending fifteen-plus hours per week communicating with a woman, entertaining a woman, flattering a woman, and hanging out socially with a woman, but yet, your "return on time invested" is NOTHING. No tongue kiss, no blowjobs, no handjobs, and no intercourse. Another guy is investing only 2-3 hours per week, and he is tongue-kissing the woman, receiving blowjobs from the woman, and exchanging orgasms with the woman. Even former U.S. President George W. Bush would refer to that as "fuzzy math."

If you read my first paperback, *Mode One: Let the Women Know What You're REALLY Thinking*, you already know from reading the chapter on "Mode Four Behavior" that this type of scenario is what causes many men to transition from Mode Two Behavior and/or Mode Three Behavior to what I refer to as "The Mode Four Zone" (i.e., behavior toward women that is angry, frustrated, bitter, and misogynistic).

In my Preface chapter, I discussed the idea of men interacting with women who are

practicing celibacy. Most men I know would have no problem spending ten hours per week, twelve hours per week, or fifteen or more hours per week with a woman who is not having sex with them, as long as they know that woman is **not having sex with any other men**.

Things change very fast though when you are spending a lot of time with a woman and you are consciously aware of the fact that this woman is having sex with another guy on a regular basis. Especially if the woman is engaging in casual, non-relationship sex.

Personally, I have experienced that situation more so from the other side of the coin (i.e., I was the guy having sex with the woman while another guy was spending time with that same woman, but not having sex with her). Particularly when I was in college. There were at least a handful of women in which probably forty-five out of every sixty minutes I spent with them, I spent with them having sex. These same women had other guys who were going to the movies with them, going bowling with them, going to parties with them, and they were not even making out with the women or getting laid. I felt bad for those guys.

Here is the deal: A lot of women know that many of the men who they enjoy having sex with in a non-monogamous manner are not going to be “dependable” or willing to spend a lot of their free time with these women.

Therefore, many of these women find other men to keep them busy and keep them entertained in between episodes of casual sex with the men who they *really* want to have sex with. If you have been “that guy,” that means you have been a woman’s “platonic boyfriend.”

Stop FunClubbin’ with women. Just stop it. You are making it very, very easy for women to suck you in as a platonic boyfriend and/or personal entertainer.

In the same way that most women are very selective with what men they share their vaginas with, men need to be equally selective on what women they flatter and entertain.

If more men were “stingy” with their flattering attention and entertaining conversations, you would see more women putting forth more effort to approach men and give in to men’s desires.

Why do you think men who are “celebrity” types get so much play and attention from women? Most celebrities are very selective about who they allow to receive attention from them, and who they allow to share their company on a regular or semi-regular basis. **On a quick side note**, I had one woman who was a public relations expert tell me, *“Alan ... you will never become an A-list celebrity author or talk radio host...”* I said, *“Why not...”* She said, *“You’re too friendly. I watch your behavior on Facebook and Twitter. You respond to just about everyone who asks you something or tells you something. No A-list celebrities do that. Do you think Will Smith would respond to everyone who asks him a question or offers a comment? Do you think Oprah Winfrey or Steve Harvey would ever respond to everyone on Twitter who offers a remark? You need to become more selective about whose questions and comments you respond to.”* For all I know, her wisdom might be on-point. I guess my weakness is, I love to help people, and I love discussing anything related to attraction, seduction, sex, dating, and relationships. If I start ignoring a lot of you on Facebook and Twitter in the upcoming months and years, and my level of fame begins to rise exponentially, then you will know that I finally decided to follow her advice.

In the last chapter, I said more men need to emulate the mentality of a street pimp (i.e., quit acting so impatiently horny around women). In this chapter, my similar message would be **learn to become more inaccessible with women and more selective with women as far as who you engage in regular or semi-regular ‘lengthy entertaining conversations’ with and hang out with socially.**

If I want a woman to be a “true friend” of mine, then for starters, she cannot be prudish. I rarely, if ever maintain friendships with verbally prudish men, so why should I make exceptions for women? I do not like it when a woman claims to want to be my “good friend,” but then she will suggest to me that conversations that include highly provocative subject matter and/or erotically explicit language make her feel “uncomfortable.” No friendship with her!

Think about all of your female “friends.” If they did not have breasts, and did not have a

vagina, would you still have the same interest and motivation to be their friend? If the answer is yes, then that means you truly treasure and appreciate that woman as a “good friend.” Your interests are genuine, and you should maintain that friendship.

If the answer is “no,” or even, “I’m not sure,” then you are involved in a disingenuous friendship with that woman. I would argue that no less than half of the “friendships” between single men and single women in this country are insincere.

I only have about four or five women who I consider to be very close platonic friends of mine who I would be willing to converse with or interact with on a daily, weekly, or monthly basis. The type of women that if they suddenly lost their breasts and magically grew a penis I would still want to remain friends with them. Just about all the rest of the women I know, I would potentially have sex with them if given the opportunity. And most of them **know it**.

It is my belief that there are **three areas of your life** that contribute the most significantly to your level of happiness:

1. Your degree of career success and/or financial stability and self-sufficiency;
2. How healthy you feel mentally, emotionally, and physically, and how sexually desirable and attractive you feel
3. How enjoyable and satisfying your love life is, your sex life is, and your overall social life and personal life is

For me, I use these three areas to contribute to my decision to either **a)** continue a friendship; **b)** end a friendship, or **c)** re-evaluate the benefits and detriments of that friendship. This goes for both my male friends and female friends.

For example, when thinking of my friendship with “Michelle,” I will ask myself these questions:

- Has my friendship with Michelle directly or indirectly helped me improve my career and/or helped me become more financially stable and self-sufficient? If the answer is “yes,” then I will continue that friendship. If the answer is “no,” then I will seriously consider ending that friendship. If the answer is “maybe, but not sure,” then I will re-evaluate the pros and cons of that friendship;
- Has my friendship with Michelle directly or indirectly inspired me to improve my eating habits and exercise habits, and helped keep me motivated to maintain a high degree of emphasis on good health? If the answer is “yes,” then I will continue that friendship. If the answer is “no,” then I will seriously consider ending that friendship. If the answer is “maybe, but not sure,” then I will re-evaluate the pros and cons of that friendship;
- Has my friendship with Michelle directly or indirectly helped enhance my love life, my sex life, and/or my overall level of social enjoyment? If the answer is “yes,” then I will continue that friendship. If the answer is “no,” then I will seriously consider ending that friendship. If the answer is “maybe, but not sure,” then I will re-evaluate the pros and cons of that friendship;

Some may disagree with this manner of evaluating friendships, but I firmly believe that if my friendship with a man or woman is not benefiting me in at least one of those three areas, then there is at least a fifty-percent chance or greater that I am going to either end that friendship, or at minimum, I am going to significantly cut back on the level of frequency of our interactions. Ideally, if a friend is helping to enhance in my life in one or more of those three areas, then I feel I should be doing the same thing for them in one or more of those same three areas as well. Just about every “friend” who I can think of, who I communicate with and interact with on a day-to-day, week-to-week, or month-to-month basis contributes to my life in one or more of

those three areas.

My point is that I do not believe you should maintain friendships with people, and particularly members of the opposite sex, for the primary purpose of simply receiving flattering attention from them, engaging in entertaining conversations with them, or for the purpose of leaning on them for financial and non-financial "favours." That leads to a very shallow and superficial friendship in the long-run.

There are many men and women who use the term "friend" and the term "friendship" very, very loosely, and I for one do not like it. I take friendship very seriously. As a man, when you evaluate your current friendships with women, can you honestly say that these women have your best interests at heart? Do they really help lift your spirits up when you are down? Do they always have your back in times of crisis or controversy?

As a man, you should never allow yourself to refer to a woman as a "true friend" if the only time you hear from that woman is when she is bored, lonely, and simply wants to be flattered by you, entertained by you, or borrow money.

If you are interested in a woman romantically or sexually, you need to let that woman know that in a very **confident, upfront, and straightforwardly honest manner**. This way, you will quickly and effectively "weed out" the women who are just looking to waste your time (and money) in the long-run.

Let the "indirect approach" guys fall into the trap of becoming a woman's personal entertainer and platonic boyfriend. Men who believe that being "indirect" is better than being "direct" will find themselves investing a lot of time and money pursuing women who really have no genuine interest in them romantically or sexually. Poor guys.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics of women who are looking to recruit you to become their "personal entertainer" and/or "platonic boyfriend":

- Anytime a woman repeatedly tells you that you have a "great personality" or a "great sense of humor," but this woman never allows you to get physical or sexual with her, that means this woman is only interested in sharing your company for the sake of being "entertained";
- Anytime a woman regularly allows you to discuss sexually provocative subject matter with her, but she never allows you to become physical or sexual with her, this woman is only looking to engage in her version of a "platonic friendship," even if she does not make that specifically clear to you;
- Anytime a woman tells you that she does not want to have sex with you because she wants to "take time to get to know you," but you never feel that your interactions with that woman are actually progressing toward a romantic or sexual relationship, 99% chance, you are being "used" my friend. Especially if you find out that another man had sex with this same woman within a day or two, or a week or two after he first made her acquaintance. So much for making men wait to "get to know her";
- Anytime a woman only gets in contact with you when she is bored, lonely, or in-between relationships, but you never hear from her otherwise, you are being 'used' as a "platonic boyfriend" and "personal entertainer";

In my next chapter, I will describe the third type of Timewaster, which is a woman who is somewhat similar to the women described in Chapter One and Chapter Two, but yet, they are a little bit different. These women are not so much interested in flattery or being entertained ... but rather, they want a man they can engage in gossip with and a man who is willing to listen to them "whine and complain" when things are going wrong with the men who they are really interested in having sex with. In a nutshell, these women want you to become a "male girlfriend" and/or an "empathetic listening ear." Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Three

Women who want you to become their "Gossip Buddy" and provide them with an "Empathetic Listening Ear"

This chapter is going to be somewhat of a continuation of Chapter Two, because it also centers on men who make the mistake of "FunClubbing" with women, and this chapter will also further discuss the women who bait men into having lengthy conversations with them that will never directly lead to having sex.

The difference between the type of Timewaster I am about to describe, and the women who I described in Chapter One and Chapter Two, is that these women are not necessarily looking to engage in conversations that are flattering, flirtatious, lighthearted and/or entertaining in nature.

This Timewaster type is more so looking for a man who she can share juicy gossip with about her friends, her co-workers, her neighbors, or even her own family members. She is also looking for a man who is willing to listen to her 'vent' about her disappointments, her problems, and her frustrations, particularly as it relates to her interactions with men who she recently dated or had sex with. In essence, she is looking for a man to become a combination of a "gossip buddy" and a "male girlfriend with a dependable and empathetic listening ear."

I have had a number of male friends of mine who have allowed themselves to fall into this category with a woman, including myself a few times. Even if a man and a woman have mutually agreed that their relationship with each other is going to be purely platonic, I still have criticisms of a man allowing himself to become a woman's "gossip buddy" and/or "male girlfriend." There is just something inherently "unmanly" about both roles.

I am particularly critical of this scenario when the man involved really has romantic feelings or a strong sexual attraction for the woman, but she is 'using' the man primarily in a self-serving manner rather than a mutually beneficial manner.

I can name at least two male clients that I had in London that got caught up in this situation. One guy had "settled" for being a woman's platonic friend even though he had a strong desire to be in a romantic relationship with the woman. He told me that no less than three out of every five conversations he had with his so-called "female friend," the woman would always talk about her frustrations with other men's behavior who she was recently involved in a long-term or short-term sexual relationship with.

Another guy from The United Kingdom had a similar situation, only his situation involved as many as three women. He had allowed himself to become a "play brother" to his "female friends," but deep-down, he wanted to have casual sex with all three of the women, or at least, one or two of the three.

Instead of experiencing orgasmic pleasure with these women, he ended up listening to their stories of disappointment, hurt feelings, and frustration about other men who these three women had been in a long-term romantic relationship with or had short-term casual sex with.

As far as I am concerned, you are allowing a woman to "psychologically emasculate you" without consciously realizing it when you allow a woman to treat you like a "male girlfriend" who is interested in her gossip and/or interested in listening to her "vent" about her frustrations with other men who she has had sex with. Please grab your testicles right now and make sure they are intact.

What I told both of my British male clients was to become extremely hardline toward women who attempt to bait them into becoming a gossip buddy or an empathetic listening ear. I told them to tell these women that they have no desire to listen to the women talk about other men who enjoyed the pleasure of having their penises in those women's mouths and/or

vaginas.

If a woman is an ex-girlfriend of mine or a former casual lover of mine, and I have already had sex with her, then yes ... I might allow her to get away with a handful of conversations that involve trivial gossip. Also, if a woman and I have a mutually platonic friendship. Generally speaking, I do not like gossip. I do not care what John did with Cathy, and what Leon did with Tanisha. If it does not relate to my books, my talk radio show, or something to do with my life, or something to do with the life of the person I am conversing with, I could care less.

If I am conversing with a woman who I want to have sex with, but I have not had sex with her yet, that is when I am very hardline about not engaging in gossip, or not allowing a woman to talk about another man who she has recently had sex with. If you are not planning on having sex with me, I do not want to hear stories about who you recently had sex with or who you are about to have sex with. Why would I want to listen to that?

Many women want to have at least one or two male friends of theirs who will validate their criticisms of men. For example, if a woman feels like "all men are dogs," it does not make their criticism feel valid if only their girlfriends agree with that criticism. They want at least one (heterosexual) male to agree with that criticism. If they feel like "all men are selfish and egotistical," then they want at least one or two men they know to co-sign with that accusation. This makes them feel better about their opinions.

I know many women who would not be happy if they did not have the opportunity to "whine and complain" about the various aspects of men's behavior (in fairness, some men are the same way toward women). The truth is, some women are simply spoiled, and naively believe that most men are going to do everything in their power to please and accommodate them.

The reality is, many women lose interest in a man who behaves exactly the way the women want them to behave. I told some of the guys who attended *The Direct Dating Summit USA* that was held in Las Vegas in March 2012 this very thing. Many women will say, "*I want a good guy. A guy who respects me, and is good to me.*" A few women actually mean it when they offer that comment, but a number of other women do not.

I have witnessed a number of women get hold of a 'good' man, and totally dog him out and mistreat him. The problem, as I see it, is that many of the men who are highly respectful to women, pleasant in nature, polite, and accommodating to women are not perceived as enough of a "challenge" to a woman's ego. One thing a man will learn about women is that many of them love to date and have sex with men who they perceive as a strong challenge to their ego.

Similarly, there are some women who will meet a man who they totally love and adore in a non-sexual manner, but sexually, that man just doesn't "cut the mustard" (i.e., he does not please them and satisfy them in bed). Quite a few times I have heard women say, "*I really loved his intelligence and his sense of humor, but sexually, he bored me to death.*"

On the opposite end, a woman could meet a man who she thinks has a less-than-desirable personality, but if that man can rock her world in the bedroom, there is a very good chance that she is going to connect with him. This is why you have so many men asking, "*Why is that beautiful woman with that guy? He is a jerk! He is an asshole!*" Well, I hate to break this to the men, but that so-called "jerk" is handling his business in bed.

Here is how the process of a woman gaining a new "male girlfriend" and/or "empathetic listening ear" goes down:

1. A woman will either get dumped by her former husband or ex-boyfriend who she still really cares about -or- a woman will get dogged out by a former casual sex lover who she really enjoyed having sex with and was hoping to get upgraded to "girlfriend" status;

2. The woman first will seek empathy and agreement to her criticisms of these men's behavior from her sister(s), female cousins, or closest girlfriends;

3. Then later, as I mentioned earlier, she will identify at least one or two of her male platonic friends (or more appropriately, men who are **pretending** to be content with nothing more than a platonic friendship, but really these men want to get in her pants) to validate her harsh criticisms of her ex-husband, her ex-boyfriend, or her former "sex buddy."

4. Because the man is guilty of "FunClubbing" with this woman, he falls right into this woman's trap. Within a matter of days, the man has for all practical purposes been indoctrinated as this woman's "male girlfriend." She will then begin to share trivial gossip with him, entertaining stories about her boss who she perceives as an "asshole" (man) or a "bitch" (woman), and she will constantly express frustrations about the various aspects of men's behavior in which she does not care for.

The longer you, as a man, allow yourself to listen to women ranting and venting about other men, the more you will solidify your status of remaining in a woman's dreaded "Friend Zone."

This is why I told my two clients in London to stop playing the role of the "male girlfriend" **immediately**. I told both of my clients to look those women directly in their eyes, and say with confidence and conviction, ***"I have no fucking desire to hear you talk about other men whose cocks have been in your mouth or vagina. I want to be the man who is able to insert my own cock into your mouth or vagina. If we can't make that happen, this friendship must end, and it must end within the next few days."***

Both men initially were reluctant to follow my advice. Days later, they agreed to follow my advice. Sure enough, both clients experienced success in the long-run. With one client, his one female friend initially had a very angry, negative reaction to his comments, but he held his ground.

Approximately one week later, the woman called him and apologized. She admitted that it was probably irritating for her to be constantly talking to my male client about other men who she wanted to have sex with and/or had recently had sex with. He then apologized to her for "hiding" the fact that he was really attracted to her romantically. Days later, they made out and started doing what men and women were put on earth to do.

The other male client of mine ended up writing me and saying, *"Alan ... you are a genius!!"* He told me that he ended up making out with two of his three "female friends," and at the time he had sent me the email message, he had already had sex with one of the three. Similar to the other client, he said initially the women had an adverse response to his hardline comments because they were on the verge of establishing him as their permanent "play brother," but later the women relented.

I will say this ad nauseum: I do not believe in playing the role of the "play brother" with a woman that I know deep-down I want to be in a romantic relationship with or that I know deep-down that I want to have casual sex with. If you, as a man, know that your interest in a woman is strictly, 100% platonic, then go ahead and be a "play brother" to that woman. But if you are lying to yourself and being phony with that woman, you are going to feel very angry, frustrated, and bitter later on.

If you have not been forthright with women about your true romantic and/or sexual desires, interests, and intentions, then you cannot validly say that women who treat you like a "male girlfriend" are 'using' you or manipulating you. **You are playing yourself.**

That being said, there are situations where even if a woman knows that you are interested in sharing her company in a romantic or sexual manner, she will still try her best to recruit you to be her "empathetic listening ear." Remember the woman I mentioned in the last chapter ... Raven? Raven did that with me. Even when she knew I wanted to date her, she would call me

to either vent about work-related problems or express frustrations about other men.

Consequently, she and I fell out with each other over a dozen times. The times when I was lenient with her, of course she loved talking to me. When I was more hardline about not playing the role “male platonic friend who wants to listen to her talk about her problems and frustrations,” she got mad at me.

Some women do a “bait and switch” with men. I have approached women with a romantic or sexual interest, and that woman will say, *“Well, I need for you to get to know me.”* I have asked many women, “What does that mean?” and most of the time, even they cannot give me a specific, detailed definition or description of that over-used phrase.

If “getting to know a woman” translates into me temporarily becoming a woman’s “play brother” or “empathetic listening ear,” no thank you. I am not interested (especially if my interest is primarily casual sex).

I had a woman say at the Relationship Chat in Chicago, *“Even when my only interest is casual sex, I still want a man to treat me like I am special and take time to get to know me.”* What does that REALLY mean?

I have never heard a man express to me that he needed to “get to know a woman” before having sex with her. Particularly, casual sex. With casual sex, I only want to know three things: **1)** Are you free-spirited and uninhibited in bed? **2)** Are you free of any sexually transmitted diseases? and **3)** Are you cool and content with short-term, non-monogamous sex? (*i.e., are you going to avoid “stalking” me later or avoid trying to persuade me to engage in a more long-term, monogamous relationship with you?*)

That’s it. Even when I am looking at a woman as a potential long-term girlfriend or future wife, I still would argue that I do not really need to “get to know her” prior to having sex. If my interest is in having a more long-term, monogamous sexual relationship with a woman, most of the aspects of her behavior that I want to examine more thoroughly are those that are totally unrelated to sexual enjoyment and satisfaction.

For example, if I am interested in having children with a woman, is she going to be a good mother to my children? Can I trust this woman in my house or apartment by herself? Is this woman an honest person or a dishonest person? Is she good with money or does she spend money like it grows on trees? Does she like the same television shows and movies that I do? Can she handle the fact that I sometimes snore? Does she love chicken wings and *Peach Snapple*®? In a nutshell, 90% of the reason I want to “get to know a woman” is related to the time I plan on spending with that woman **non-sexually** rather than sexually.

I believe the vast majority of men think like me. We do not need to spend weeks, months, or years “getting to know a woman” to determine if we will enjoy ourselves in bed with a woman. I can think of instances where I have made a woman’s acquaintance for the first time on a Friday at 10:00pm, and was engaging in intercourse with that same woman as early as 10:25pm or 10:30pm, and no later than 11:30pm or 12 Midnight. What does that tell you?

Some women use that phrase simply because it sounds good. It makes many women feel like they are presenting themselves as more of a “respectable lady” as opposed to a ‘tramp’, a ‘ho,’ or a ‘slut.’ I do not concern myself with such highly subjective labels and perceptions.

Either I will enjoy having sex with a woman, or I will not. Either a woman will enjoy having sex with me, or she will not. At any given moment, I either want long-term sex or short-term sex. Similarly, at any given moment in time, I either want monogamous sex or non-monogamous sex. Beyond that, I could care less about “labels,” insults, and name-calling.

Bottom line, as a man, do not allow women to use that *“you need to get to know me first before we have sex”* as a subtle manipulative tool for them to recruit you as a male platonic friend who they can gossip with, talk about trivial stuff going on in their life with, and use you to validate the criticisms they have of other men’s behavior.

Listening to a woman talk about how much her boss gets on her nerves is not helping me get to know her. Listening to a woman gossiping about her co-worker's affair with her ex-boyfriend is not helping me get to know her. I want to know about the woman's personality, moral character, and integrity.

And as far as the comment the woman made at the Relationship Chat in Chicago about wanting to be treated "special" even when she wants nothing more than casual sex with a man is a goofy comment to make in my opinion.

The main reason why men elevate and upgrade a woman from the status of "friendly acquaintance" or "casual lover" to "girlfriend" and potentially "wife" is because they have a strong desire to treat that woman "special." As one quote goes, "If you treat everyone you know like they are a special, then no one you know is really special."

I am not saying that if a woman is not your long-term girlfriend or wife, then this means you should treat a woman like crap and blatantly disrespect her as a human being. I am not suggesting that at all. But I am not going to treat a woman who I am engaging in a one-night stand, weekend fling, or other variation of casual sex as if she is my exclusive girlfriend.

With the title of "girlfriend" comes perks and privileges. For example, when a girlfriend calls me, I call her right back. That is not guaranteed with a casual lover. I will 'wine and dine' a long-term girlfriend of mine. A casual lover of mine probably will not receive a free meal at the finest restaurants on my behalf. A girlfriend will receive a Valentine's Day card. A casual lover will not. So on and so on.

I do not wish to mislead women, string women along, or play head games with women. Once you start treating a casual "sex buddy" like a long-term girlfriend, she begins to develop strong emotional feelings for you. Then, if you never upgrade her to the status of "girlfriend," she feels hurt and betrayed.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics of women who are looking to recruit you to become their "male girlfriend" and/or "empathetic listening ear":

- Anytime a woman primarily calls you when she either wants to engage in gossip, or express her frustrations about other men who she has recently dated or had sex with, that means this woman is interested in treating you like an "empathetic listening ear" and "gossip buddy";
- Anytime a woman invites you to hang out with her and her girlfriends, and you are the only male present, and the primary conversation centers on criticizing various aspects of men's behavior or vilifying men who are womanizers, that means that this woman views you as her "male girlfriend";
- Anytime a woman knows for a fact that you have a romantic and/or sexual interest in her, but she makes a big deal out of telling you that you need to take time to "get to know her," but then, most of her subsequent conversations center on gossip, what she dislikes about men, or trivial but entertaining stories about her life's experiences, that means this woman is more-than-likely trying to run a "bait-and-switch" technique on you. She is giving you the misleading impression that there will be ***the possibility of sex*** at some point in the future, but in reality, she wants you as nothing more than a conversation partner and a "play brother";

In my next chapter, I will describe the fourth and final type of Timewaster, which is a woman who uses ***the possibility of sex*** in the future as a means of attracting financial and non-financial favors from men. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Four

Women who want you to become their "Platonic Sugar Daddy" and help them out whenever they need a favor

Here are some of the various reasons why many men are willing to pay women money, "wine and dine" women, offer women materialistic gifts, and/or perform general financial-related favors for women in exchange for sex:

- You do not believe that a woman who you are interested in having sex with finds you attractive or sexually appealing; Therefore, you feel you have to offer the woman a "monetary incentive" in order to motivate her to agree to have sex with you;
- You feel like you are 'in competition' with many other men for a particular woman's attention and sexual companionship; Therefore, you feel you have to be perceived as the "highest bidder" for the exclusive rights to that woman's sexual companionship for a number of hours, days, weeks, months, or longer;
- You are married or already romantically involved, but you desire to have another woman as a 'mistress' or a 'woman-on-the-side'; You want to motivate her to "keep quiet" about your undercover sexual relationship, so you feel like you must pay her a 'fee' or 'stipend' to keep your trysts discreet;
- You have already been having sex with a woman, and she is totally obedient, submissive, and accommodating to your sexual desires, needs, and preferences; Therefore, you want to offer her a "reward" and token of your appreciation to keep her motivated to remain your beck-and-call lover indefinitely;

There might be two or three other reasons and motivations why men offer women "incentives" and "rewards" in exchange for sexual enjoyment and satisfaction, but I would rank what I listed as arguably the Top Four. In this chapter, I am going to primarily concentrate on examining the first two motivations.

I have respect for women who value their attention and companionship. Many men criticize confident women as being "stuck up" or "arrogant," but I give kudos to women who maintain a high degree of self-confidence and self-esteem.

I find that a number of people in society tend to become jealous and resentful toward those who exhibit a significantly higher degree of self-assurance and a higher degree of value for what they have to offer than themselves. This is why so many men and women who are popular and successful tend to attract a lot of envious critics or "haters" in society.

I do not "hate on" women who carry themselves as if their sexual companionship is worth thousands of dollars. I have a degree in Economics, so I am well aware that the basis for anything of value is **supply and demand**. The more men you have competing with one another for a woman's attention and romantic or sexual companionship, the more those men increase the perceived 'social market value' of that woman's companionship.

If you are a man reading this right now, close your eyes for a moment, and pretend you are an attractive woman with a high degree of sex appeal. Pretend as though you have dozens, if not hundreds of men expressing a desire to share your company in a romantic and/or sexual manner.

Be honest with yourself: Wouldn't you be tempted to "take advantage" of some of the men who are offering to pay your car note, pay your rent or mortgage, or offering you an employment opportunity that will pay you a high five-figure or six-figure salary? Tempting, isn't it? Good looks + sex appeal = a good number of opportunities for "favors" to take advantage from men.

Before you criticize women for what you perceive as “shallow” and “materialistic” behavior, you need to first evaluate the behavior of your fellow man. You have to ask, “What enjoyment and satisfaction do men experience from having sex with a woman in a situation where they had to pay that woman for her time and companionship?”

Think about all of the services you pay for. You pay to see a physician. You pay to see a dentist. You pay to get your car fixed. Hell, men pay money to even **hear me talk and give them advice** about how to approach women, initiate a conversation with women, and ultimately attract and seduce those women.

I say, if a man feels as though it is worth it for him to pay a woman to have sex with him (either directly with cash, or indirectly via “favors”), then more power to him, and more power to her. **Real talk.**

Here is where I have a problem with members of both genders when it comes to financial favors and sex: it's one thing to offer a woman compensation for actually having sex with you, but it is a totally different scenario when you are offering women financial favors in exchange for nothing more than **the possibility of sex** in the near or distant future.

I am not the biggest fan of scenario #1, but I am definitely harshly critical of scenario #2. It's one thing to pay for sex, but to offer a woman money for a non-guaranteed opportunity of sex? Oh, hell no. Sounds crazy doesn't it? Well, I hate to break this to you, but many naïve and impatiently horny men commit this act of stupidity on a yearly, monthly, and even weekly basis.

I remember watching an episode of a crime drama on television, and on this particular episode, a married guy who had a stripper as a mistress was accused of killing his wife. In one scene, the detective is absorbing the stripper's apartment, and paying attention to how many nice materialistic items she is in possession of. She also is driving a very expensive sports car.

The detective made a comment along the lines of, *“I see that it pays to have a long-lasting affair with a man with means.”* The stripper smirks and stares at the detective, and then offered the comment, *“Affair? I guess. If that's what you want to call it.”* The detective is surprised. He said, *“Well, he is married, right? If you two were having sex behind his wife's back, I would very much call that an ‘affair’.”*

Then, the stripper replied with a comment that contributed to both the title and major theme of this book. She said, *“Detective, you seem like a smart man, but your perceptions in this case are very wrong. You see, what many women in my line of work don't realize is that with a lot of men, you can get more from them by **teasing them with the possibility of sex** than you can by **actually having sex with them.**”*

The detective had an expression of “Well, I'll be damned.” He could not believe that the married guy would buy this woman all of those nice materialistic gifts without actually having sex with the stripper. You know who was not surprised? **Me.** I have seen this type of scenario happen many times in real life, which is exactly why I decided to write this book. I have observed a good number of men be guilty of exhibiting the same behavior as that fictional married man on that television show did.

When I was employed at a couple of the major movie studios in California, I worked with some women who were drop-dead gorgeous and super sexy. These women would choose to share details from their personal lives with me all the time because they grew to feel comfortable around me. These women would tell me about how they would play 'head games' with men and manipulate men regularly for financial and non-financial “favors.”

Some of these women would tell me stories about how men would not only buy them materialistic gifts such as jewelry and clothes, but some of these gullible men would offer to pay the women's car note for a number of months, or pay their rent or mortgage for a number of months, **in the hope of gaining the possibility to have sex** with them.

I interviewed a woman on my talk radio show who wrote a book that teaches women how to become an effective gold digger, and take advantage of men financially. Her main advice was, *"you should never actually have sex with men in exchange for money or financial favors. That would make you a whore. (and she's right; most dictionaries specifically define a 'whore' as a woman who exchanges sex for money) What you want to do is give a man the subtle impression that you MIGHT have sex with him at some point in the future, but you never want to promise him that or guarantee that. Just offer a subtle hint that he MIGHT get 'lucky' one day soon and end up having sex with you...."*

That particular female author says in her book that one guy spent as much as **\$2,000.00 worth** buying her expensive clothes because she gave this man the subtle, misleading impression that "one day soon" he was going to gain the opportunity to have sex with her. End the end, **this man did not even get as much as a tongue kiss from her**. See, that is the difference between what many refer to as a "trick" and a "sucka." In the world of prostitution and urban interactions between men and women, a 'trick' is a man who actually pays a woman money for the opportunity to have sex with her. A 'sucka' is a man who spends money on women simply with the **hope** that he might one day gain the opportunity to have sex with a woman of interest, but in the long-run, this man not only never ends up having sex with the woman, but more often than not, he does not even end up tongue kissing the woman or making out with the woman.

Women who are what I refer to as "manipulative favor-seekers" **do not exchange sex for money**. The women who do that are primarily street prostitutes, professional Call Girls, upscale Erotic Escorts, and seasoned, savvy gold diggers (who I will talk about in more detail in Chapter Five). Again, women who are manipulative favor-seekers offer men nothing more than **the possibility of sex** in exchange for money, materialistic gifts, free meals, and other "favors" of value to them.

I will confess: I have been guilty of being somewhat of a 'sucka' a few times in my adult life. Never on a major scale (*such as offering to pay a woman's rent or car note*), but on a minor scale, yes. The best example for me would be treating a woman to dinner and a movie with the hope and confident expectation that I was going to be able to persuade that woman to agree to have sex with me as the night was drawing to a close.

Sometimes, I genuinely enjoy having dinner with a woman and viewing a movie with a woman. I went to see two low-budget independent films in Chicago in 2012 with a good female friend of mine named Kylana primarily because she is just as much of a "movie buff" as I am (*To say I love movies would be a gross understatement; I even recommend some movie titles at the end of this book that I feel are relevant to the themes I discuss in this book*).

When I was younger though, many times I would treat a woman to dinner and a movie just to get laid. If I was successful, of course, I felt great at the end of the night. If I failed to seduce that woman into having sex with me, I would go home feeling agitated, frustrated, and regretful. I would generally feel like I wasted time and money for nothing. A lot of men can relate to me because realistically, this is a common M.O. of a lot of young, horny men. I talked about these type of scenarios in my chapter about "Mode Two Behavior" in my first paperback, *Mode One*.

The key to preventing yourself from falling into the category of a 'sucka' is to always express your sexual desires, interests, and intentions to women in a **Mode One manner**. As a man, you want to always force a woman to give you one of two responses: **1)** "Yes, I am definitely interested in having sex with you," or **2)** "No, I am definitely not interested in having sex with you." The primary basis behind **The Mode One Approach** is to always put a woman in a position where she has to either specifically and straightforwardly **reciprocate** your sexual desires and interests **-or-** she has to specifically and straightforwardly **reject** your sexual desires and interests.

The problem with men who highly favor "the indirect approach" is that those types of men cannot egotistically handle abrupt rejection. Men who favor the indirect approach would rather roll the dice, and run the risk of potentially ending up as a 'sucka' than to experience rejection from a woman within the first five minutes of their first conversation with a woman.

When you put a woman in a position where she has to give you a specific, definite and straightforward "yes" or a specific, definite and straightforward "no," you prevent that woman from "teasing" you and manipulating you with nothing more than "the **possibility** of sex."

Not all "favors" that women receive from men are in the form of money, materialistic gifts, or free meals. Sometimes, it might be a man fixing something that was wrong with her car for free. Other times, it might be a man coming over and helping that woman clean her house, lift something heavy, or mow her lawn. A man might teach a woman a new skill, such as how to use a computer or her new Smartphone. There are a number of financial and non-financial "favors" that men frequently perform for women.

The problem is not so much in **WHAT** a man does for women as much as it is in **WHY** the man is doing it. Again, if a man treats a woman to dinner and a night at the movies because he genuinely has a desire to have some female company while filling up his stomach and watching a movie, there is nothing wrong with that.

It is when a man starts offering to perform financial and non-financial favors for women with the specific, underlying desire, interest and intention of getting into a woman's pants that makes a man become guilty of being manipulative, and even more importantly, **the man opens the door of opportunity for women to manipulate him**. This was one of my top two, top three major points in my book, *Mode One*. **Manipulation is always a two-way street. Always.** *You cannot manipulate a member of the opposite sex without also opening the door for a member of the opposite sex to manipulate you.* Think of manipulation literally like opening the door to your house or apartment. If you open the door to exit, you are also opening the door for someone else to enter.

After reading this chapter, and the previous chapters, are you slowly but surely starting to realize why many women, and particularly women with highly manipulative intentions, tend to have an adverse reaction to men who express a desire for sex in an upfront, specific, unapologetic, and straight-to-the-point manner? If it was not clear before, it should be becoming real clear after reading this book.

I will never forget the one time in 1990 when I went to visit a female friend of mine in Chicago. One afternoon, I happened to be in this particular friend's neighborhood, so I decided to stop by her place unannounced. My friend's roommate answered the door, and let me know that my friend was not there. She offered to let me in though, and said my friend would be returning in approximately thirty to forty-five minutes.

This was my first time making the acquaintance of my friend's roommate, and I will acknowledge that she was quite attractive and sexy. When she first came to the door, she had on a form-fitting t-shirt and short, tight gym shorts. About five minutes later, all she had on was a shower towel. She said, "*I hope you don't mind, but I am about to take a shower.*" I said, "Okay." Then, I started reading a number of different magazines that were on the living room table.

Next thing I know, she kept going in the bathroom ... and then coming out. In ... and out. In ... and out. At one point, she even acted like her towel "accidentally" dropped. For at least a few seconds, I saw her in her very lovely birthday suit. Minutes later, she kept making attempts to engage me in entertaining "small talk" and "chit chat." Finally, I had enough.

I boldly said, "Are we fucking before I leave here today?" She looked totally surprised and caught off guard by my question. First she giggled, and then seconds later, she acted as though she was partially offended by my question. She said, "*No!!! I don't even know you!!! I just met you Alan!!! Why would you even think for a second that I would allow you to have sex*"

with me?!?”

I replied, “Well if you have no desire or intention to have sex with me, why don’t you quit parading back and forth in front of me with just your towel on. I have no desire to look at your naked body if you and I are not going to be having sex. So ... why don’t you just go in the bathroom, take your shower, and leave me alone and let me read this magazine.”

She could not believe I said what I said. She sat quietly for a few seconds, and then she got up and went into the bathroom. A couple of minutes later, she came back out (yet again). She said, “*I hate men like you.*” I chuckled and said, “*Why do you 'hate' men like me?*” She said, “*Because you are too straightforward about your desire for sex. I hate that.*”

Then, she went on to offer a comment that I have never, ever forgotten. Only a few women I have had conversations with have been totally forthright and honest about their desire to mislead and manipulate men. My friend’s roommate on that day was probably the first.

She said, “*When a man is as straightforward as you are, you take away all the fun in flirting with a man for a woman like me.*” Deep-down, I knew where she was going with this, but I wanted to hear her out. I asked, “What do you mean by ‘I take away all of your fun?’” She said, “*What I mean is, by being straightforward the way you are, you take away all of my manipulative power. I’m the type of woman that likes to toy with men a bit. Tease men. Play with their heads for a while. But when a man is straightforward in the way that you are, you put a woman like me in a position where I have to either immediately let you know I want to have sex with you, or I have to immediately rebuff you, and I don’t like that. I don’t like that at all.*”

This woman’s comments were, in my opinion, representative of how all women who are “Pretenders” and “Timewasters” think. This is why all women who are attention whores, cock teasers, favor-seekers, and overall Timewaster types despise and often criticize and insult men who exhibit *Mode One Behavior* toward them. A lot of women who will say that Mode One Behavior is “too forward” or “socially inappropriate” or is representative of “objectifying women” are not being truthful. The real reason why the vast majority of ‘Pretender’ types and ‘Timewaster’ types have an adverse reaction to a direct approach is because they feel just like my female friend’s roommate felt. They do not want to relinquish their manipulative power too quickly, if at all. As a man, you cannot be afraid to introduce the subject of sex into a first conversation with a woman. Sex is as natural of a desire for men and women as the desire to eat food or breathe in oxygen.

Another reason why many (manipulative) women behave as though they are “insulted” by a man’s erotically explicit candor is because they are egotistically frustrated that you as a (horny) man are refusing to offer them anything of value in exchange for simply **the possibility of having sex** with them.

Most manipulative women not only want to be offered something of value in exchange for their romantic and sexual attention and companionship, but they confidently **expect** men to offer them something. At minimum, they expect you to offer to treat them to some free alcoholic drinks at a bar or nightclub, or a lunch meal or dinner meal, and maybe a movie. At maximum, they expect you to offer them a better lifestyle, or assistance in paying their bills. At *bare minimum*, they expect you to excessively flatter them or engage them in lengthy and entertaining conversations.

The more a man gives a woman the impression that he is incredibly horny and impatient for sex, the more a woman is going to believe that she can manipulate him, and motivate him to offer her something of tangible or intangible value. This is why I said the second most important personality quality a man can possess next to a high degree of self-confidence is **patience** and control over his hormones and lustful desires.

If you are a man reading this, let me ask you something. Do you think you are a reasonably handsome man? If your answer is “no” or “not really,” why not?

Do you think you have a personality that other people would be drawn to? A personality that would bore people? A personality that would repel people or irritate people?

Do you think you could please and satisfy a woman sexually with your penis on a regular or semi-regular basis? If your answer is “no” or “not really,” why not? Do you think you could please a woman's vagina, and bring her to orgasm with one or more of your fingers? Do you think you could please a woman's vagina, and bring her to orgasm with your mouth, lips, and tongue? If your answer is “no” or “not really,” why not?

If you currently feel like you have to pay women money for sex, or offer women financial favors in exchange for their sexual companionship, why do you think you feel that is necessary? How long have you felt this way?

When you express excessive compliments to women, do you feel that your flattery will help you earn some “brownie points” with women that will increase your chances of exchanging orgasms with them?

Do you believe that women are more motivated to have sex with the guys who flatter their egos the most as opposed to the guys who rarely, if ever flatter their egos?

Would you take time to repeatedly and excessively compliment a woman on her face, her body, and her personality if she told you upfront that she was never, ever going to have sex with you? Why or why not?

Do you believe that women choose to have sex with the guys who have the best sense of humor and funniest stories? Do you believe that men who are stand-up comedians have sex with more women than men who are singers, musicians, or athletes? Why or why not?

Do you believe that it is impossible to have sex with women while only engaging in a one-time five or ten-minute conversation with them? If your answer is “yes,” why do you feel that way? Do you think the only way a man can have sex with a woman is to engage her in a very lengthy, very informative, and very entertaining conversation? If your answer is “yes,” why do you feel that way?

Do you believe that discussing the subject of sex with a woman is “inappropriate?” Do you feel that it is “disrespectful” to let a woman know that she attracts you in a sexual manner? Do you think it is “rude” to let a woman know that you would like to have sex with her at some point in the near or distant future? Particularly if your interest is primarily “casual” sex?

Do you believe that each and every woman who compliments you and categorizes you as a “nice guy” or a “gentleman” is ultimately going to agree to have sex with you?

If every woman in society only chose to have sex with men who exhibited behavior that was pleasant, polite, well-mannered, considerate, cooperative, and financially generous, then why do men always witness or hear rumors about women having sex with men who exhibit behavior that is crass, brazen, selfish, egotistical, inconsiderate, socially inappropriate, and/or cheap? In other words, do men who get complimented the most by women always end up attracting and seducing more women than the men who get criticized the most by women?

When you compliment a woman excessively, and you end up getting rejected and not having sex with that woman, do you find yourself regretting how much flattery you expressed to that woman? Why or why not?

When you engage in a number of lengthy conversations with a woman, but later on you end up getting rejected and failing to have sex with that woman, do you find yourself regretting the amount of time you invested in those entertaining conversations with that woman? Why or why not?

And most importantly, as it relates to the main topic of this particular chapter, when you spend money on women, buy women materialistic gifts, and/or agree to perform various financial and non-financial “favors” for women, and you never end up having sex with those women who you were generous with, do you find yourself feeling bitter, frustrated, and/or

regretful later on? Why or why not?

You do not need to answer those questions for my knowledge. You need to answer those questions for **yourself**. I would say as soon as you are finished reading this book in its entirety, the first thing you should do is answer those questions.

I will tell you this about myself: **anytime I have done anything with a woman, or for a woman, with the specific underlying objective of getting laid - and I did not end up having sex with that woman - I ended up feeling angry, frustrated, and regretful later on.**

For example, anytime I have flattered a woman specifically for the sake of motivating that woman to have sex with me, and I failed to have sex with that woman, I felt pissed off about it later on.

Anytime I have engaged in a number of lengthy conversations with a woman, with the primary objective of entertaining that woman so she would feel more inclined to have sex with me – but I failed to have sex with that woman – later on, I felt pissed off about how much time I invested interacting with that woman.

Anytime I have 'wined and dined' a woman, bought a woman a gift, and generally provided a woman with a number of financial and non-financial favors with the specific underlying motive of motivating her to have sex with me – and in the long-run, I never ended up having sex with that woman – later on, I felt angry, bitter, frustrated, and regretful.

Thankfully, I do not engage in such behavior any longer. I have been tempted here and there over the last 15-20 years or so, but I rarely give in. If I know for a fact that the main thing I want from a woman is sex, and more specifically, "casual" sex, then I simply tell her that. Usually by no later than the end of my first face-to-face or over-the-phone conversation with her.

I feel like I have too much character and too much integrity to be expressing a high number of "pleasant lies" to women and/or engaging in a high number of manipulative "head games" with women in order to get laid. The way I see it, either a woman genuinely wants to have sex with me or she does not.

If the woman does **not** have a genuine interest in having sex with me, I simply want her to let me know that as quickly and straightforwardly as possible so that I do not waste valuable time conversing with her anymore, socializing with her anymore, or pursuing her as a sex partner any longer.

Just remember: it's bad enough to have to pay for a woman's sexual companionship, but if you are going to go ahead and do so, at least make sure that **you are actually going to end up having sex with the woman**. Put bluntly, I would rather you allow yourself to behave like a 'trick' than a 'sucka.' At least if you end up being a 'trick,' you will have one or two orgasms. Being a 'sucka' just leaves you feeling angry, frustrated, and resentful toward women. Next thing you know, you become a full-blown misogynist (i.e., a man who hates women).

Let me begin to conclude Part One of this book by breaking down the **four general "non-sexual" situations** you end up experiencing with women.

1) If you are interacting with a woman, and you know for a fact that you have nothing more than a platonic interest in that woman, and she feels the exact same way toward you, you have the makings for an indefinite and genuine **platonic friendship** with that woman;

2) If you are interacting with a woman, and she has made it clear that she wants nothing more than a platonic friendship with you, and you temporarily or indefinitely "pretend" that you are fine and content with a platonic friendship, but deep-down, you want a series of

interactions that are romantic and/or sexual in nature, then you are **FunClubbing**;

3) If you are interacting with a woman, and she starts off giving you an indication that she has a romantic or sexual interest in you, but then days, weeks, or months later, she "changes her mind" and starts exhibiting behavior toward you that is more platonic in nature, and you do not challenge this or refuse to tolerate this, then you are allowing yourself to be placed in a woman's **Friend Zone**;

4) Finally, if you are interested in a romantic or sexual relationship with a woman, but you are allowing that woman to respond to your desires and interests in a manner that is vague and ambiguous, where she is neither reciprocating your desires and interests, nor is she rejecting your desires and interests, and furthermore, you are allowing yourself to flatter this woman, entertain this woman, be a "gossip buddy" or a "male girlfriend" to this woman, or you consistently offer this woman financial and non-financial "favors" ... but at the end of the day, you never end up having sex with this woman sadly my friend, that means you are a '**sucka**,' and **you just got played**. Or more appropriately, you played yourself.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics of women who are looking to "sell" men who are flirtatious and horny on the idea of ***the possibility of sex*** in the near or distant future:

- Anytime a woman gives you the disingenuous impression that if you 'wine and dine' her, and perform favors for her, that she will be more likely to have sex with you, this woman is looking to mislead you and manipulate you;
- Anytime a woman only calls you or invites you to hang out with her socially is when she needs a "favor," but any other time, you rarely hear from her, that means this woman is running game on you;
- Anytime a woman attempts to make you feel "guilty," "apologetic," and/or "defensive" for expressing your sexual desires, interests, and intentions to her in an upfront and straightforwardly honest manner, be wary. Nine times out of ten, that woman is a "Pretender" or a "Timewaster." She is looking to have you flatter her, engage her in lengthy entertaining conversations, and looking to have you spend money on her without her feeling obligated to have sex with you in return. Don't fall for the "*all men want is sex*" trap. Women bank on you becoming apologetic and/or defensive in response them trying to make you feel guilty for emphasizing your desire for sex too quickly or too straightforwardly;

This concludes Part One of the book. In Part Two, I am going to discuss five types of women who will actually agree to have sex with you (if only reluctantly), but for various reasons, I will outline why you still should avoid these very flawed women. Continue reading my friend.

PART TWO
NO GOOD WOMEN:
These Women May Agree to Have
Sex with You, but in the Long-Run,
They Should Still be Avoided

In **Part One**, I discussed and focused on women who do not have a genuine interest in having sex with a man, but they will give that man the misleading impression that he at least has **the possibility of sex** on the table in order to motivate him to provide them with flattering attention, engage in entertaining conversations with them and provide them with non-sexual social companionship, be enthusiastically willing to be a dependable “empathetic listening ear,” or last but not least, provide financial and non-financial favors to these women regularly.

The main point of Part One is to emphasize that there are many single heterosexual men in society who are naive, gullible, inexperienced with women and/or so impatiently horny for long-term or short-term sex that they tend to allow themselves to become susceptible to the flirtatious and subtle manipulative tendencies of an attention whore, a cock teaser, a manipulative favor-seeker, or any other type of “Timewaster” in general.

Now I turn my attention to a different group of women. This second group of women are women who, on the positive end, **will actually have sex with a man**. These women may hold out on having sex with a man for a few hours, a few days, a few weeks, or even a few months, but eventually, they will agree to have sex with that man. How long will they have sex with a man? How frequently will they have sex with a man? How genuinely enthusiastic will these women be about having sex with a man? Those are the important questions regarding this second group of women that I am about to describe in detail in the next five chapters.

All of the women who I am going to discuss have at least one major flaw in their personality, their mental and emotional well-being, their moral character, and their overall sense of integrity.

If you as a man decide to enter into a long-term monogamous relationship with a woman who exhibits one or more of the traits I will describe, nine times out of ten, you are going to eventually want out of that relationship, and you are going to regret that you ever entered into that relationship or marriage in the first place.

There have been a number of “celebrity marriages” that ended in divorce less than a year after the couple got married. Why does this happen? Among other reasons, these ultra-quick divorces take place because the man pursued the woman primarily because of her looks and sex appeal, and the woman married the man primarily because he was wealthy, famous, had a great job, or at minimum, he was skilled in bed.

I am going to use the next five chapters to essentially “warn” men who are either already married or romantically involved, or other men who are on the verge of entering into a relationship, some of the behavioral characteristics that many women possess that will inevitably lead to arguments, animosity, drama, and the ultimate end of the marriage or relationship.

Here is a quick capsule of each of the five types of women that I feel men should take time to evaluate and examine their behavior very closely and meticulously, and be keenly perceptive and wary of their tendencies:

Woman to Avoid #1

Women who are very seasoned, savvy gold diggers;

Woman to Avoid #2

Women who will try to “steal a man away” from his wife or long-term girlfriend

Woman to Avoid #3

Women who are major “drama queens” who love to nag men and argue with men for no valid or productive reason

Woman to Avoid #4

Women who deep-down are “misandrists” (*i.e., a woman who generally despises men and takes advantage of each and every opportunity she is given by weak men who knowingly or unintentionally allow themselves to be disrespected and "psychologically emasculated" by this type of woman*), or at minimum, women who want to "wear the pants" in a relationship and control each and every aspect of any romantic relationship with a man they involve themselves in

Woman to Avoid #5

Women who are pathologically dishonest and/or disloyal, or at bare minimum are very, very "fickle" with their desires, interests, and emotions, and will cheat on their boyfriend or husband behind his back in a heartbeat

Read each chapter coming up very carefully. With each of these women, everything will seem fine in the early stages of your relationship or marriages with them, but once you get comfortable and complacent, all hell will break loose.

Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Five

I Just Want Your Money: The Seasoned, Savvy Gold Digger

If you just completed reading Chapter Four, you might be tempted to say to yourself, “Didn’t we just cover women who want money from men? How is the information in this chapter going to be any different?”

The women I described in Chapter Four were what I refer to as “manipulative favor-seekers.” Those women are primarily looking for a free lunch, a free dinner, a free movie, a free concert ticket, etc. Low-scale stuff. Secondly, those women have no intention of actually having sex with a man.

A woman who is a true, top-notch gold digger is a different breed my friend. Trust me on this. Most men, realistically, do not even encounter true gold diggers because they are not earning enough money. Women who are true gold diggers could care less about a “free lunch.” These women want to **own the restaurant**.

Men who are billionaires, multi-millionaires, and millionaires attract top-tier gold diggers. If you are earning mid-to-high six figures, you also might attract a few gold diggers. If you are earning less than \$250,000 per year, you are probably attracting what I refer to as “second-tier” gold diggers.

Some men will call a woman a “gold digger” simply because they heard that woman tell a girlfriend that she wants to only date men who earn \$75,000 per year or more. My question to the men is, before you start labeling that woman a ‘gold digger,’ do you know how much money that woman earns per year?

If a woman is earning \$90,000 per year herself, and she is looking for a man who is earning anywhere from \$75,000 per year to as much as \$125,000 per year, **that is not a gold digger**. That is a woman who is looking for a man who is on her level. I do not hate on women in this category.

A gold digger is a woman who has no real skills, no real talents, a minimum level of education, and is earning an average to less-than-average salary – or no salary at all – but she has her eyes clearly set on men who will offer to totally upgrade her entire lifestyle. For example, a former stripper who hooks up with a millionaire, and now she never has to work another day in her life. That, my friend, is a gold digger.

Unlike the manipulative favor-seekers, gold diggers have no problem having sex with the men they target. More often than not, they will avoid having sex with their targets too quickly, but eventually, they will indeed have sex with their chosen men.

What is funny to me is that a lot of men will criticize women for being gold diggers, but yet many of these same men will aspire to drive an expensive luxury automobile or sports car while residing in an expensive mansion-style home. Get real.

If what you advertise to women is, “I want to attract you with my level of wealth, my material possessions, and my degree of career success,” what type of women do you really think are going to be drawn to you? Women looking for men with a “good heart” and a “great sense of humor?” **Get real fellas**.

Many women need to “get real” too. The main women who tend to have what it takes to attract very wealthy men are women who are young, beautiful, sexy, and extremely feminine. Some delusional women think they can be in their forties or fifties, be sixty pounds overweight, and possess the not-so-feminine demeanor of a prototypical dominatrix, and still somehow attract the attention of a man with means. Riiiiiiight. Let me know when your alarm clock starts working again.

The term “unattractive gold digger” is an oxymoron. Men are visual creatures. Most men love seductive “eye candy.” Many wealthy men simply want a woman who is great to look at every day, a woman who will please them and satisfy them sexually, and will never cause them any stress or drama. And these men are willing to offer women who fit the bill an upscale, carefree lifestyle as an “incentive” and “reward.”

Now, if you are a man who acknowledges that you do not mind being a woman’s “Sugar Daddy,” and you are consciously aware of the fact that each and every woman who flirts with you and shows interest in you is looking for a man with means, then you will have no problems. You are operating in reality.

The men I am offering advice to are men who are **a)** earning a six-figure, seven-figure, or eight-figure income, and **b)** looking for a romantic companion who has a genuine interest in them as a person rather than simply a “Sugar Daddy.” I met a man in London who organizes men's events, and he said there are literally dozens of millionaire bachelors who fit this description who live in Switzerland. At the time, he actually wanted me to do a speaking engagement in front of these types.

Did you see the romantic comedy *Coming to America*, starring box-office movie star Eddie Murphy? Murphy's character was a wealthy African prince who was looking for a woman who would truly love him for him, and not for his wealth. So, what did he do? He initially pretended like he was poor.

I have heard stories of wealthy men in real life who have exhibited strategies similar to Murphy's fictional character of “Prince Akeem.” Now, if you are well-known and powerful like someone such as wealthy business owner Donald Trump, you would never be able to “pretend” like you are poor. A man like Trump would always just have to rely on his intuition and keen sense of body language perception in order to quickly identify shallow gold digger types.

We all know from celebrity divorces that wealth and fame does not necessarily attract genuine or quality spouses. Many Hollywood celebrities and professional athletes end up divorcing women rather quickly after realizing that the woman who they thought loved them genuinely was really just another groupie and gold digger in disguise.

The best way for a wealthy man to meet a woman with no underlying motives is to either **a)** connect with a woman who already has her own degree of wealth, or **b)** connect with a woman before you actually accumulate a lot of wealth. Entertainer Bill Cosby connected with his wife before he was rich, and he and his wife Camille are still married to this day. Same with Denzel Washington and his wife Pauletta. Pauletta Washington was with Denzel when he was collecting unemployment checks.

Many men would not have achieved the level of career success and financial success they have today if it were not for their wives. Do you think President Barack Obama would have achieved the success he did without his wife Michelle? I don't think so. This is the type of woman men should be pursuing. A woman who is going to keep you inspired and motivated to be all that you can be. Not a woman who is looking to take, take, take from you.

When a woman has truly supported you as a wife or companion, and your career and financial success improved dramatically during your relationship with that woman, then she deserves to have her lifestyle upgraded, and she deserves to be treated like a queen.

If I met a woman today that caused me to improve my level of career success and financial success, to the point where five or ten years into our relationship I am now a multi-millionaire, then she can have “half of my stuff” if we ever ended up in divorce court. She earned it. Hopefully, if I met a woman that helped me reach that level of success, we would be so in love that we would remain married until one of us is six feet under.

The type of women a wealthy man needs to watch out for are these two types: **1)** the type

of woman that over a period of months and/or years is going to end up decreasing your level of net worth instead of contributing to your net worth increasing; and **2)** women who are 'using' you for the sole and specific purpose of improving their own life, and will dump you eventually for a man who is just as wealthy as you, if not wealthier.

I have read stories of men who initially had a great career and their earning potential was steadily increasing, but then that man hooked up with "Her." Three years later, five years later, or ten years later, that man was unemployed, bankrupt, and damn near homeless ... all because of the selfishness, greed, and negative influence of "Her."

If you are with a woman, and no matter how many cars you buy her, no matter how many clothes you purchase for her, and no matter how much money you put in her bank account, she never seems to be satisfied ... let her go. I do not care how gorgeous she is or how satisfying of a lover she is in bed, **let her go.**

Trust me. That woman has a long-term plan and strategy, and it does not include you. After she gets bored with you and your five million dollar per year salary, she is going to soon set her sights on another millionaire who is earning ten or twenty million dollars per year.

Ask yourself: Does this woman have any real substance? Or is she just a drop-dead gorgeous "trophy" on your arm? Am I with this woman because she is the first beautiful, sexy woman I have ever had access to? Does this woman seem a wee bit too flirtatious when she is around my other wealthy male friends and acquaintances?

On the flip side, in my best attempt to remain objective, can you blame some of these gold digger types? I remember when I was in my twenties, an older gentleman once said to me, "*Son ... do you know who the most powerful group of people are on earth?*" I replied, "World leaders and politicians?" He said, "*Nope.*" I replied again with, "Wealthy business owners? Founders of the world's wealthiest banks?" He said, "*Nope.*"

Not willing to guess anymore, I said, "Okay, I give up. Who?" He smiled and then lightheartedly said, "*Any woman who is so beautiful and so sexy that just about every man she meets would be willing to pay her huge amounts of money for just one or two nights in bed with her. Wealthy, powerful men will pay big bucks for the best piece of ass they feel money can buy.*" Most wealthy men snatch up exquisitely beautiful and extraordinarily sexy women real early. I am talking, eighteen years of age, twenty-one years of age or twenty-five years of age. Gold diggers do not get snatched up at the age of forty-five or fifty. Once more, if you are living in that delusional world, let me know when your alarm clock starts working again.

If you are a woman, and you have men constantly competing with one another for your attention and romantic or sexual companionship, of course you are going to feel tempted to "sell" the exclusive rights to your sexual companionship to the "highest bidder."

If you owned a classic automobile that all of your male friends and neighbors wanted to own and drive, would you just give that car away? 99.9% chance, no. You would sell it to the highest bidder. Remember ... economics baby. **Supply and demand.** You know the saying: don't hate the player, hate the game.

The thing many of these women do not realize is that money rarely fills the void of unhappiness. If wealth was the number one key to happiness and peace of mind, there would never be a history of a wealthy person committing suicide or becoming an alcoholic or drug user. Yes, money allows you to pay off your bills without worry (assuming that you are living within your means), and it allows you to pay for products and services that would not be able to pay for if you were poor, but there is more to true happiness than wealth.

One thing men need to learn is a woman's signs of genuine sexual interest. If you are a wealthy man, and you have to practically "beg" your wife or girlfriend for sex, then something is wrong. **You will never have to beg a woman for sex if that woman really enjoys having sex with you.**

I have known and heard about women who married wealthy men, and then only had sex with

those men maybe once or twice per month. I once had a woman I was having sex with in California who was engaged (*I did not even know she was engaged until after we had already had sex two or three times*). I asked her point blank, "If you are engaged, why are you having sex with me?" She said "*My fiancé is wealthy, but he is boring in bed. He and I have sex no more than once every two weeks if he's lucky.*" (*I will speak more to this scenario in Chapter Nine, when I discuss women who are liars and cheaters*)

If you read my other book, *Ooooooh . . . Say it Again: Mastering the Fine Art of Verbal Seduction and Aural Sex*, you will learn how to master the art of recognizing a lot of women's overt and subtle body language signals. A man who is keenly perceptive of women's body language rarely gets taken advantage of or duped by insincere, manipulative women. A woman may be able to fool you once or twice with feigned body language signs of genuine interest, but any more than that ... shame on you.

You should propose marriage to a woman for reasons that go beyond a highly appealing exterior and the potential for pleasurable orgasms. Discuss a woman's history before she met you and started dating you. Ask her specifically about what type of men she dated in the past. Ask her what are some of her favorite hobbies. Ask her about her future goals, objectives and ambitions. Ask her about her relationship with her parents, her siblings and her close friends. Find out what type of spiritual core this woman has. How has she treated people in the past that had nothing of tangible value to offer her?

On your end, do not think because you are earning a six figure, seven figure, or eight figure salary that this means you have a license to treat this woman like crap and cheat on her with any other woman you want? I sincerely hope that is not your intention. One word: Karma.

Actually, some seasoned, savvy gold diggers bank on that character flaw in a man. They will purposely wait for you to cheat, cheat, cheat and cheat some more, and then bam! They file divorce papers on you. They already have a plan on how they are going to use the wealth they will earn from their divorce settlement with you. Do not marry a good looking, sexy woman simply because you do not want her having sex with any other man. That is your testosterone-fueled ego talking to you.

Your confidence toward women and your self-esteem should be based on far more than what you have to offer women financially and materialistically. If your level of wealth is what most of your self esteem is based on, then you are going to always feel egotistically insecure when you are around men who are earning much more money than you. And you definitely are going to feel insecure when your lady friend is in the company of flirtatious men who are far wealthier than you.

Your degree of career success, wealth, and material trappings should be the frosting on the cake for the women you attract rather than the cake itself. Please do not allow your heart to be broken because some woman who you thought really loved you in an honest and genuine manner has now run off with your buddy from the local country club who has more cars, more houses, and more yachts than you do.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics and early, detectable signs of women who are more-than-likely seasoned, savvy gold digger types:

- Anytime a woman converses with you in a manner where she is always emphasizing money, material possessions, nice homes, and nice cars, this should let you know that upgrading her lifestyle via a romantic companion is a high priority for her. Nine times out of ten, you are conversing with a gold digger type;
- Anytime a woman has a history of living in nice homes, driving nice cars, and wearing expensive clothes, but she has never had a long-term career in her life or never inherited any money, nine times out of ten, you are interacting with a gold digger type;
- Anytime a woman only allows men who are wealthy to flirt with her and share her company romantically, but you notice that she treats men who are earning an average income almost like they are not even worthy of being in her company, nine times out of ten, you are interacting with a gold digger type;

· Anytime a woman will tell you that she loves you and cares for you, and finds you attractive and sexy, but you notice that you almost have to “beg” her to have sex with you, nine times out of ten, you are dealing with a gold digger type;

In my next chapter, I am going to discuss women who attempt to “steal a good man away” from his wife or long-term girlfriend. This woman will gladly have sex with you, but having sex with this woman should not be a desire of yours in the first place. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Six

Man Thieves: Women who will try to “steal a good man away” from his wife or long-term girlfriend

I wish I had just \$1.00 for each time a woman has asked me, “*Why do men cheat?*” I have always maintained that the better and more appropriate question is, “Why do men with promiscuous tendencies tend to indefinitely give women the misleading impression that they are monogamy-minded?”

One reason and response I will offer to women is that **women make it so easy for men to cheat on their wives and girlfriends**. Do you know that I have had more women aggressively offer me opportunities to have sex with them during a period of time when I was romantically involved with someone than I have during a period of time where I was single and unattached? Seriously. That is a damn shame.

Many of my male friends have told me that they have experienced the same thing with women. I know some men who told me that once women found out that they were engaged or married, that these women started making very aggressive sexual advances toward them.

Many women’s underlying attitude is, “If this man is so good and satisfying in bed, then why is he single and unattached? Why doesn’t he have a wife, a girlfriend, or at least a harem of available casual sex partners?” This is why many times, women do not offer a man sex as much when they are single as they do when that man as a wife, a girlfriend, or a variety of different (casual) sex partners.

Think of legendary professional golfer Tiger Woods. People always ask, “*Why did he cheat on his beautiful wife?*” My secondary question would be, “Why did all of those women agree to have sex with Tiger when they knew he was married?”

Famous comedian Chris Rock once had a funny bit where he said, “*When men tell other men that they have a great woman, their male friends will say, ‘I want a woman LIKE that’; When women tell other women that they have a good man, their female friends will say, ‘I want HIM!’*”

Why do so many women flirt with men, and offer sex to men, who are already married or romantically involved with another woman?

One reason is that men who are very sexually content and satisfied are very appealing to a lot of women. Many women find men like this to be an exciting and intriguing “challenge” to their egos. I have said repeatedly in this book: Men who come across as impatient, desperate, or overanxious for sex tend to be a turn-off for many women.

One term that many dating coaches and seduction gurus use with their clients to describe men who are already being sexually satisfied by one or more women is “pre-screened.” When women know that you are currently pleasing and satisfying one or more women sexually, they tend to feel very confident that you will please and satisfy them sexually too.

One of the comments I made in *Ooooooh . . . Say it Again* was, “**pussy attracts (more) pussy.**” Some men laugh at the comment, and some women make faces in response to that comment, but among men, that is a long-standing, very valid belief. It is similar to the belief that if you already have wealth, other people will constantly offer you and new and different opportunities to increase your wealth.

I know men who actually have benefitted from what some men refer to as “referral sex.” Referral sex is when a man has sex with “Linda,” and then Linda “kisses and tells” with her two girlfriends, “Tanya” and “Rebecca.” Linda will tell Tanya and Rebecca how kinky the man is, how long and/or how thick his penis is, how much stamina he has, and how talented he is at

oral sex ... and bam! Next thing you know, Tanya and Rebecca are offering Linda's sex partner or boyfriend the opportunity to have sex with them as well. (Lesson to women: Do not "kiss and tell" when you have a husband or boyfriend that is satisfying you in bed! More than likely, your big mouth will come back to haunt you)

I will be honest: I do not particularly care for a woman "kissing and telling" about my personal business and the details of my sex life, but if there is one situation where I do not mind that happening is if a woman is *singing the praises of my sexual prowess* to other women. Once you get a reputation within a social circle of women for pleasing and satisfying women sexually, you are good to go. Your ticket is punched. You have the "all access" card with privileges.

On the flip side, if you have sex with a woman ... and your performance was anywhere from "less-than-average" to "horrible," uh oh. If the woman you left feeling disappointed and sexually unsatisfied has a big mouth, you are in trouble. You might have to move to another city or state. Your reputation will kill future opportunities for sex. Any woman who hears that you are not good in bed from her girlfriend(s) is going to avoid having sex with you like you carrying the West Nile virus.

If you cannot have all of your recent lovers give you a four or five star rating, you want to always have at least 40-50% of your lovers give you three or four stars. Then, you will have some "balance." But if you have sex with say, seven women in a year, and all seven women give you only one or two stars ... you're in trouble. On the bright side, there are always prostitutes and professional Call Girls!

This brings me back to the appeal of men who have a wife or long-term girlfriend. When a man is involved in a long-term relationship with a woman, many other women automatically assume that this man has a personality that is not boring or irritating, and that he is above-average to exceptional in bed. Add to this a successful career, a nice salary, and a nice home and nice car, and all of the sudden this man is going to have a number of "wannabe mistress types" beginning to flirt with him and offer him sex like crazy.

There are two types of women you might encounter when you are married or already romantically involved with a woman:

1. Women who know you have a wife or girlfriend, but they want to see if you are indeed as pleasing and satisfying in bed as they perceive you to be. These women are looking for either a one-night stand, a weekend fling, or to indefinitely be your "woman-on-the-side" or beck-and-call mistress;
2. Women who not only want to be your beck-and-call mistress or woman-on-the-side, but even more so, these women have aspirations of "stealing you away" from your current spouse or romantic companion;

Both types really should be avoided, but particularly the women in the second category. Did you ever watch a 1987 film entitled, *Fatal Attraction*? Actress Glenn Close plays a single woman who suffers from Borderline Personality Disorder named "Alex" who makes herself available for adulterous sex with a married lawyer named "Dan" (Actor Michael Douglas).

Dan is under the impression that they are just going to have a one-time weekend fling, but Alex ends up wanting to "steal" Dan away from his wife. Alex ends up wrecking major havoc in Dan's life, and even threatens at one point to kill Dan's wife.

For a man, many times when you are married or in a long-term relationship, sexual temptations from other women are often times hard to resist. Sometimes, the grass seems a bit greener in another woman's yard, at least initially.

Now if it is a situation where you are frustrated and totally unhappy with your current girlfriend or wife, you need to first talk things out, and if you cannot come to a mutually agreed upon solution to your relationship problems, then it might be time to call it quits. Then, once you are single again, you are free to start dating any other woman you want to.

On the other hand, if you have a really good woman as a wife or girlfriend ... a woman you see yourself never wanting to part ways with ... then you need to really guard yourself against the temptation of quick, easy sex from other flirtatious women who want to steal you away from a quality woman.

First off, you should never talk about what is “wrong” with your relationship to other women. This is when you first open the door for women who are “man thieves” to begin plotting how they are going to steal you away from your wife or girlfriend.

In particular, never give another woman the impression that your wife or girlfriend is not satisfying all of your sexual desires, needs, and fantasies. Once you do that, you will give a woman all of the motivation she needs to develop a strategy to attempt to lure you away from your spouse or companion.

As a man, you have to ask yourself: would you want your wife or girlfriend telling a male acquaintance that you leave her feeling unsatisfied in bed? That you never listen to her when she wants to talk? That you never take her out to dinner? That you underappreciated her and take her for granted?

How can you ever respect a woman who is willing to have sex with you when they have full knowledge that you have a wife or a serious girlfriend? I cannot speak for other men, but if a woman offers me sex while I am already in a relationship, I will never look at that woman as “girlfriend” material or “wifey” material for the future.

What is very ironic to me, is that the more of a “good man” a boyfriend or husband presents himself to be (*i.e.*, *faithful to his wife or girlfriend*), the more women want to tempt that same ‘good man’ to become an adulterer or a cheater. Many women say to themselves, “Well, if he is loyal to her, maybe he will be loyal to me,” but yet, these women are trying to steal that man away. I do not get that at all.

With some women, it is not so much about what the man has to offer but it is more so about what they feel that man’s girlfriend or wife does not have to offer. Over the years, I have had women I know admit that to me that they went after a man who was married or in a relationship simply because they felt they were better looking than that man’s wife or girlfriend, they felt they could please and satisfy that man sexually better than that man’s wife or girlfriend could, or they just generally felt like they had more to offer than that man’s wife or girlfriend.

The danger for a man in choosing to interact with a woman who is a “man thief,” especially if the man is married, is that this woman could potentially end up becoming a ‘stalker’ type similar to the aforementioned character of “Alex” played by Glenn Close’s in *Fatal Attraction*. Once you let some women know that they even have a CHANCE at stealing you away from your wife or girlfriend, they will become **relentless**.

If a woman is mentally and/or emotionally unstable, a woman might choose to do anything. She might go as far as to threaten your wife or girlfriend’s well-being. If you have sex with her, and then tell this woman that you have no plans of leaving your wife or girlfriend, the woman could physically threaten you or try to harm you.

I think the media needs to be more harshly critical of women who choose to have sex with married men. The vast majority of the time, they only criticize the man who committed adultery. That is sexist and one-sided.

Think about it. If each and every woman walking this earth chose to only have sex with a man who was their husband, fiancé, or long-term boyfriend, the term “cheating” would become antiquated overnight. To be fair, women could easily argue that if each and every man walking

this earth committed himself to only having sex with a woman who was his wife, fiancée, or long-term girlfriend, instances of cheating and adultery would also go away overnight.

It is really all about the numbers. You put 10,000 single heterosexual men in an environment with 25,000 single heterosexual and bisexual women, then many of those men are going to get “greedy” and want two or three lovers, if not more. The women, most would assume, would probably be more inclined to remain faithful.

Now, you put 10,000 single heterosexual men in an environment with 7,500 single heterosexual or bisexual women, then damn near every man will be looking to settle down with one woman, and remain faithful to her. The 2,500 men who ended up with no wife or girlfriend would become very angry, sexually frustrated, and bitter. I would not want to be around those men. They would probably become homicidal maniacs (I am only half joking; Studies do show that **men become violent when they are unable to find women for romantic or sexual companionship**). Again there are always skanky prostitutes for those 2,500 men!! (some big, burly dude named "Billy Bob," who resides in a town with far more men than women, is reading this right now and saying, "*That ain't funny you bastard*" *Sorry dude.*)

I have never conducted formal research on this issue, but I bet money if you did a study, you would find that cities that have approximately the same number of men as women, or more men than women, have more long-lasting relationships devoid of adultery or cheating than cities or areas where there are significantly more women than men.

Many women have it in their heads, validly or invalidly, that there are a limited number of “good” men available to women in today’s dating scene. Most women believe that men who are good looking, good in bed, financially stable and self-sufficient, monogamy-minded, intelligent, charming, witty, romantic, empathetic, and considerate make up less than 1% of the single men available to date. This is in part what motivates a lot of these women to become “man thieves.”

The reality is there are a lot of “good” men available for women, depending on what a woman’s definition is of a “good man.” I have met a lot of men who were monogamy-minded, but these men were not wealthy or particularly handsome. I have met men who work hard, but they might not be driving a BMW or have a house on the beach. What many women are really saying when they suggest that they want a “good” man is that they want a man who is the “total package.”

If you have 75% of the single heterosexual and bisexual women in society trying to connect with the Top 5% of the most handsome, wealthy men with exceptional sexual prowess, guess what? That leaves you with 95% of the remaining men competing with each other for the remaining 25% of the women. Not a good scenario. If half of that Top 5% of desirable men have wives and girlfriends, you really have problems.

My simple advice to the men is that if you have a good, loyal, honest, warm hearted wife or girlfriend, hold on to that woman. Hold on to her tight. That grass you think is greener in the other woman’s yard? That is artificial turf. It is an illusion. Do not open up the door for unnecessary drama and stress. Leave that hot, sexy woman alone until you are single again.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics and early, detectable signs of women who are looking to steal a man away from his wife or long-term girlfriend:

- Anytime a woman flirts with you on a regular or semi-regular basis, even though she knows you have a wife or girlfriend, that woman is potentially looking to steal you away from your spouse or companion;
- Anytime a woman regularly wears very sexually provocative clothing around you, even though she knows you are already married or in a relationship, that woman is potentially looking to steal you away from your spouse or companion;
- Anytime a woman is willing to ask you very personal and nosy questions about how well your wife or girlfriend are treating you and satisfying you sexually, that woman definitely has her sights set on stealing you away from your spouse or long-term

companion;

- Anytime a woman is constantly complimenting you for being a “good man” who is loyal to your wife or girlfriend, and she offers comments such as, “*I wish I had a man like YOU in my life,*” nine times out of ten, this woman has thoughts of stealing you away from your current wife or girlfriend.;

In my next chapter, I am going to discuss women who love to nag men and argue with men just for the sake of arguing. These women are spoiled brats who love entertaining antagonism in their relationships. These women are known as “Drama Queens.” These women will give you headaches and prevent you from experiencing peace of mind. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Seven

Drama Queens: Women who love to nag men and argue with men just for the sake of arguing

Drama. Most of us love a good television series that contains storylines which create tension and drama, and similarly, most of us love a good feature-film that has a lot of suspense and drama. So, most of us can agree that when it comes to entertainment, drama is a “good” thing.

Moving from the Entertainment Industry to your personal relationships with members of the opposite sex, I know more men and women who prefer not to experience “drama” in their romantic relationships than those who don’t mind some.

Men in particular, at least based on my conversations with other members of my gender, despise ‘drama’ in their romantic relationships. Men who work hard at their jobs and handle their business want to come home, eat dinner, drink a beer, watch television, and enjoy some peace of mind. They do not wish to be nagged, and they do not want to engage in unnecessary arguments with their wife or girlfriend if they can help it.

Anytime a woman is guilty of constantly nagging her husband or boyfriend, or consistently baiting him into arguments that do not solve any problems, this woman will be labeled by most men as a “Drama Queen.”

I have had at least two ex-girlfriends who were Drama Queen types. One of the two even flat-out admitted that she was a Drama Queen. Her exact words to me were, “*I get bored if there is no drama in my relationships.*” No joke. She actually said that to me. At least I give her points for honesty.

What causes a woman to start behaving like a Drama Queen? In my opinion, there are four major behavioral components that lead women to exhibit the behavior of a “Drama Queen.” If you are a woman reading this, you can probably apply the same characteristics to men who may validly be categorized as a “Drama King.” Here is my assessment:

- Women who have extremely “spoiled” egos and narcissistic traits
- Women who are very egotistically insecure
- Women who are very “thin-skinned” and emotionally sensitive
- Women who have a strong desire for attention (even negative attention) and/or hate for their relationships to be too predictable, too routine, or too mundane

I find anytime you meet a woman whose behavior and personality encompasses these four behavioral traits, you are going to experience the repercussions of dating a bona-fide Drama Queen.

Let us start with being spoiled. A number of women (and men) grew up with parents who allowed them to get away with very spoiled behavior. Some athletes say they hate losing, but I think losing in sports teaches grade school, middle school, and high school athletes one important lesson: **in life, you are not always going to have everything go your way.** Sometimes, your ego is going to be bruised, your feelings are going to be hurt, and you are not going to always experience the results you desired.

If any woman grew up in an environment where everyone around her always let her have her way and always let her get away with “bad behavior,” that woman is going to develop a very spoiled and narcissistic mentality. I have always said a child growing up extremely spoiled will turn into an adult who will be unable to handle the challenges and unexpected episodes of

adversity that the real world will present to them. Then these same type of men and women will potentially become psychopaths and/or sociopaths who wreck havoc on society.

When I was young, many of my male friends would greet each other with one friend lightheartedly saying, "It's your world!" and then one of the other friends would reply, "*Naw man, it's YOUR world!! I'm just a squirrel trying to get a nut!!*" Men and women who are extremely spoiled and narcissistic actually believe the world revolves around them. They believe all circumstances in their life's experiences should work out in their favor.

This brings me back to the comments offered by the relationship expert I interviewed on my talk radio show by the name of Steve Penner. Penner was discussing women who fall into the category of "Former Beauty Queen" types (*or, as controversial talk radio show host Tom Leykis refers to as "Former Hotties"*).

Penner said that these types of women have the hardest time transitioning from their thirties to their forties, and from their forties to their fifties, because as they get older, the amount of flattering attention that they are accustomed to receiving from men tends to diminish significantly. Leykis has commented before that these types of women have usually been "spoiled" by horny men looking to get laid with them and/or wealthy men looking for a good-looking "trophy" to have on their shoulder.

Similar to my criticisms of men in Chapter Five who tend to base most of their self-esteem on how much money they earn, there are a lot of women who make the mistake of basing most of their self esteem on their looks and sex appeal. Once these women stop receiving compliments from horny, wealthy men on a daily or weekly basis, they cannot handle it.

A woman with a very spoiled ego is never appealing to men in the long run. At first it might seem "cute" and tolerable, but after a while, that characteristic just becomes downright irritating.

You would think that women who are very attractive would be the most egotistically secure, right? Wrong. Many times, women who are the most attractive are the most insecure.

The foundation of your self-esteem should never be one quality about yourself. For example, if 90% of your self-esteem is based on your looks, then guess what? Anytime you are around a man or woman who you perceive to be more physically attractive than you, you are going to feel insecure.

If 90% of your self-esteem is based on how intelligent and knowledgeable you are, then guess what? Anytime you find yourself in the company of men and women who you perceive to be more intelligent than you and more knowledgeable than you about a particular subject, you are going to feel insecure.

Ideally, **your self-esteem should be based on a combination of your appealing attributes**. Your self-esteem should be based on the collective sum of what you have to offer, such as ... your looks + your sense of humor + your intelligence + your level of expertise and talent in various areas + how well you treat other people + your confidence in your ability to overcome challenges and adversity + [insert your other desirable and highly appealing traits here].

As a man, if you choose to date a woman who is very insecure about how appealing her looks are to you, insecure about how much she pleases and satisfies you in bed, and insecure about how appealing and entertaining her personality is to you, you are in for a lot of drama. These type of women tend to always assume that there is at least one or two other women that you find to be more attractive than them, sexier than them, or more enjoyable to be around than them.

This is never a good thing. Personally, I would rather date a woman who is so cocky and full of herself, that she does not believe that any woman could steal me away from her than to date a woman who is always accusing me of flirting with other women or sleeping with other women. If I have cheated on a woman, and a woman gives me a mouthful as a result, that is not representative of "drama." If I have never cheated on a woman, but that woman is always

accusing me of cheating on her on a weekly or monthly basis, then yes - that is representative of "drama."

Similar to being spoiled and insecure, some women are just very thin-skinned and emotionally sensitive. Arguably the best example of this is the age-old belief that if your wife or girlfriend asks you, "*Do I look fat in this dress?*" you are supposed to lie to her and spare her feelings by replying, "No honey ... you look just fine!" I'm sorry, but I do not agree with that notion.

Raw, real truth is always better than a pleasant lie. My response would go something like, "Honey ... I love you and will always love you ... but that is not the most flattering dress you could be wearing. The truth is, you just commented last week that you feel you have picked up about forty or fifty pounds in the last two years. Consequently, I would not suggest that you wear that particular dress. Let me help you choose another dress that I think will accentuate how you look right now, even with your added weight." Bam. No lying involved, but I did not blatantly insult my wife or girlfriend either. I told my romantic companion the objective truth without being mean-spirited.

If my companion were to get "sensitive" and start crying after hearing that particular response, then God help her. Going to the extreme to try to "spare people's feelings" is directly what causes dishonest and/or disingenuous behavior. I do not like to lie to people or be phony with people. Nothing - and I mean, NOTHING - makes me cringe inside more than when I am in a social situation where I feel like I have to tell people "pleasant lies" and generally feel obligated to be phony with people.

Not only do I not like dating women who make me feel like I have to walk on egg shells, I do not even like sharing the company of other men who make me feel that way. I think too many people in society go to such extremes not to be perceived as "rude," "crass," "insulting," "offensive," and/or "disrespectful" by others that they slowly but surely transition from being "well-mannered" and "polite" into becoming blatant liars and exhibiting behavior that is incredibly insincere and phony toward others.

I have dated at least a handful of women who I would describe as incredibly sensitive and thin-skinned. They could not handle any type of objective or constructive criticisms. Either they would start yelling and becoming argumentative, or they would start crying and I would have to console them.

For the final component that makes up the prototypical "Drama Queen," all you have to do is re-read Chapter One and Chapter Two where I discuss women who are "Attention Whores." Some women just want constant attention.

I know women who cannot go as much as one or two hours without some degree of attention from men. I do not like to offer too many generalizations of the two genders in my books, but I will throw this one out: I believe men as a group – generally speaking – can go much longer without the attention of women than women as a group can go without the attention of men (assuming members of both genders are heterosexual).

There is even a saying that used to be passed around a few years ago that went, "Women give men sex in order to receive attention, and men give women attention in order to receive sex." I believe both men and women love sex, and I believe both men and women love attention.

The main difference between the two genders is that men love to receive BOTH from the same woman. For men, "attention PLUS sex" is like a mandatory "combo pack." Men rarely want attention from women just for the sake of attention. For most men, attention without sex means very little to us.

In contrast, many women do not have to be receiving attention and sex from the same man. A woman could be receiving sexual satisfaction from "Tyrone," who only gives her attention while he is exchanging orgasms with her, and then this woman will try her best to maintain a platonic friendship with "Brian" who she hopes will offer her hours and hours of flattering attention and entertaining conversation without making her feel obligated to have sex with

him (re-read Chapter One and Chapter Two).

Very few men want to be in a long-term relationship with a woman who has all four of these unappealing characteristics combined. One of these characteristics by itself is challenging enough. To date a woman who is spoiled and narcissistic, insecure, extremely sensitive, and is in constant need of attention can cause a man to start drinking alcohol (when he never touched the stuff before) and start using drugs (when he never even thought about using drugs before). I knew men who used to play *Madden NFL* (a video game produced by EA Sports) for hours and hours each and every day to escape having to deal with their "Drama Queen" of a girlfriend or wife.

If the behavior of your wife or girlfriend becomes too extreme, you might have to suggest to her that she may need to seek professional help. Many women in this category may actually suffer from either what is known as "Borderline Personality Disorder," "Narcissistic Personality Disorder" and/or "Histrionic Personality Disorder."

Very few women who I described in Part One of this book could get away with being a Drama Queen. Most men are not going to allow a woman to act like a Drama Queen for too long if they are not having sex with those women. Realistically, very few women would even try to exhibit the behavior of a Drama Queen with a man who they were not having sex with yet.

Sex, and particularly GOOD sex, is the "hook" that usually baits the man in. Anytime I have had a male friend who had a wife or girlfriend who was a major Drama Queen, and I asked that friend, "why did you hook up with her? Why do you put up with that?" their number one response would usually be along the lines of, "*she takes care of me in bed bro. She may be hard to get along with out of the bedroom, but in the bedroom, she treats me like a King. Believe that.*"

As a man, you have to ask yourself is it worth it. Is experiencing orgasmic pleasure via this woman's mouth and/or vagina worth all of the stress and headaches I am experiencing out of the bedroom? For casual sex? Maybe. For marriage or a long-term monogamous relationship? I say never, ever.

Many women will "test" you as a man. Some women will specifically and intentionally exhibit the behavior of a "spoiled brat" just to see how long you are willing to tolerate it. Once a woman observes that you are willing to "reward" her for providing you with sexual satisfaction by allowing her to behave like an uncontrollable spoiled brat, you are in trouble.

In this instance, a man would be making the mistake of "rewarding bad behavior." This is never a good thing. Women make this mistake with men too. A lot of times, if a man is wealthy and/or really, really good in bed, women allow that man get away with all sorts of undesirable and normally intolerable behavior. Being pleasing and satisfying in bed has its rewards.

When it comes to entering into a long-term monogamous relationship or marriage with a woman, one thing you must quickly determine is, "What behavior am I willing to tolerate from my partner over a period of weeks, months, and years?"

Whenever I look at a woman as a potential long-term romantic companion, I tend to place all of her individual attributes, personality traits, unique quirks and overall behavioral characteristics into three categories:

1. Attributes, traits, quirks, and characteristics that I love, desire, and prefer;
2. Attributes, traits, quirks, and characteristics that I do not particularly care for, or I am indifferent toward, but I could easily tolerate indefinitely;
3. Attributes, traits, quirks, and characteristics that I cannot tolerate for more than a few

hours, a few days, or a few weeks;

I refer to category #1 as “**Strong Qualities**,” category #2 as “**Tolerable Differences**,” and category #3 as “**Red Flags and Dealbreakers**.” If a woman possesses more qualities and characteristics that fall into category #2 more so than category #1, nine times out of ten, I am going to choose not to enter into a long-term monogamous relationship with that woman.

Here would be some examples of five qualities that fall into each category for me:

Alan Roger Currie’s “Strong Qualities” Short List:

Intelligent
Great sense of humor
A physical appearance that is pleasing to my eyes
Erotically uninhibited and free-spirited in bed
Loves quality feature-films

Alan Roger Currie’s “Tolerable Differences” Short List:

Doesn’t love watching NFL or NBA games
Can’t cook very well
Doesn’t like me using profanity too often
Doesn’t listen to Michael Jackson music too often
Loves to gossip with her close girlfriends a lot

Alan Roger Currie’s “Red Flags / Dealbreakers” List:

Pathologically dishonest and disloyal (*see Chapter Nine*)
Extremely phony and pretentious
Extremely prudish in bed / Does not like “erotic dirty talk”
Hates watching movies or hates Michael Jackson music
Smokes cigarettes and/or uses harsh drugs (*e.g. cocaine, heroin, methamphetamine, etc.*)

Many of my male and female friends have told me that they use the same three categories, or some even have four categories they use (e.g., “Qualities a romantic companion **MUST** have,” “Qualities that I would **PREFER** a romantic companion to have,” “Qualities that I do not care for in a romantic companion, but could **TOLERATE**,” and “Red Flags and Dealbreakers.”

If you are single, and you do not have a category list like this, you should create one over the next few days or weeks. You will never find “Ms. Right” or “Mr. Right” if you have no idea what qualities and characteristics you want in a long-term romantic companion, or **do not want** in a long-term partner.

This is why I am critical of women who say, “I want a man to get to know me,” and then they spend days, weeks or months talking about trivial, irrelevant, stupid stuff. When a man meets a woman who he believes could potentially be his next girlfriend or future wife, 90% of his conversation should center on identifying the qualities, attributes, and general characteristics that fall into either category #1, category #2, or category #3 (and category #4 if you have four categories).

If you do not want to date or marry a woman who will turn out to be a Drama Queen, then you need to ask a woman questions such as, “How do you react or respond in situations where you are unable to have your way?” “When close friends or family members ignore you for a number of hours or days for no reason, does that cause you to become highly upset?” “How well do you handle constructive criticism?” “If you saw another woman smiling while in conversation with me, would you automatically assume I was flirting with her or trying to sleep with her?”

Questions, questions, and even more questions. This is how you get to really know a member of the opposite sex. Not by simply cracking jokes or sharing entertaining, funny stories about your sister’s dog or your former co-worker.

I have witnessed some men **just look at a woman**, and immediately say, “Wow. I want to marry her!!” Yeah, right. That drop-dead gorgeous woman could be a convicted shoplifter for all you know. She could be a pathological liar. She could be an unintelligent “airhead.” You will not know until you have multiple conversations with her and ask her a number of questions that provoke her to reveal to you who she really is.

I do not think I have ever just looked at a woman, and said to myself, “I would love to marry that woman!” I have definitely looked at a woman and immediately said, “I think I would enjoy having casual sex with that woman” (*I have had one-night stands and weekend flings with many women with a wide assortment of personality flaws and character flaws*).

Another thing I have learned from reading about famous (or some would say, *infamous*) street pimps is that pimps rarely, if ever tolerate undesirable behavior from women. If one of the whores in their stable exhibits behavior they do not like, they get rid of them and replace them with another new whore.

If a pimp had only one whore, do you think he could quickly and easily let her go? No. He would be tempted to tolerate a lot of undesirable and defiant behavior from that whore. When a pimp has dozens of whores willing to make money for him, he can afford a high turnover rate.

This is why I do not believe that a man should ever put “all his eggs in one basket” too quickly. You should always maintain a number of options for romantic and sexual companionship until you are 100% sure that the woman you have been going out with and getting to know is “the one.”

Really, the only time you should permanently cut off all of your other options for female companionship is when you get engaged. That is the whole purpose of getting engaged. When you put a ring on a woman’s finger, you are saying to her, “I want to marry you, and I am willing to sacrifice all of my opportunities to have sex with other women in order to only have sex with you.” Until you are engaged, my recommendation would be to always maintain multiple options for romantic and sexual companionship with (other) women (I am not suggesting cheating on your girlfriend ... I do not condone lying or cheating. Be **Mode One** about it).

You would hope that when the day comes when you are having your bachelor party, all your single male friends would feel envious of you rather than feel sorry for you. If you marry a woman who is a Drama Queen, and everyone knows it, consensus sympathy will be the underlying theme of your party.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics and early, detectable signs of women who will eventually exhibit the behavior of a “Drama Queen” once you enter into a long-term monogamous relationship with them:

- Anytime a woman gives off signs that she has an extremely spoiled ego and narcissistic tendencies, this is a “red flag” that this woman is, or will soon be, a Drama Queen;
- Anytime a woman gives off signs that she is very egotistically insecure about her looks, her ability to please and satisfy men sexually, or the overall appeal of her personality and companionship, nine times out of ten, this woman is, or will soon become, a Drama Queen;
- Anytime a woman gives off signs that she is very emotionally sensitive and tends to react in a very “thin-skinned” manner in response to objective and constructive criticisms, nine times out of ten, you are dealing with a woman who is, or will soon become, a Drama Queen;
- Anytime a woman has a hard time going more than a few minutes or a few hours without attention from a man she is dating, nine times out of ten, if you enter into a relationship with this woman, she is going to exhibit the behavior of a Drama Queen;

In my next chapter, I am going to discuss women who will have sex with men, but only

occasionally, and only if their male companion practically "begs" them to. These women are heterosexual or bisexual, but their primary desire is to control men, dominate men, and even humiliate and "psychologically emasculate" men. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Eight

The Misandrist:

I don't need a man . . . but if you bow down and worship me,
I will have sex with you (occasionally)

If you are not familiar with these two terms, I am going to make you familiar with them right now. One of the two terms is used arguably too much, and the other is not really used enough.

Term #1: Misogynist. A misogynist is a man who literally hates women. If he is heterosexual, he might have sex with women regularly, semi-regularly, or occasionally, but in general, he despises women and does not respect them as human beings. A man like this will have very little non-sexual interaction with women.

Many women either misuse this term, or apply it in an invalid, inappropriate manner. For example, you have read in this book where I have discussed street pimps. I have heard many women say, "*All pimps are misogynists.*" That is not necessarily true or valid. Similarly, I have heard women say, "*All men who are womanizers and adulterers are misogynists.*" Again, this statement is not necessarily true or 100% valid.

Just because a man loves having non-monogamous sex with multiple women does not make him a misogynist. Promiscuity does not equal misogyny. Also, just because a man has a tendency to lie to his wife or girlfriend about cheating on her does not mean the man is a misogynist. That means he has no character or integrity.

Ted Bundy, a notorious serial killer of women, was a true misogynist. Bundy had so much resentment for women, he wanted them dead. That is the highest form of misogyny.

Men who do not like working for women or with women are misogynists. Men who hate their mothers, grandmothers, aunts, sisters, and other female relatives are misogynists.

The number one sign that you are a misogynist, or on the verge of becoming one, is when you develop such a profound sense of bitterness, disdain, resentment, and hatred toward women that at minimum, you want no social interaction with them, and at maximum, you have visions of murdering them or seeing them killed. If you are a man, and you find yourself in the latter category, seek professional help immediately. Seriously.

Quick note: Sometimes, a misogynist can be a woman who hates other women. There have been documented interviews of women who literally hate members of their own gender.

Term #2: Misandrist. Similar to a misogynist, a misandrist is a woman (usually, but it could be another man) who has a profound hatred of men. At maximum, a woman like this wants to cause men mental, emotional, and physical harm and pain; At minimum, this woman has a deep, profound disdain for men, and she has absolutely no respect for men as human beings. This woman will blame all of the world's flaws and trouble on men. If the woman is heterosexual, she might have sex with a man just enough times to get pregnant, but not much more than that.

Many women I have conversed with have expressed that they are not even familiar with this term, and even a larger percentage of men I have spoken with said they never heard of the term. The media rarely refers to a woman as a misandrist in the same manner that they will be quick to call a man a misogynist. One reason for this is that it is extremely rare for a woman to become a serial killer of men in the same way Bundy was a serial killer of women.

Misandry usually starts when a woman is a little girl, and she witnesses her mother being constantly mentally, physically, and emotionally abused by her father. Worse, she could have had a father or step-father who sexually abused her.

Other times, it could happen later in life when she begins to feel like all men want from her is sex or all of her former boyfriends cheated on her and treated her like crap, so now she finds herself becoming bitter and resentful toward the entire male gender.

A lot of men might be tempted to confuse a misandrist with a feminist and/or a lesbian. Many times, a woman can be all three, two of those three, or just one of those three.

A **feminist** is a woman who is an advocate for women being treated equally in the workplace, and equally in society. For example, feminists believe that if men and women perform the same job, they should be paid an equal amount of money.

Feminists believe if a man can be elected to public office, then so can a woman. Feminists believe if men can play sports on the high school or college level, then women should be allowed the same opportunity. A feminist does not necessarily "hate" men. They just want equal opportunities and equal respect.

A **lesbian** is simply a woman who prefers to have sex exclusively with members of her own gender rather than women and men (bisexuality) or men exclusively (heterosexuality). Similar to feminists, lesbians as a group do not necessarily "hate," "dislike," or "despise" men. They simply do not have a desire to engage in sexual activity with a man.

I once met a woman who told me that the only reason she would have sex with a man would be to get pregnant. Other than that, she had no interest in having sex with a man. Surprisingly, this woman was not a lesbian, nor did she indicate that she was bisexual. This woman was a prime example of a heterosexual misandrist.

The most extreme woman you will meet is one who is all three (i.e., a feminist, a lesbian, and a misandrist). There are a few in society. These women are probably your most hardcore "man-haters." These are the women who completely despise men, want nothing to do with men, and would never have sex with a man even if you had a gun to their heads. They do not even want a man to touch their bodies.

I have met a handful of women in my life who were both a feminist and a lesbian, or a feminist and a misandrist. Women who fall into the first group are actually okay to talk to and socialize with. Again, those types do not really "hate" men at all ... they just want the same freedoms, opportunities, and civil liberties that all men have access to. I do not hate on them for that.

The women who are the most likely to throw men for a loop, and cause problems for them as a romantic companion and sex partner, are those women who are physically and sexually attracted to men, but they have the constant mindset of a misandrist, or both a feminist and a misandrist.

Women who are heterosexual (or bisexual) feminists and misandrists never want to be erotically submissive to a man. Never. Many women who have a career as a "Dominatrix" fall into this category. These types of women will only engage in sexual activity with **men who are submissive to them**.

You think all women want to date and marry "Alpha males?" Wrong. Not these type of women. These types of women want a Beta male type as a long-term companion. Even more exciting and challenging to their ego would be for these women to recruit an Alpha male type, and then subsequently emasculate his sense of confidence, self-esteem and manhood so profoundly and so regularly to the point where he begins to take on the behavior of a more passive and subservient Beta male.

Some women do this with their own boyfriends and husbands, and even more startling is when some women do this with **their own sons**. I have read and heard stories of women who, because they resented their father, step-father, former husband, or the biological father of their son, they will control, dominate, and psychologically emasculate their son(s) to the point where their son(s) become completely obedient and submissive to women, not to mention highly effeminate.

I am a big fan of comedian Eddie Murphy, and he starred in this crazy romantic comedy

entitled *Norbit*. If you watch that film, Murphy plays a number of different characters, including the lead character, "Norbit," who is this very wimpy, very passive doormat of a man with absolutely no backbone.

Murphy also plays the role of "Rasputia" who is this obese, domineering woman who completely humiliates and psychologically emasculates Norbit every chance she gets. The fictional character of Rasputia would be a prime example of a woman who is heterosexual, but a misandrist.

I remember watching this television drama where during one episode, the husband had to refer to his wife as "Queen" and "Mam," and he had to literally plead with her for sex. In one scene, he says, *"Please mam! I've been a good boy!! Please let me have sex with you my queen!"* I cringed. I have issues with seeing a man "begging" a woman for sex or being totally subservient to his wife or long-term girlfriend.

Here is where you, as a man, have to be on the lookout for these types: many of these women will **initially** play the role of a very feminine, semi-submissive, "girly girl" who wants to do nothing but please her man. Then, once a man is in a relationship with them, once the man marries them, or once a man gets them pregnant, they do a blatant "bait-and-switch" of personalities on the man.

I have had at least three male friends of mine who experienced this. When they first started dating their girlfriends, these women were sweet, feminine, accommodating, and eager to please them in bed. Then, after those women became my friends' wives, or the mother to their children, their entire personality experienced a metamorphosis for the worse.

Suddenly, the seemingly "submissive" and "accommodating" women were now controlling, nagging, domineering, and trying their best to control each and every aspect of their relationship with my friends. Some of the guys had to "beg" their wives for sex. Another guy, once his girlfriend gave birth to their daughter, wanted nothing to do with him other than to collect child support payments from him.

Some Black men I know tend to assume this is only a phenomenon that happens in the African-American community because a number of Black women are often portrayed on television and in movies as "bossy," "defiant," and "highly independent." Not so my friend. I have known Asian women, Caucasian women, Hispanic women, and other races of women to pull the same "bait-and-switch" personality trap on unsuspecting men.

This is at least half the reason why you have some men hate the idea of marriage. Anytime I have met a man who told me that he actually hates the concept of marriage, it is usually because of one of two reasons:

1. He just believes monogamy is "unnatural" and contradicts a man's basic promiscuous nature and desire for multiple sex partners;

or

2. He has witnessed his father, his brother, or a close male friend of his get completely controlled, dominated, manipulated and psychologically emasculated by their wife, girlfriend, or the mother of their children;

I remember watching yet another episode of a television crime drama, and this young guy was being pursued because he had recently become a serial killer of women, and prior to that, he was physically abusing women.

One detective said, *"Sounds like he must have had a father who physically abused his mother, and he is imitating the abusive behavior of his Dad..."*, then, to the detective's surprise, the female detective replies, *"Actually, no. This guy has already been interrogated and profiled. It was actually his mother who physically abused and humiliated his father. And now, the guy hates women."*

In other words, the guy in this episode of the television crime drama **witnessed his mother behave like a misandrist**, which in turn **caused him to become a misogynist**. As I alluded to earlier, the opposite happens quite often too. Many women witness their fathers behave like a

misogynist, so they in turn grow up to become a misandrist.

I remember watching this Tyler Perry film entitled, *Why Did I Get Married?* I generally enjoyed the film, but there were a couple of things that bothered me. Tasha Smith (*who in real life is super cool, and I interviewed her on my talk radio show in 2010*) played this character named “Angela” who was always yelling at her husband “Marcus” (Actor Michael Jai White) in front of other people.

Now, I realize it was a movie, and the behavior by Angela toward Marcus was in the movie for “comedic effect,” but on a minor level, I still did not like it. A lot of men I know who saw this film said the same thing. It was a prime example of a woman belittling and psychologically emasculating her husband in public. I have seen this scenario happen too many times in real life.

Even worse was this scene where one female character knocked a male character over his head with a wine bottle, and knocked him out. All of the women in the audience were cheering, applauding, and laughing. I did not find the scene funny at all. If that had been a man knocking a woman over the head with a wine bottle just for saying something sassy, insulting, or smart-alecky to him, you would have heard nothing but “boos” or silence from the women in the audience. Women would have eventually started protesting the film and asking other women to boycott it.

Personally, I do not even like to watch most television sitcoms anymore because at least half of them involve a wife who is totally controlling, domineering, and condescending to their husband on the show. Viewers never get angry about this, or propose a protest to it. Most think it’s funny to see women disrespect men on television, yet when male characters disrespect women on a television series, some women will immediately ask viewers to boycott that show, or stop watching it. Hypocrisy.

Call me a “sexist” or a “chauvinist,” but I do not like the idea of a woman “wearing the pants” in a relationship. I am a harsh critic of the whole notion of that concept, and I have said so publicly on my talk radio show, in my freelance articles, and now in this book.

One of my talk radio show guests and I actually got into somewhat of a heated debate about this during an interview. It started when I said that I have never seen a relationship be both **a)** long-lasting and **b)** full of romantic and erotic passion when the woman was the “dominant partner” in the relationship. I cannot think of one relationship that fits that bill.

This particular guest said that she vehemently disagreed, and that she herself “wore the pants” in her relationship with her boyfriend. I said, “Put him on the phone. Let me hear him say that in his own words. I want to hear your boyfriend say that you wear the pants in your relationship with him,” First she refused, then said he was not around. I would have cringed hearing a man confess on my talk radio show that his girlfriend controls the relationship.

She very well could have been telling the truth, but I still maintain that I have never witnessed such a relationship in my own life with my own eyes. Every relationship that I know of where the woman was the dominant partner either **1)** did not last very long, and if it was a marriage, ended in divorce; and/or **2)** there was very little, if any romantic or erotic passion in the relationship. In some cases, the relationship or marriage was devoid of sex altogether.

I do not know how any woman could get consistently and frequently aroused sexually by a man with no real confidence or backbone, and that allows his girlfriend or wife to disrespect him and treat him like crap.

I know my personality. I would never, ever be able to tolerate being in a long-term relationship or marriage with a woman who has a domineering, controlling, “bossy,” and/or condescending nature about her. I said in my first book, *Mode One*, that all of us have a “dark side” within us that can be unleashed at any time when other people, or highly unfavorable circumstances and unexpected episodes of adversity, push us to our limits. A woman of that nature would surely unleash my “dark side” at some point.

I believe the only men who would enjoy, or at least tolerate, being in a relationship with this type of woman is a man who is naturally passive, is accustomed to his mother, sister(s), or former girlfriends dominating him and influencing his behavior, and simply has no real backbone. He is what is known in the BDSM world and fetish sex world as a "sub" (short for "submissive type") or a "bottom." A "top" or a "dom" would be an extremely dominant type romantic companion or sex partner, and a "switch" is a man or woman who tends to vacillate between being dominant at times with their partner, and other times they play the role of the submissive partner.

If you, as a man, are an Alpha male type, or aspire to be, do not allow any woman to "convert" you into a passive, obedient, Beta male. I don't care how good looking or sexy the woman is, how much money she earns, or how much she pleases and satisfies your sexual urges and desires. It is not worth it. How can you look at yourself in the mirror knowing that your wife or girlfriend does not respect you at all as a man?

I have heard many women and media publications offer comments such as, "*men do not seem to want to get married anymore, and I think it is because they cannot handle women earning more money than them, or having their own career.*"

That is some straight-up B.S. I know a lot of men who would have no problem being married to a woman who earned more money than them and who had a successful career of their own. I myself would have no problem being in a romantic relationship with a woman who was a multi-millionaire and owned her company. That situation would not threaten my sense of manhood in the least bit.

The real reason why a number of men do not wish to get married in today's society is for one of the two reasons I mentioned earlier. Most of the men who are handsome, charming, gainfully employed and reasonably good in bed have so many women throwing them opportunities to have sex that they cannot find it in themselves to gain the motivation to settle down with one woman.

It's easy to settle down when a bachelor might only have one or two women who are attractive, sexy, funny, and intelligent with good character offering him the opportunity for sexual companionship. As a man, you do not want to take the chance that one or both of these two women might lose interest in you soon, and select another man. So, you go and buy that ring.

It is much more challenging for a bachelor to think about marriage when he has dozens, if not hundreds of attractive, sexy, witty, and intellectually sharp women who are willing to be loyal to him offering him the opportunity to share their company romantically and sexually.

Secondly, it is not a woman's career success or wealth that turns a man off or intimidates him, it is when women take on the masculinity of a man and "bossy" demeanor of a woman who believes that she should control a relationship by virtue of her job status and earning potential.

Reality check: No Alpha male type man is going to put up with a woman controlling a relationship. An Alpha male type does not care if the woman earns one million dollars per year, and is the CEO of her own company. An Alpha male type is simply not going to tolerate a woman barking orders at him or trying to dominate him and convert him into a Beta male.

Do not confuse the women who genuinely want to dominate you as a man with the woman who are "testing" you or simply "busting your balls." The pimp Iceberg Slim said there are two times a woman will act like a dominant, defiant, antagonistic "bitch" toward a man: **1)** when she **does not** think you have the confidence and backbone to lead her in a relationship and erotically dominate her, or **2)** when she **does** think you have what it takes to lead her and erotically dominate her, but she does not feel you are showing that side of yourself yet, and she is trying to motivate you to step your game up.

Once again, I have to emphasize that when you are looking for a woman to become your long-term girlfriend or potential wife, you need to ask her a lot of questions. Questions, questions, and even more questions.

Get to know this woman. Find out how her mother behaved toward her father, and vice versa. Find out how she relates to her brothers, male cousins, and male platonic friends. Find out how she behaves toward men who earn an average amount of money vs. men who earn a lot of money. Find out the reasons why her last long-term relationship ended.

Famed poet Maya Angelou said, *“When people show you who they really are, believe them the first time.”* It is easy for a woman to put on a façade initially that hides her true colors and what she is all about. As time passes though, her real side will always reveal itself. Trust me on that. People’s real side always rises to the surface at some point in time.

Be **the real you** from the beginning with women. Do not display a façade. I have had a number of women in my life try to motivate me to modify various aspects of my behavior and my personality for the primary purpose of pleasing them and accommodating them. I rarely have agreed to, and the less-than-handful of times I was guilty of modifying some aspect of my behavior in order to please a woman, I regretted it in the long-run

In my opinion, the best romantic relationships are those where neither you or your partner have to make any significant or drastic changes to your personality or behavior in order for the relationship to be long-lasting and full of romantic and erotic passion.

If you encounter any woman who treats the opportunity to have sex with her as a “reward” for you being a “good boy,” leave that woman alone. If a woman threatens to withhold sex as a form of a “punishment” in response to you not exhibiting the behavior that this woman wanted you to or expected you to? **Leave her alone.**

Other people, including women, can try to influence your choice of what behavior you will exhibit toward them, but no one can actually **control** your behavior but **you**. Do not ever allow a woman to control who you are. You know who you are. **You are a man**. Not “mama’s big boy,” but a man. Demonstrate **your balls and backbone** and always carry yourself like you are your own man.

To wrap up, here are the common characteristics and early, detectable signs of women who will eventually exhibit the behavior of a misandrist, or at minimum, the behavior of a woman who wants to “wear the pants” and be the dominant partner in her relationship with you and wants to transform you from a dominant Alpha male into a passive Beta male:

- Anytime a woman gives you the impression that she did not get along with her father or step-father, that she did not get along with her brother(s), and that she just doesn’t really think highly of men in general, you should be very wary of dating a woman like this. More-than-likely, this woman has the mentality of a misandrist;
- Anytime a woman attempts to loud talk you in public, harshly criticize your behavior in front of others, frequently walks a few feet in front of you each and every time you two are in public, and is always admonishing and chastising you for no reason, leave this woman alone. This woman is more than a Drama Queen - she is a misandrist who does not respect you as a man;
- Anytime you notice that you have to “beg” a woman for sex, or you have to behave exactly the way a woman demands that you behave in order for a woman to agree to have sex with you, do not enter into a long-term monogamous relationship with this woman. She is looking to control your behavior and dominate you. She does not respect you as a man, and she never will;

In my next and final chapter, I am going to discuss women who you might think love you, adore you, and are totally into you, but in reality, they are women who are pathologically dishonest and disloyal. These women are either already cheating on you, or soon will be. Continue reading my friend.

Chapter Nine

Liars & Cheaters: Women who are pathologically dishonest and disloyal, or at minimum, extremely fickle

Liars. Why do we lie and look to deceive other people?

There are a variety of reasons why men and women lie to members of their own gender as well members of the opposite sex. Based on what I have read and experienced, here are what I would categorize as the **Top Five Reasons Why Men and Women Lie, specifically as it relates to dating and relationships:**

1. In order to be in a position to “have their cake and eat it too”
2. To manipulate a situation in order to gain an opportunity or a type of companionship that you would not be able to gain or experience if they were upfront and straightforwardly honest
3. To prevent themselves from being criticized, punished, or ignored indefinitely
4. To impress members of the opposite sex in order to increase their “social market value” in the eyes of others
5. To avoid bruising a companion’s ego or hurting their feelings

I am sure there are at least a handful of other reasons and motivations, but those would be my Top 5. Many men and women do not realize, but **just one blatant lie**, once discovered, **can ruin an entire relationship or even end a marriage.**

Did you know that most surveys show that **loyalty** is the #1 quality that most men want in a long-term girlfriend or wife? That comes above looks, sex appeal, intelligence, sense of humor, and many other desirable qualities. The vast majority of men in society place a high premium on **honesty** and loyalty.

Many men feel that without honesty and loyalty, there is no point of even entering into a long-term relationship or marriage. When we read newspapers, magazines, listen to talk radio shows and watch television talk shows, you would get the impression that ninety percent of cheating and adultery is committed by men. If you do indeed believe that men cheat significantly more than women, you are more naïve and gullible as a man (or woman) than I would have ever imagined. Remember ... get that alarm clock fixed so you can wake up out of your world of delusion.

I remember once I was interviewed on this national talk radio show, and I was a guest along with at least two licensed psychologists (both women) and one other male guest. The subject was “Why do women cheat?” All the other guests kept offering comments such as, “*When men cheat, it is strictly for sex, but when women cheat, it is because their husband or boyfriend is not giving them enough love and attention, and they want to fill their emotional void ... blah, blah, blah.*” Really? Are you serious??

I was the only guest who was bold enough to say that women cheat on men for the same reason that men cheat on women: **enjoyable and satisfying sex.** It has very little to do with any sort of “emotional void.” That is straight-up B.S. Women get horny just like men do. When their sexual urges and needs are not being satisfied, or cannot be satisfied by their spouses or romantic companions, they look elsewhere. Women can fill an emotional void with a good hobby or the companionship of a male platonic friend who is willing to be their gossip buddy and empathetic listening ear. They do not need to have sex with another man to fill an “emotional void.” Every time I hear that offered as a justification for women cheating, I just want to slap the expert who is expressing that invalid garbage.

Men, I am going to keep it 100% real with you. Many women in society want you to naively believe that they are not as interested in sexual enjoyment and satisfaction in the same manner that men are. Please do not believe this crazy myth. Women love to be pleased and satisfied in bed on a regular basis just like men do. Do you know there are 2-3 times more nerve endings in a woman's clitoris than there are in the head of a man's penis? That means, when you stimulate a woman's clitoris, and bring her to orgasm, she is experiencing more joy and excitement than the average man does. On top of that, women have what is known as a "G-Spot." More pleasure for them. Many women can have up to five orgasms in the same span of time that it might take for a man to have just one. More pleasure for them. Do not be fooled by nonsense.

At least half of the reason why many women choose to "pretend" like they are not into sex as much as men is because many women end up in relationships and marriages with men who they are not really attracted to sexually, or who fail to please and satisfy them in bed. The reason why the female sex toy business is a multi-million dollar industry is because most men do not handle their business in the bedroom.

Ready for yet another one of my "Top 5" lists? Here would be Alan Roger Currie's **Top Five Reasons why the vast majority of women cheat on their husbands, fiancés, or boyfriends:**

1. The woman never wanted to or expected to have enjoyable or satisfying sex with a man **from the beginning**. The woman is dating the man or married to the man because of his level of career success, his level of wealth and financial generosity, his funny and entertaining personality, or if nothing else, none of the men who the woman truly wanted to marry or be in a long-term relationship with wanted to marry or be in a relationship with her;
2. They enjoy having sex with their boyfriend or husband, but he does not have sex with the woman frequently enough. She wants to have sex four or five times per week, but her romantic companion or spouse only wants to have sex four or five times per month, if not less;
3. The woman was celibate prior to entering into a relationship with the man or marrying the man, but once they had sex, she found that this man was unable to please her or satisfy her to her desired expectations; Either he is too "basic, conventional, and prudish," or he just does not know how to use his penis or his mouth to bring her to orgasm;
4. The woman enjoys having sex with her boyfriend or husband, but deep-down, that woman is still in love with a former spouse, an ex-boyfriend, or a casual lover from her past, and this "ex" knows that he still has an emotional hold on her, and he also knows how to push the "right buttons" to get her hot and horny, and she ends up having regular, semi-regular, or occasional trysts with this man
5. Similar to reason #4, the woman does enjoy having sex with her boyfriend or husband, but a new and different guy she meets ends up being so smooth, so seductive, and so irresistibly charming, that she just cannot pass up the opportunity to have at least a one-night stand or a weekend fling with this highly appealing man

Each and every woman I know, who has cheated on her boyfriend, fiance, or husband, cheated on him for one or more of these five listed reasons. No exceptions.

You want to know when your eyes get really opened to the scandalous, cunning ways of women who cheat? It's when you have been in the role of **"the other guy."**

You see, if the only romantic and sexual interaction you have ever had with a woman is by being a woman's boyfriend, husband, or platonic friend, that is when you are not going to really see the "true colors" of a woman who is likely to cheat.

Women reveal their real self to you fairly quickly when you are "the other guy." They will tell you things that their husbands or boyfriends do not know, and probably will never know. To this day, I know secrets about women that their boyfriends, fiancés, husbands, close girlfriends,

or even some of their own brothers and sisters do not know.

When you are “the other guy,” women are not trying to impress you, nor are they putting on a façade with you.

Frankly, I am not proud of my days being “the other guy.” Did I have fun sexually with many women? Yes. Starting with as early as my senior year in high school, all the way up until approximately my mid-thirties, I have many times starred in the role of “the other guy” with women who were willing to cheat.

Now and days, I have too much respect for my fellow men and the other members of my gender to disrespect them like that. I am a firm believer in karma, and what goes around ... usually comes back around. I feel like if I have sex with another man’s girlfriend or wife, the same thing will happen to me when I am married or in a relationship.

Starting with my mid-thirties, the most I have been guilty of is having hot, kinky phone sex with a handful of women who had a boyfriend, a fiancé, or a husband. No physical sex though. When I was in my late teens, twenties, and early-to-mid thirties, I would estimate that no less than 15-20% of the women who I had sex with were women who already had a boyfriend, a fiancé, or a husband.

I have heard men say to me, “*Alan, I am convinced that men are two to three times hornier than women.*” In what world? I hate to bruise your ego, but I am going to keep it real with you. If a woman behaves like she is not interested in having sex with you, that simply means that **you** do not turn her on. End of story.

When a woman is in the company of a guy who already has satisfied her in bed, or she is confident will satisfy her in bed in the near future, she will let that man know that she is horny and available for sex.

The main reason I know the top five most valid reasons why women cheat is because I tend to literally ask them. A large percentage of the time that I have had sex with a woman who already had a companion, I would ask them bluntly, “Why did you agree to have sex with me?” What’s funny is, **usually it was them who initiated the interaction**. I said this on my talk radio show one time: women in relationships are many times your horniest, most aggressive women. No joke.

I can name many times I have had women with boyfriends, fiancés, or husbands literally just “throw it” at me. The worst ‘stalker’ I ever had in my life was a woman who was married. I once had a ménage-a-trois with two women, and one of the two women was engaged and the other had a boyfriend. Talk about scandalous? Trust me fellas ... some women can be a trip.

Going back to my **Top 5 Reasons Why Women Cheat**, I will start with reason #5, and move all the way up to reason #1.

Reason #5:

I know from experience that many men who are married or in a relationship sometimes will run across a woman that is so attractive, so sexy, and so irresistible, that they say to themselves, “*I have to have this woman for just one night! Just one night. No long-term affair, or no ‘mistress’ situation ... but just one night.*” They might be on a business trip, or their girlfriend or wife might be out of town for the weekend.

Well, you have heard the old adage, “What’s good for the goose, is good for the gander.” If you have a wife or girlfriend who is attractive and sexy, more-than-likely she is going to attract some flirtatious attention from other men.

Your wife or girlfriend might be able to resist 49 out of every 50 men who flirt with her and approach her, but there is always going to be that **one guy** who is very tempting for her. Sometimes, it might be because he caught her at the right time in the right mood. Other times, it might be because this guy is very handsome, smooth, and knows how to “talk that talk.” In some instances, it could be a celebrity who she has always had a ‘crush’ on.

What is funny to me is how many men will say, “No, *my woman* would never cheat on me. I

know this for a fact!" Okay, if you say so. If that makes you sleep better at night, go for it. But make sure you get that alarm clock fixed.

If you are married to, or in a relationship with a woman who has no problem "hiding stuff," and keeping secrets to herself, there is at least a 5% - 10% chance that your girlfriend or wife is going to cheat on you occasionally, and more-than-likely, you will never find out about it.

If you are a woman reading this, this is why many men will ask their girlfriends, "*Have you ever had sex with a guy that you just met?*" Or, "*Have you ever had sex with a guy within 24-48 hours after you met him?*" Men want to know if you are the type of woman who can be quickly and easily seduced by a man who you find to be handsome, seductive, and charming. I know a lot of men who just flat-out do not trust any woman who informs them that they have had sex with a man within less than 48 hours after they met them. They feel like those women are the most likely to cheat on them in a long-term relationship or marriage. And ... to some extent ... they are right. Not in all cases, but a lot of them.

Usually, if a woman does not cheat regularly, she feels so bad and guilty about her unexpected one-night stand or weekend fling that she commits herself to not ever doing it again, which is a good thing. I have had some women tell me that their husbands or boyfriends purposely tried to keep them fat and unattractive so that other men would not flirt with them. Honestly, an act of flirting is usually how cheating starts. Real talk.

If you find out that your woman cheated on you one or two times, I say if you really, truly love her ... forgive her. Forget about it and move on. If she promises you that it will never happen again, 99% chance, she is probably telling the truth.

Reason #4:

I am not going to lie ... this one hits close to home for me. I have been on **both sides** of this coin. I can think of at least four or five times when I had sex with an ex-girlfriend or former casual sex lover during a period of time when she had a boyfriend, was engaged, or married. On the flip side, I can name at least once when I had a girlfriend cheat on me with an ex-boyfriend.

If you are a woman reading this book, I am going to tell you something. A lot of women will ask me, "*Alan, why do men want to know if you have had sex with other men before them, or why do they want to know how many men, or why do they want to know the specific acts, etc. Why is that?*"

There are a number of reasons, but I can tell you at least one reason. When you are the former spouse, the ex-boyfriend, or at minimum, the man who "turned that woman out" (*i.e., the first man to get the woman to move from a "prudish" state of mind to a more "kinky, freaky, open-minded" state of mind*) you are always going to have a certain degree of influence over that woman. And men know this.

There are really only two times a woman is going to be able to resist you if you have already had sex with that woman: **1)** if she is really, truly deeply in love with her new husband or companion, and she cannot fathom the idea of cheating on him, or **2)** her new husband or companion is so significantly better in bed, or kinkier in bed, than her former spouse, ex-boyfriend, or lover from the past. Other than those two reasons, **an "ex" is always going to know what "hot buttons" to push.**

If you are the "new man," you are just taking the time to learn what really turns this woman on sexually. **The "ex" already knows.** He knows her inside and out. The number one time I know I still have influence on a woman is when she says very slowly and softly, "*No Alan ... please please don't. Please don't tempt me....*" At that point, having sex with my ex-girlfriend or ex-lover is like taking candy from a baby.

This is why a lot of men want to marry virgins. Many men do not want to be in a situation where they know their sexual prowess is being compared to a former spouse, ex-boyfriend, or casual sex lover from the past.

The reality is, if I have sex with my new girlfriend, and let's say she has had fourteen lovers

prior to me, then that means I could end up being ranked as high as #1 out of her 15 lovers, or I could end up ranked as low as #15 out of fifteen. If that woman in her mind, say, ends up ranking me as the fifth best lover she has ever had, then that means there as many as four of her former lovers who could potentially “talk that talk” to her, push some “hot buttons,” and get her to have sex with them again. Any man who is not egotistically secure and confident in his sexual prowess is always going to be paranoid once he knows his new girlfriend had her world rocked in bed by a former spouse or companion.

This is the number one reason why many men do not want to have a long-term monogamous relationship with a woman who has a “promiscuous past.” It’s not because they look at that woman as any less attractive than a less promiscuous woman, or any less enjoyable to be around than a less promiscuous woman, or that they look at the promiscuous woman as a “bad person” per se, but more than anything else, men are very egotistically competitive, and many of them are also very egotistically insecure. Most men do not want to fathom that one of a woman’s past lovers was, and still is, more satisfying than him in bed.

Very few men want to feel like they are a woman’s second best lover, third best lover, fourth best lover, or lower. Men love to be “#1”. Why do you think men love athletic competition so much? So they can have bragging rights. So they can look into a television camera and say, “I’m #1!!”

When you go into a situation knowing ahead of time that a woman thinks another man is a better lover than you (because she was bold enough to confess that to you), then it is at least halfway easy to deal with. But when you are under the impression that you are your girlfriend or wife’s favorite lover, only to find out later that she has been cheating with her ex-boyfriend or former husband behind your back because she views him as more satisfying than you?

That is extremely devastating to a man’s ego and self-esteem.

I will say this from one man to all the other men reading this: Do not hesitate to ask women about their sexual past. Many women do not like this, but if you are in a serious relationship with a woman, or on the verge of entering into one, you have the right to find out if your new girlfriend or soon-to-be-girlfriend still “carries a torch” for one or more of her former lovers.

I have one good male friend who told me flat-out, *“I do not allow my wife to have phone conversations or face-to-face conversations with men she used to be sexually intimate with. Call me jealous, possessive, insecure ... I don’t care. But I do not allow it.”*

If a woman’s former lover is equally as good as you, or maybe even “slightly better,” nine times out of ten she is not going to cheat on you. On the other hand, if you only bring a woman to orgasm an average of once per night, but her ex-boyfriend used to bring her to orgasm an average of four or five times per night? Uh oh. This is a situation where there is a high percentage chance that your new girlfriend or new wife is going to cheat on you with her ex. **Real talk.**

Reason #3:

In September 2011, I interviewed a relationship expert by the name of Dr. Veronica Anderson, and Dr. Anderson actually encouraged women to have sex with men as early as the first date. As you know, most women are vehemently against having sex that quickly with a man who they look at as their future boyfriend or husband.

Dr. Anderson’s main reasoning was, why should a woman spend days, weeks, months, and/or years developing an “emotional bond” with a man when she has no idea if she and that man will have any sexual chemistry or compatibility?

This is why a lot of women I know personally are against the idea of premarital celibacy or abstinence. Their attitude is, *“I have to see what he’s working with, and how well he works [his penis] before I say ‘I do’ ... I cannot be married to a man who does not satisfy me in bed.”*

I had a woman call into my show and confess that she married a man who ended up disappointing her in bed. She said she met a man who she really liked and grew to care for, but she committed herself to waiting until marriage to have sex.

Unfortunately, she said as quickly as the first week or two into her marriage, she knew her husband was not going to keep her satisfied sexually.

What are some of the factors that contribute to a woman feeling sexually unsatisfied? It ranges from woman to woman, but here would be - you guessed it - my **TOP FIVE** reasons why women have told me they were left feeling unsatisfied in bed:

1. The man had poor stamina / premature ejaculation problems / impotence problems / erectile dysfunction problems
2. The man was too basic, too conventional, and/or too prudish in bed
3. The man was not into (giving) oral sex
4. The man had a penis that was too long, too short, too thick, or not thick enough
5. The man was more into watching pornography than he was into having sex with his girlfriend or wife

There are a number of other reasons that women could probably list, but what I have listed has usually been the top five most frequently issued reasons for a woman feeling unsatisfied in bed.

Hands down, stamina / premature ejaculation / impotence / erectile dysfunction problems is #1. I have had a lot of women tell me, *“Alan, I was in love with him ... but he couldn’t get it up on a regular basis.”* Why do you think drugs like Viagra®, Cialis®, and Levitra® are so popular with men these days?

The second issue is probably the one I have taken advantage of the most when I have been “the other man.” More than any of the other five reasons above, the #1 reason why I was usually able to get a woman to cheat on her boyfriend or husband and have sex with me was because of how kinky I was, how erotically dominant I was, and my talent for “erotic dirty talk.”

When I was young, I used to think that all men were just as kinky, if not kinkier than me, only to find out as I have gotten older that it is almost just the opposite. Many women have complained to me about having a boyfriend or husband that was “prudish,” “too conservative,” or simply “boring” in bed.

Fellas, you have to be just as kinky or kinkier than your wife or girlfriend. I am telling you this man to man. If you are a prude, but your woman is kinky and freaky, nine times out of ten, you are going to get cheated on. Trust me on this.

I respect women out of the bedroom, but I know how to treat a woman like a “porno movie slut” in the bedroom, ***which is how most women want to be treated in the bedroom!*** I am never, ever “conservative” or “prudish” in bed. Especially verbally.

At the risk of being perceived as “tooting my own horn” here, I would say that no less than four out of every five women I have had sex with told me that I was the most “verbally kinky” lover they have ever had and their best lover in terms of “erotic dirty talk.” I have made some women have more orgasms via phone sex than I have during physical sex because of my “talking dirty” skills. Fellas ... learn how to “talk that talk.” It can only help you.

As far as #3, if your woman wants you to please her and satisfy her with your mouth, you have to do it. You just have to (unless she has some sort of “hygiene” problems, then you need to talk with her and get that fixed!). I do not believe I have never failed to bring a woman to orgasm with my mouth, lips and tongue. Once you learn how to do that, it’s easy to bring a woman to orgasm.

#4, for the most part, is out of your control. I say, do not worry about your penis size. You have what you have. You cannot make your penis longer, shorter, thicker, or slimmer. You have what you have. All those pills you see advertised? They do not do jack to help you. Your only other option is to go to an adult toy store, and maybe try out what is known as a “cock ring” (it adds more girth to your penis) or a “penis extender” (it adds more length with a prosthetic).

Again, don't trip too much on size. I know women who have cheated on their boyfriends with me, and they confessed to me that their boyfriend had a penis that was either longer than mine, thicker than mine, or both. So if size was everything, why would a woman cheat on her more well-endowed man with me?

One woman when I was in my mid-twenties told me straight up, "*Alan, my live-in companion is both longer than you and thicker than you....*" I said, "Wow. Then why in the hell are you having sex with me regularly?" She said, "*Because you know how to work your [penis] better than he does.*"

I had a woman tell me recently that her best lover was not the man with the longest penis she had experienced or the thickest penis she had experienced. She said her best lover's penis was "slightly above average." She said he knew how to stroke her vagina, he knew how to gyrate his hips in just the right way, and he was just very erotic and kinky in bed.

Bottom line, size is important to *some* women ... but not all women. It just depends. Sometimes size works against men. I have had women tell me that they actually **lost interest** in a guy because his penis was just too long and/or too thick. They told me that if a penis is too big, it causes more pain than pleasure during sex. Trojan condoms® says that the average length for a man's penis is approximately five-and-a-half to six inches, and the average circumference / girth is approximately four-and-a-half to five inches. If you are larger than those numbers, you are cool. If you are smaller than those numbers, do not worry about it. Remember: you have what you have. Make the most of it.

Finally, lay off the Internet porn. Did you see the film *Shame* with Actor Michael Fassbender? It is a really good film with a high degree of realism. It is about a man who is addicted to strippers, prostitutes, professional Call Girls, and pornography. Fassbender's character is just an overall "sex addict." The saddest scene is when he wants to make love to this Black female co-worker of his who he is really attracted to, but he cannot maintain an erection.

Another similar film, but this one is more of a lighthearted romantic comedy, is called *Love, Sex, and Eating the Bones* starring Actor Hill Harper. It's about a guy who watches a lot of porn, but then he meets his "dream girl," and finds that he cannot maintain an erection with his new girlfriend unless a porn movie is playing in the background. Obviously, this causes problems with his new girl. The movie is funny, but it also serves as a "cautionary tale" for men who like to watch way too much porn.

It's okay to watch porn occasionally, if that is what you are into, but when you watch it weekly or daily, and you start masturbating too much, it is going to have a negative effect on your ability to get turned on by your girlfriend or wife, and maintain an erection. I know women who have actually divorced their husbands because of the man's porn-addiction.

Reason #2:

The second most reason why women end up cheating on their boyfriends or husbands is simply because those men are not having sex with them enough. Some women are insatiable, and they need a man who can keep up.

I have had women who cheated with me who said, "*My boyfriend is good in bed, but he only has sex with me two or three times per month.*" More than likely, when a woman is cheating on a man because he doesn't lay the pipe enough, it's usually because her male companion is cheating on her (unless he just has a very low libido / sex drive). Sometimes, it is because the boyfriend or husband is so overweight now and out-of-shape, that his level of stamina and sexual endurance has significantly diminished. One motivation to eat right, exercise, and stay in shape.

I once met a woman in Los Angeles who said her husband just blatantly started denying her sex because he was angry that she talked him into moving into a new, bigger, and more expensive house. He denied her sex for as long as three or four months. I was just interviewed on a radio show for Howard University (WHUR) where a woman said her husband had sex with

her very, very infrequently. She said she was very sexually frustrated.

Fellas, I say this: if you have totally lost interest in having sex with your wife or girlfriend, you should go ahead and end the relationship. That is highly unfair for you to withhold sex from your girlfriend or wife, or sex your woman up only on occasion because you are lazy.

If you are not keeping your woman happy and satisfied in bed ... trust me, your wife or girlfriend is going to cheat, and **I do not blame her at all**. I do not like liars, and I despise cheaters, but if you have the tools to satisfy your woman, but you are just not using them, that is inexcusable. Please and satisfy your woman's vagina whenever she needs it. Otherwise, your relationship will grow sour in no time.

Reason #1:

The reason I ranked #1 is probably going to be an eye-opener for many naïve type men reading this book. I almost hate to be the one to bring this news to you, and potentially break your heart if you are a young man who is extremely inexperienced with women.

Here is the deal: Some women do not enter into a relationship with you, or agree to marry you, because they find you sexually attractive. Some women I have talked to or read about find their boyfriends or husbands to be totally unappealing in bed.

I will never forget when I met this woman in the late 1990s, and she told me that she knew on her wedding day that she was not attracted to her husband sexually. I asked, "Well why did you marry him?" *She said, "Because he had a good job, he earned good money, and he offered to take care of me (financially)."*

I cannot begin to tell you how many women have shared with me that they knew beginning with the first day of their relationship, or the first day of their marriage, that they were not really attracted to their boyfriends or husbands sexually. Matter of fact, two of the four previous women I described in Part Two of this book tend to sometimes fall in this category.

There was a couple once on *The Dr. Phil Show* named "Kim" and "Cliff." The couple had gotten into a form of polyamorous dating known as "swinging" and "couple swapping." The problem was, Cliff wanted to have sex with his wife Kim, but she admitted on national television that she found her husband totally unappealing and unsatisfying in bed. I felt sorry the guy. I could tell Dr. Phil even felt sorry for the guy. Dr. Phil was surprised that he was willing to remain in the marriage. The wife said she loved all of her husband's non-sexual qualities and attributes, but she did not want to have sex with them. Most men would be surprised how many married women in society are similar to Kim.

For example, **gold diggers**. Many gold digger types do not marry men because they are genuinely attracted to their husbands physically and sexually. This is one of the reasons actually why I suggest they be avoided.

The other group of women who I already described that fall into this category are the **misandrists**. The vast majority of misandrist types that choose to get married are not really interested in having sex with their husbands. They do not even respect their husbands.

If a misandrist is bisexual or a "closet lesbian," she is going to cheat with another woman. In some cases, she will cheat with a man who is more of an "Alpha male" type as compared to her "Beta male" type husband.

As I said earlier in the book, anytime you have to "beg" your wife or girlfriend for sex on a regular basis, or spend money on her or perform "favors" for her in order for her to agree to have sex with you, then this is the first sign that something is wrong.

When a woman is truly, genuinely into you sexually, you will never have to "beg" or plead with her for sex. She will spread those legs for you with a quickness.

I hate liars, and I despise cheaters. That goes for both men and women. If you are constantly catching a woman in lie after lie after lie, **cut her loose**. That is a **no good woman**. Lies always come back to bite you in the butt. Always. Cheaters always get found

out sooner or later. Remember: what goes around, comes around. Karma always evens things out.

I hope Part Two will help a lot of men who are married, engaged, or romantically involved better identify some of the characteristics in women I have explained and discussed in the last five chapters.

An uncle once told me, "Son ... marriage is either going to be heaven or hell for you, depending on the quality of woman you choose. You choose the right woman, marriage is going to be the closest thing you ever experience to heaven on earth. You choose the wrong woman, and marriage will quickly become the closest thing you will ever experience to hell on earth. When thinking of marriage, please choose wisely."

Take heed to this advice my friend. **Choose wisely.**

Wrap Up & Final Thoughts

On the surface, this is going to be perceived by readers as a book that is targeted at criticizing women for exhibiting behavior that is dishonest, misleading, disrespectful, manipulative, and just undesirable in general.

To a degree, this is a valid perception. The main reason I wrote this book is because I found that there are very few books written by authors that criticize women's behavior toward men in today's dating scene. The vast majority of books that are available in the "Love and Sex" category or "Dating and Relationships" genre tend to offer far more criticisms of men's behavior toward women in today's dating scene than vice versa.

You will find that when you really carefully examine the contents of this book, this is really more so a book that criticizes men for **allowing women** to exhibit the various types of behavior mentioned above.

There is a well-known adage that goes, "Fool me once, shame on you ... fool me twice, shame on me." For those unfamiliar with this adage, what that saying means is, "If you exhibit behavior that I don't particularly care for one time ... then the only reason I allowed it is because I did not see it coming. You caught me off guard. I assumed you would exhibit behavior that was more desirable, more respectful, and more mutually beneficial. But if you are able to exhibit behavior that I do not care for two or more times, then the blame and criticism falls on my shoulders for allowing you to exhibit such behavior." I love this adage because it is so true and so valid.

I am going to take the liberty to modify that adage ever so slightly. My variation would be, "**Fool me once, you caught me off guard; Fool me twice, I gave you the benefit of the doubt; Fool me three or more times, then I'm the fool.**"

What I did is simply give men (and women) one additional chance to evaluate a person's behavior. If someone exhibits undesirable behavior toward me once, I can offer the excuse that I did not see it coming. If someone exhibits undesirable behavior toward me twice, I can offer the excuse that I thought the first instance was just an "accident," an anomaly, or that person experiencing a "bad day," and I gave them the benefit of the doubt that their behavior would change or improve soon. If that person is able to exhibit undesirable behavior toward me three or more times, then I have no excuse. I should have known better, and consequently, the full blame is on me for allowing such behavior.

Men always want to express harsh criticisms of women's behavior toward them, and women always want to express harsh criticisms of men's behavior toward them, but the reality is, if anyone is exhibiting behavior that you very much do not care for three or more times, on a regular basis, that is on you for allowing it. One will usually have a relationship with one or both of their parents indefinitely, and one will usually have a relationship with one or more of their children indefinitely, but you do not have to tolerate any undesirable or disrespectful behavior from a romantic companion, platonic friend, or spouse indefinitely. If you genuinely feel as though you are receiving the short end of the stick in a relationship ... **end it.**

Stop "whining and complaining" about the behavior of the opposite sex, and spend more time concentrating on the behavior **that you allow**. In respect to the women I described in Part One of this book, the primary message to the men is quit allowing women to converse with you in a manner that is very vague, ambiguous, and indecisive. Start putting women in a position where they are forced to give you either a definite "*yes, I am interested in having sex with you*" response or a definite "*no, I am not interested in having sex with you*" response when it comes to their sexual desires, interests, and intentions. That is the **Mode One** way. This cuts out 90-100% of the B.S. and the manipulative "head games."

Women ... quit trying to take advantage of horny and/or wealthy men. You are going to play games with the wrong man on the wrong day, and you are going to suffer some very unpleasant consequences. Men and women do not like to have their emotions toyed with or their egos blatantly disrespected. If you want to have sex with a man ... tell him. If you do not wish to have sex with a man tell him. End of story.

When thinking of the women I described in Part Two of this book, my primary message to men is to stop allowing your fascination with women's physical appearance and sexual prowess to cause you to confuse "true love" with mere infatuation. Good looks and sex appeal are not valid qualifications for a wife or long-term girlfriend. Casual sex lover? Yes. Long-term monogamous romantic companion or spouse? No. You want a woman with substance, and that will always have your back.

Much love and respect to everyone who supports my writings and teachings, and adheres to the knowledge and wisdom I choose to share with the public and the world. It is truly a joy for me help any man improve his interpersonal communications skills and overall level of confidence with women. That is very gratifying for me. I very much appreciate all of the positive feedback and kudos I receive from men and women all across the world.

Mooooooooooooooooooooooooooooode Oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooone. Make it happen baby.

Good luck my friend. .

Movies That I Recommend Watching **That are Related to the Contents of this Book**

- American Beauty (1999)** – Kevin Spacey, Annette Bening
- Bad Teacher (2011)** – Cameron Diaz, Justin Timberlake, Jason Segal
- Blue Valentine (2010)** – Ryan Gosling, Michelle Williams
- Body Heat (1981)** – William Hurt, Kathleen Turner
- Chasing Amy (1997)** – Ben Affleck, Jason Lee, Joey Lauren Adams
- Closer (2004)** – Clive Owen, Julia Roberts, Jude Law, Natalie Portman
- Coming to America (1988)** – Eddie Murphy, Arsenio Hall
- Fatal Attraction (1987)** – Michael Douglas, Glenn Close
- Havoc (2005)** – Anne Hathaway, Bijou Phillips, Joseph Gordon-Levitt
- I Think I Love My Wife (2007)** – Chris Rock, Kerry Washington, Gina Torres
- Love, Sex, and Eating the Bones (2003)** – Hill Harper, Marlyne Barrett
- Play Misty for Me (1971)** – Clint Eastwood, Jessica Walter
- Pretty Persuasion (2005)** – Evan Rachel Wood, Ron Livingston
- Shame (2011)** – Michael Fassbender, Carey Mulligan
- She Hate Me (2004)** – Anthony Mackie, Kerry Washington
- She's Gotta Have it (1986)** – Tracy Camilla Johns, Spike Lee
- Spread (2009)** – Ashton Kutcher, Anne Heche
- Talk Dirty to Me (1980)** *[Note: X-rated]* – John Leslie, Richard Pacheco
- Talk Dirty to Me, Part II (1983)** *[Note: X-rated]* – John Leslie, Bridgette Monet
- The Last American Virgin (1982)** – Lawrence Monoson, Diane Franklin
- Unfaithful (2002)** – Richard Gere, Diane Lane
- Vicky Cristina Barcelona (2008)** – Javier Bardem, Scarlett Johansson

About the Author



Alan Roger Currie was born and raised in Gary, Indiana and graduated from Indiana University in Bloomington, IN. Currie is currently the Host of two talk radio podcast programs entitled, *Upfront & Straightforward with Alan Roger Currie* and *The Erotic Conversationalist*. Currie has been interviewed a number of times on local, regional and national talk radio shows and television talk shows.

Currie was voted the Top Speaker and Most Informative Presenter at *The Direct Approach Dating Summit UK* in London, England (November 2010), and *The Direct Approach Dating Summit USA* in Las Vegas, NV (March 2012) in a survey by many of the men who attended both events. Currie conducts Skype® consultations and One-on-One coaching sessions with men and women from various countries all over the world. Currie loves to split his time between the Chicagoland / NW Indiana region and Southern California. In his spare time, Currie enjoys reading, watching quality films, and eating Caribbean Jerk chicken wings and drinking Peach Snapple.

Table of Contents

[About the Book](#)

[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS](#)

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Preface: An experience in a los angeles church woke me up](#)

[PART ONE:](#)

[Chapter One-Women who want you to become their personal "ego & SELF-ESTEEM booster"](#)

[Chapter Two- Women who want you to become their "personal entertainer" and/OR "platonic boyfriend"](#)

[Chapter THREE-Women who want you to become their "Male Girlfriend" and provide them with an "empathetic listening ear"](#)

[Chapter FOUR- Women who want you to become their PLATONIC "Sugar Daddy" and help them out when they need a favor](#)

[PART TWO:](#)

[Chapter Five- I JUST WANT YOUR MONEY:The Very SEASONED, Savvy Gold Digger](#)

[Chapter Six- MAN THIEVES: The women who want to steal you away from a good wife or girlfriend](#)

[Chapter Seven- Drama Queens: Women who love to NAG YOU AND argue just for the sake of arguing](#)

[Chapter Eight -The Misandrist: I DON'T NEED A MAN, BUT IF YOU bow down and worship me, I WILL HAVE SEX WITH YOU](#)

[Chapter Nine- LIARS & CHEATERS:Women who are pathologically dishonest and disloyal, or extremely fickle](#)

[Wrap Up & Final Thoughts](#)

[Movies i recommend watching that are related to the contents of this book](#)

[About the Author](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Preface:](#)

[An Experience in](#)

[PART ONE:](#)

["I Don't Want to Have Sex with You,](#)

[But That Doesn't Mean That I Don't Want You to Try to Get in My Pants!!"](#)

[Chapter One Women who want you to become their personal "Ego & Self-Esteem Booster"](#)

[Chapter Two Women who want you to become their "Personal Entertainer" and/or "Platonic Boyfriend"](#)

[Chapter Three Women who want you to become their "Male Girlfriend" and provide them with an "Empathetic Listening Ear"](#)

[Chapter Four Women who want you to become their informal "Sugar Daddy" and help them out whenever they need a favor](#)

[PART TWO:](#)

[These Women May Agree to Have Sex with You, but in the Long-Run, They Should Still be Avoided](#)

[Chapter Five Women to Avoid #1:](#)

[The Seasoned, Savvy Gold Digger](#)

[Chapter Six Women to Avoid #2:](#)

[Women who will try to "steal a man away"](#)

[from his wife or long-term girlfriend](#)
[Chapter Seven Women to Avoid #3:](#)
[Women who are major “Drama Queens” who](#)
[love to nag men and argue with men](#)
[for no valid or productive reason](#)

[Chapter Eight Women to Avoid #4:](#)
[The Misandrist:](#)

[I will have sex with you \(occasionally\),](#)
[but deep-down, I hate you and all men](#)

[Chapter Nine Women to Avoid #5:](#)

[Women who are pathologically dishonest and disloyal, or at minimum, extremely fickle](#)

[Wrap Up & Final Thoughts](#)

[Movies I Recommend That Relate](#)
[to the Contents of this Book](#)

[American Beauty \(1999\) – Kevin Spacey, Annette Bening](#)

[Bad Teacher \(2011\) – Cameron Diaz, Justin Timberlake, Jason Segal](#)

[Body Heat \(1981\) – William Hurt, Kathleen Turner](#)

[Blue Valentine \(2010\) – Ryan Gosling, Michelle Williams](#)

[Chasing Amy \(1997\) – Ben Affleck, Jason Lee, Joey Lauren Adams](#)

[Closer \(2004\) – Clive Owen, Julia Roberts, Jude Law, Natalie Portman](#)

[Coming to America \(1988\) – Eddie Murphy, Arsenio Hall](#)

[Fatal Attraction \(1987\) – Michael Douglass, Glenn Close](#)

[I Think I Love My Wife \(2007\) – Chris Rock, Kerry Washington, Gina Torres](#)

[Love, Sex, and Eating the Bones \(2003\) – Hill Harper, Marlyne Barrett](#)

[Pretty Persuasion \(2005\) – Evan Rachel Wood, Ron Livingston](#)

[Shame \(2011\) – Michael Fassbender, Carey Mulligan](#)

[She Hate Me \(2004\) – Anthony Mackie, Kerry Washington](#)

[She's Gotta Have it \(1986\) – Tracy Camilla Johns, Spike Lee](#)

[Spread \(2009\) – Ashton Kutcher, Anne Heche](#)

[Talk Dirty to Me \(1980\) \[Note: X-rated\] – John Leslie, Richard Pacheco](#)

[Talk Dirty to Me, Part II \(1983\) \[Note: X-rated\] – John Leslie, Bridgette Monet](#)

[The Last American Virgin \(1982\) – Lawrence Monoson, Diane Franklin](#)

[Unfaithful \(2002\) – Richard Gere, Diane Lane](#)

[Vicky Cristina Barcelona \(2008\) – Javier Bardem, Scarlett Johansson](#)

[About the Author](#)

